## City of Kirkland

Please see attached document for comments

Issue: (Description of issue raised by permit	Proposed Solution/Recommendation:	Justification/Rationale for proposed change:
language)	Proposed Solution/Recommendation.	Justification/ Kationale for proposed change.
		Appreciate that Ecology noted and addressed
General		concerns raised by the PAC
		Kirkland maintains a list of projects that have been
		identified via planning efforts similar to SMAP, and
		what gets built depends more on opportunity.
		Planning efforts are not lost if they don't proceed
Type of Project Selected	Do not require SMAP implementation.	immediately to implementation.
		Projects are not necessarily better if they come from
		SMAP or from opportunistic processes - retrofits are
		needed everywhere. Allowing for an open process
		will enable jurisdictions to prioritize projects based on
		a range of factors that include watershed
		priority/need, project cost/benefit ratio, coordination
		with other city projects and programs, and availabiliy
		of grant funding. This comprehensive prioritization
	Retain flexibility in opportunistic vs. SMAP	results in more efficient use of funds and therefore a
	implementation or remove language regarding these	greater retrofit benefit.
Type of Project Selected	two approaches to project selection.	-
		Efficient use of funds can address equity issues while
	Broaden the definition of Watershed Collaboration to	maximizing stormwater retrofits. Not every
	include projects such as stormwater parks that include	jurisdicion has the opportunity to participate in
Type of Project Selected	multiple community benefits.	Watershed Collaboration
		Provides an increased benefit, especially in regards to
	grant 1/2 of acreage draining to a facility for converting	6PPD-Q treatment, but recognizes that some
Level of Effort - calculating equivalent area	from Basic to Enhanced treatment	treatment already exists
		Projects are often put out to bid in January/February
		and don't want to hold on construction until June 30
Timing of requirements	Use January 1, 2023 as "started construction" date	because of Permit
		"fully funded" means the project is on the adopted
		CIP. Often the CIP is adopted late in the year along as
Timing of requirements	Use 12/31/2029 as date for "fully funded"	part of the overall budget process.

Interaction with proposed updates to Appendix 1	Consider calculating equivalent area for replaced impervious portion of transportation projects that is being proposed in Appendix 1 changes to Permit, and allow that to count towards the level of effort, particularly if projects are multi-model non-car focused	Transportation projects contribute to overall retrofit efforts and so credit should be granted for these alongside other retrofit efforts. Transportation projects must happen to meet concurrency under GMA. Recognizing and encouraging retrofit as part of these required projects supports jurisdiction goals beyond stormwater.
	Appendix 1 will require a LOT of retrofit as part of transportation projects - consider whether it is	
Interaction with proposed updates to	reasonable to require both that level of effort and this	Concern that this is more than Phase II jurisdictions
Appendix 1	level of effort for "priority developed areas"	can reasonably fund/accomplish
	Provide increased point/value to restore acreage that is	Support work on difficult locations otherwise work on
Type of Restoration	on steep slopes/high landslide hazard areas	these locations will continue to be pushed out
Funding	Continue to provide ample grant funding for retrofit planning, design, and construction	Retrofits in Kirkland have only been feasible because of this source of funding, and associated jurisdictional staff and resources. Permit compliance for many jurisdictions may rely on an external source of funding