

**PROPOSED UPDATES TO AQUATIC LIFE TOXICS CRITERIA, WAC 173-201A-240 TECHNICAL
SUPPORT DOCUMENT**

Comments of



7 May 2024

Table of Contents

Page

1. Executive Summary3

2. Introduction3

3. Discussion4

 3.1 Importance of considering bioavailability within regulatory frameworks4

 3.2 Bioavailability models for nickel.....4

 3.2.1 Nickel Biotic Ligand Models (BLMs).....4

 3.2.2 Nickel Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) Models5

 3.3 Data selection.....6

 3.4 Application of an Acute-Chronic Ratio (ACR)6

4. Conclusions7

5. About NiPERA & the Nickel Institute7

6. References.....8

Appendix A. Acute Studies not considered in proposal10

Appendix B. Chronic Studies not considered in proposal.....13

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following comments are being submitted on behalf of NiPERA Inc in response to the proposed updates to Aquatic Life Toxic Criteria (WAC 173-201A-240) Technical Support Document.

As the science branch of the Nickel Institute, NiPERA Inc. recognizes that aquatic life criteria for nickel within both the state of Washington as well as within the greater United States at the federal level do not reflect recent significant ecotoxicological developments on nickel. NiPERA acknowledges the Washington Department of Ecology's effort to utilize "new science" in the development of updated criteria. Within the current proposal, such new science is reflected as utilizing bioavailability models for copper and aluminum; however, this methodology does not extend to nickel, zinc, or lead, even though the same principles are applicable and have been well-established within scientific literature. Furthermore, the proposed hardness-based approach is not consistent with best-available science nor the updated methodologies under development by USEPA Office of Water's Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA, <https://www.epa.gov/wqc/metals-crada-phase-1-report>). Additionally, we have identified nearly one hundred peer-review manuscripts containing ecotoxicity data that were not considered within the criteria development. These citations are listed within this document, as well as references to publicly available databases containing the relevant ecotoxicity parameters.

NiPERA Inc. is a signatory to the USEPA's CRADA workplan. Additionally, we have engaged with other international jurisdictions including Canada, Europe, and Australia/New Zealand in the development and implementation of bioavailability-based criteria. We would welcome the opportunity to share our extensive experience with the Washington Department of Ecology in a collaborative manner to assist in developing protective and scientifically robust criteria for metal substances in surface waters.

2. INTRODUCTION

NiPERA Inc. is the independently incorporated science branch of the Nickel Institute, specializing in environmental and human health issues surrounding nickel and nickel compounds. In the United States, the aquatic water quality criteria for nickel were last updated at the federal level in 1995 and in 1997 for the state of Washington. Since the end of the twentieth century, an abundance of ecotoxicity data has been generated for nickel and significant advancements have been made to develop more robust and accurate models for predicting toxicity in the environment.

Due to these advances, NiPERA Inc. recognizes that aquatic life criteria for nickel within both the state of Washington as well as within the greater United States at the federal level do not reflect the state of the science for metals ecotoxicology. However, we believe the update proposed by Washington Department of Ecology can be substantially improved by incorporating the use of bioavailability models for nickel and considering a greater quantity of the scientific literature to result in a more appropriate and relevant evaluation of environmental risks.

This document outlines our concerns surrounding the Proposed WAC 173-201A-240 Technical Support Document and provides justifications for alternative, or additional, methodology that is scientifically credible and is aligned with current criteria development processes around the world. Specific areas of discussion include:

- The importance of considering bioavailability in criteria development
- Bioavailability models available for nickel,
- Data selection process and omitted references, and
- Application of an acute-chronic ratio for nickel criteria.

3. DISCUSSION

3.1 Importance of considering bioavailability within regulatory frameworks

Since the 1985 USEPA WQC derivation methods (Stephen et al., 1985) were published, extensive work has gone into establishing a better understanding of metal toxicity and its effects on the environment (Adams et al., 2020). These efforts have led to approaches in quantifying the relationship between multiple environmental physicochemical parameters (e.g., pH, hardness, dissolved organic carbon) and the toxic effects observed in the presence of a metal substance (Mebane et al., 2020). In order to use the concept of bioavailability to predict the toxicity of an environment, models such as the Biotic Ligand Models (BLMs) and Multiple Linear Regressions (MLRs) have been developed (Brix et al., 2020; Di Toro et al., 2001). The principle behind these models differs in that BLMs follow a mechanistic approach to describe ionic binding at a site associated with the biota while MLRs are mathematical distillations of empirical toxicity observations. Despite these differences, the models developed for nickel have been extensively validated for applicability to a wide-range of waters throughout the U.S. and globally (Besser et al., 2021; Croteau et al., 2021; Stauber et al., 2021). Furthermore, the bioavailability models have been illustrated to perform markedly better than hardness-based equations that have been employed in the U.S. for nearly four decades (Smith et al., 2015).

Within a regulatory context, the importance of considering bioavailability has been recognized since 2007 by the USEPA and Canada (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, 2007; USEPA, 2007) and in Europe since 2008 (European Commission, 2008). The guidance and implementation methods surrounding the use of these tools has varied by jurisdictions in which Europe and Canada utilize BLMs and Australia has recently elected to move forward with MLR methodology for nickel. In 2017, the USEPA initiated a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) with eight metals associations, including NiPERA Inc., as signatories. The objective of this ongoing agreement is to support the development of bioavailability-based aquatic life criteria (USEPA, 2023).

Since 2018, and in support of criteria development under the CRADA, NiPERA has developed expanded nickel ecotoxicity databases and bioavailability models, generated model comparison documents, and produced several peer-reviewed publications supporting the continued use of bioavailability concepts in regulatory settings (Besser et al., 2021; Croteau et al., 2021; Peters et al., 2023; Santore et al., 2021). These peer-reviewed resources should be considered for use in the derivation of statewide guidance.

3.2 Bioavailability models for nickel

3.2.1 Nickel Biotic Ligand Models (BLMs)

Several manuscripts have been published in recent years detailing updates to the nickel ecotoxicity database as well as updated bioavailability models. In 2021, Santore et al. (2021) reviewed the water quality parameters that affected nickel toxicity in aquatic systems and concluded that, along with

hardness, the concentration of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was also a significant factor in accurately quantifying nickel effects. The impact of pH on nickel toxicity has been observed to be inconsistent but, for most organisms, there was little pH effect or a reduction in nickel toxicity observed at low pHs. This manuscript used these observations to refine the previous nickel BLM and to validate the model in both synthetic and natural waters. In a step further, Besser et al. (2021) examined the performance of the updated nickel BLM in the waters of the U.S. Midwest. These waters are considered unique as compared to the majority of freshwaters, having combinations of low pH, low hardness, and high DOC (Minnesota) or high pH, high hardness, and low DOC (Illinois). The prediction performance of BLMs was evaluated to determine the reliability and ultimately the BLM framework successfully modeled variation in toxicity for nickel across the wide ranges of chemistries.

Besser JM, Ivey CD, Steevens JA, Cleveland D, Soucek D, Dickinson A, Van Genderen EJ, Ryan AC, Schlekot CE, Garman E, Middleton E. Modeling the bioavailability of nickel and zinc to *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and *Neocloeon triangulifer* in toxicity tests with natural waters. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 2021 Nov;40(11):3049-62.

Santore RC, Croteau K, Ryan AC, Schlekot C, Middleton E, Garman E, Hoang T. A review of water quality factors that affect nickel bioavailability to aquatic organisms: Refinement of the biotic ligand model for nickel in acute and chronic exposures. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 2021 Aug;40(8):2121-34.

3.2.2 Nickel Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) Models

Multiple linear regression models are developed using mathematical regressions to quantify relationships in observed toxicity data, thus making them an empirical counter-part to BLMs (Brix et al., 2020). MLRs are often calibrated by accounting for the interactions of only major toxicity modifying factors (TMFs) such as pH, hardness, and dissolved organic carbon rather than a ‘full suite’ of water chemistry parameters. In early 2023, the USEPA published the CRADA Phase 1 Report (USEPA, 2023) which provides a review of models available to predict the toxicity of metals to aquatic life by considering toxicity modifying factors. The conclusion of this report identified that:

“Given the similarities in performance between the BLM and MLR approaches for several metals, EPA intends to use MLR models as the bioavailability-modelling approach in AWQC development because of the robustness, relative simplicity, transparency, decreased number of input data needed, and ease of use of the MLR approach compared to the BLM approach.”

(Note: Despite this endorsement by USEPA, updated nickel criteria following this approach has yet to be released from the Office of Water and certain regions/states may find the BLM usage more aligned with their specific needs.)

The most recent development of multiple linear regression models has been published by Croteau et al. (2021) pertaining to U.S./North American freshwaters and, by Stauber et al. (2021) applicable to Australian freshwaters. Specifically, Croteau et al. (2021) calibrated eight acute models considering invertebrate and fish species, and eleven chronic models covering invertebrate, fish, algae and plant species. This manuscript also compared the performance of the MLR models to the BLM that was developed in Santore et al. (2021) and concluded that the performance of the two models were largely equal, though more apparent differences were noted when examining specific subsections of data.

Croteau K, Ryan AC, Santore R, DeForest D, Schlekot C, Middleton E, Garman E. Comparison of multiple linear regression and biotic ligand models to predict the toxicity of nickel to aquatic freshwater organisms. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 2021 Aug;40(8):2189-205.

3.3 Data selection

In reviewing the proposed nickel criteria, it is evident that a substantial number of pertinent manuscripts were not considered in the update. Specific citations that have been identified as being omitted are listed in appendices following this document. Detailed databases of nickel ecotoxicity information have been made publicly available in the supplemental material for Croteau et al. (2021) and Peters et al. (2023). Additionally, NiPERA maintains an internal database of catalogued ecotoxicity data from peer-reviewed manuscripts and we would be happy to work with the Department of Ecology to share the applicable data and references in an effort to streamline a revision to this proposal.

For some cases in which a study was reviewed, it was accompanied by a “note” justifying its omission from being included in the criteria derivation. We appreciate and commend the transparency of this process. In reviewing the omitted studies, we note that four references (Klemish et al., 2018; Niyogi et al., 2014; Nys et al., 2016; Nys et al., 2017) accompanied the note: “static-renewal test design, according to EPA 1985 guidance chronic studies should be flow-through”. However, the “Final Chronic Value” section VI-B of the 1985 guidance prescribes:

“Chronic values should be based on results of flow-through (except renewal is acceptable for daphnids) chronic tests in which the concentrations of test material in the test solutions were properly measured at appropriate times during the test.” (Stephan et al., 1985)

With this exception noted in the guidance, chronic toxicity tests in which daphnids were exposed to nickel in static-renewal exposures should be acceptable for consideration in criteria development.

3.4 Application of an Acute-Chronic Ratio (ACR)

The concept of Acute-Chronic Ratios (ACRs) was developed to support guideline derivations in instances where insufficient data was available, as was generally the case of chronic studies in the 20th century. Today, however, a substantial amount of high-quality ecotoxicity data is available for both acute and chronic endpoints across a wide variety of species, genus, and families. This data has been generated over the past two decades largely to support the development of bioavailability models and hazard regulations within the European jurisdictions (e.g., REACH) but, importantly, toxicity evaluations generally follow standard methodologies and use model organisms, making them applicable on a global level. Furthermore, similar to nickel toxicity, ACRs have been observed to vary depending on the different water chemistry parameters of the exposure. For instance, an ACR determined at high pH, low hardness waters will not be the same ACR observed in low pH, high hardness waters.

Due to the abundance of available data surrounding nickel ecotoxicity for both acute and chronic exposures, and the inherent variability in accurate ACRs, we advocate for the Washington Department of Ecology to consider bioavailability-based criteria for both short-term and long-term exposures, rather than applying an ACR.

4. CONCLUSIONS

This document has identified several areas under which the acute and chronic criteria proposed for nickel by the Washington Department of Ecology should be reevaluated and updated to consider more appropriate science-based methodologies. The lines of evidence presented herein include the importance of applying bioavailability concepts and models, as well as a reconsideration of the data selection, and methodologies, associated with determining the acute and chronic criteria.

5. ABOUT NiPERA & THE NICKEL INSTITUTE

With offices in North America, Europe and Asia, the Nickel Institute is the center of excellence for information on nickel and nickel-containing materials. The NI promotes sound science, risk management, and socio-economic benefit as the basis for public policy and regulation. NiPERA Inc. is the independently incorporated science division of the Nickel Institute in which we undertake leading-edge scientific research relevant to human health and the environment.

6. REFERENCES

- Adams, W., Blust, R., Dwyer, R., Mount, D., Nordheim, E., Rodriguez, P. H., & Spry, D. (2020). Bioavailability Assessment of Metals in Freshwater Environments: A Historical Review [Review]. *Environ Toxicol Chem*, 39(1), 48-59. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4558>
- Besser, J. M., Ivey, C. D., Steevens, J. A., Cleveland, D., Soucek, D., Dickinson, A., Van Genderen, E. J., Ryan, A. C., Schlekot, C. E., & Garman, E. (2021). Modeling the Bioavailability of Nickel and Zinc to *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and *Neocloeon triangulifer* in Toxicity Tests with Natural Waters. *Environ Toxicol Chem*.
- Brix, K. V., DeForest, D. K., Tear, L., Peijnenburg, W., Peters, A., Middleton, E. T., & Erickson, R. (2020). Development of Empirical Bioavailability Models for Metals. *Environ Toxicol Chem*, 39(1), 85-100. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4570>
- Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment. (2007). *A protocol for the derivation of water quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life*. Winnipeg, MB, Canada: Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment
- Croteau, K., Ryan, A. C., Santore, R., DeForest, D., Schlekot, C., Middleton, E., & Garman, E. (2021). Comparison of multiple linear regression and biotic ligand models to predict the toxicity of nickel to aquatic freshwater organisms. *Environ Toxicol Chem*, 40(8), 2189-2205.
- Di Toro, D. M., Allen, H. E., Bergman, H. L., Meyer, J. S., Paquin, P. R., & Santore, R. C. (2001). Biotic ligand model of the acute toxicity of metals. 1. Technical basis. *Environ Toxicol Chem*, 20(10), 2383-2396.
- European Commission. (2008). European Union Risk Assessment Report (EU RAR) of Nickel and Nickel Compounds; Prepared by the Danish Environmental Protection Agency (DEPA); European Union: Brussels, Belgium. In.
- Klemish, J. L., Bogart, S. J., Luek, A., Lannoo, M. J., & Pyle, G. G. (2018). Nickel toxicity in wood frog tadpoles: Bioaccumulation and sublethal effects on body condition, food consumption, activity, and chemosensory function. *Environ Toxicol Chem*, 37(9), 2458-2466.
- Mebane, C. A., Chowdhury, M. J., De Schamphelaere, K. A., Lofts, S., Paquin, P. R., Santore, R. C., & Wood, C. M. (2020). Metal bioavailability models: Current status, lessons learned, considerations for regulatory use, and the path forward. *Environ Toxicol Chem*, 39(1), 60-84.
- Niyogi, S., Brix, K. V., & Grosell, M. (2014). Effects of chronic waterborne nickel exposure on growth, ion homeostasis, acid-base balance, and nickel uptake in the freshwater pulmonate snail, *Lymnaea stagnalis*. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 150, 36-44.
- Nys, C., Janssen, C. R., Van Sprang, P., & De Schamphelaere, K. A. C. (2016). The effect of pH on chronic aquatic nickel toxicity is dependent on the pH itself: Extending the chronic nickel bioavailability models. *Environ Toxicol Chem*, 35(5), 1097-1106.
- Nys, C., Van Regenmortel, T., Janssen, C. R., Blust, R., Smolders, E., & De Schamphelaere, K. A. (2017). Comparison of chronic mixture toxicity of nickel-zinc-copper and nickel-zinc-copper-cadmium mixtures between *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*. *Environ Toxicol Chem*, 36(4), 1056-1066.
- Peters, A., Nys, C., Leverett, D., Wilson, I., Van Sprang, P., Merrington, G., Middleton, E., Garman, E., & Schlekot, C. (2023). Updating the Chronic Freshwater Ecotoxicity Database and Biotic Ligand Model for Nickel for Regulatory Applications in Europe. *Environ Toxicol Chem*, 42(3), 566-580.
- Santore, R. C., Croteau, K., Ryan, A. C., Schlekot, C., Middleton, E., Garman, E., & Hoang, T. (2021). A review of water quality factors that affect nickel bioavailability to aquatic

- organisms: Refinement of the biotic ligand model for nickel in acute and chronic exposures. *Environ Toxicol Chem*, 40(8), 2121-2134.
- Smith, K. S., Balistrieri, L. S., & Todd, A. S. (2015). Using biotic ligand models to predict metal toxicity in mineralized systems. *Applied Geochemistry*, 57, 55-72.
- Stauber, J., Golding, L., Peters, A., Merrington, G., Adams, M., Binet, M., Batley, G., Gissi, F., McKnight, K., & Garman, E. (2021). Application of bioavailability models to derive chronic guideline values for nickel in freshwaters of Australia and New Zealand. *Environ Toxicol Chem*, 40(1), 100-112.
- Stephan, C. E., Mount, D. I., Hansen, D. J., Gentile, J., Chapman, G. A., & Brungs, W. A. (1985). *Guidelines for deriving numerical national water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic organisms and their uses*. US Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC.
- Stephen, C., Mount, D., Hansen, D., Gentile, J., Chapman, G., & Brungs, W. (1985). *Guidelines for Deriving Numerical National Water Quality Criteria for the Protection Of Aquatic Organisms and Their Uses*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Retrieved from <https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2016-02/documents/guidelines-water-quality-criteria.pdf>
- USEPA. (2007). *Copper Biotic Ligand Model*. United States Environmental Protection Agency. <https://www.epa.gov/wqs-tech/copper-biotic-ligand-model>
- USEPA. (2023). *Metals CRADA Phase 1 Report*. US Environmental Protection Agency. <https://www.epa.gov/wqc/metals-crada-phase-1-report>

APPENDIX A. ACUTE STUDIES NOT CONSIDERED IN PROPOSAL

<p>Besser, J. M., Ivey, C. D., Steevens, J. A., Cleveland, D., Soucek, D., Dickinson, A., Van Genderen, E. J., Ryan, A. C., Schlekot, C. E., & Garman, E. (2021). Modeling the Bioavailability of Nickel and Zinc to <i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i> and <i>Neocloeon triangulifer</i> in Toxicity Tests with Natural Waters. <i>Environ Toxicol Chem.</i></p>
<p>Boran H, Saffak S. (2018). Comparison of Dissolved Nickel and Nickel Nanoparticles Toxicity in Larval Zebrafish in Terms of Gene Expression and DNA Damage. <i>Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology</i>; 74:193–202.</p>
<p>Cairns, J., Thompson, K. W., & Hendricks, A. C. (1981). Effects of Fluctuating, Sublethal Applications of Heavy Metal Solutions Upon the Gill Ventilatory Response of Bluegills(<i>Lepomis Macrochirus</i>). EPA 600/S3-81-003.</p>
<p>Chapman, G. A., Ota, S., & Recht, F. (1980). Effects of Water Hardness on the Toxicity of Metals to <i>Daphnia Magna</i>: Status Report-January 1980. US Environmental Protection Agency, Corvallis Environmental Research Laboratory.</p>
<p>Dao TS, Le VN, Bui BT, Dinh KV, Wiegand C, Nguyen TS, Dao CT, Nguyen VD, To TH, Nguyen LSP, Vo TG, and Vo TMC (2017). Sensitivity of a tropical micro-crustacean (<i>Daphnia lumholtzi</i>) to trace metals tested in natural water of the Mekong River. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i>; 574:1360-1370.</p>
<p>De Schamphelaere, K., Van Laer, L., Deleebeeck, N., Muysen, B., Degryse, F., Smolders, E., & Janssen, C. (2006). Nickel speciation and ecotoxicity in European natural surface waters: development, refinement and validation of bioavailability models. Ghent University.</p>
<p>Deleebeeck, Nele M.E., Brita T.A. Muysen, Frederick De Laender, Colin R. Janssen, Karel A.C. De Schamphelaere, 2007. Comparison of nickel toxicity to cladocerans in soft versus hard surface waters, <i>Aquatic Toxicology</i>, vol. 84, iss. 2, pp. 223-235.</p>
<p>Deleebeeck, Nele M.E., Karel A.C. De Schamphelaere, Dagobert G. Heijerick, Bart T.A. Bossuyt, and Colin R. Janssen, 2008. The acute toxicity of nickel to <i>Daphnia magna</i>: Predicted capacity of bioavailability models in artificial and natural waters, <i>Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety</i>, vol. 70, iss. 1, pp. 67-78.</p>
<p>Doig, Lorne E., and Karsten Liber, 2006. Influence of dissolved organic matter on nickel bioavailability and toxicity to <i>Hyalella azteca</i> in water-only exposures, <i>Aquatic Toxicology</i>, vol. 76, iss. 3-4, pp. 203-216.</p>
<p>Ferreira, Abel L. G., Pedro Serra, Amadeu M. V. M. Soares, Susana Loureiro, 2010. The influence of natural stressors on the toxicity of nickel to <i>Daphnia magna</i>, <i>Environmental Science and Pollution Research</i>, vol. 17, pp. 1217-1229.</p>
<p>Ghosh A, Kaviraj A, Saha S. (2018). Deposition, acute toxicity, and bioaccumulation of nickel in some freshwater organisms with best-fit functions modeling. <i>Environ Sci Pollut Res</i>; 25:3588–3595.</p>
<p>He J, Wang C, Schlekot CE, Wu F, Middleton E, Garman E, Peters A. Validation of nickel bioavailability models for algae, invertebrates, and fish in Chinese surface waters. <i>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</i>. 2023 Jun;42(6):1257-65. Keller, Anne E. and Stephen G. Zam, 1991. The acute toxicity of selected metals to the freshwater mussel, <i>Anodonta imbecilis</i>, <i>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</i>, vol. 10, pp. 539-546.</p>

Hoang, Tham Chung, Joseph R. Tomasso, and Stephen J. Klaine, 2004. Influence of water quality and age on nickel toxicity to fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*), *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, vol. 23, iss. 1, pp. 86-92.

Holland, A., Wood, C. M., Smith, D. S., Correia, T. G., & Val, A. L. (2017). Nickel toxicity to cardinal tetra (*Paracheirodon axelrodi*) differs seasonally and among the black, white and clear river waters of the Amazon basin. *Water research*, 123, 21-29.

Ivey CD, Besser JM, Ingersoll CG, Wang N, Rogers DC, Raimondo S, Bauer CR, Hammer EJ (2017). Acute sensitivity of the vernal pool fairy shrimp, *Branchinecta lynchi* (Anostraca; Branchinectidae), and surrogate species to 10 chemicals. *Environ Toxicol Chem.*; 36(3):797-806.

Keller, Anne E., 2000. Water quality and toxicity data for unpublished unionid mussel tests. Memorandum to Rob Pepin and Cindy Roberts, September 1, 2000. 6 pages.

Kim D, Chae Y, An Y-J. (2017). Mixture Toxicity of Nickel and Microplastics with Different Functional Groups on *Daphnia magna*. *Environmental Science and Technology*; 51:12852-12858.

Kozlova, Tatiana, Chris M. Wood, and James C. McGeer, 2009. The effect of water chemistry on the acute toxicity of nickel to the cladoceran *Daphnia pulex* and the development of a biotic ligand model, *Aquatic Toxicology*, vol. 91, pp. 221-228.

Lebrun, Jeremie D., Marine Perret, Emmanuelle Uher, Marie-Helene Tusseau-Vuillemin, and Catherine Gourlay-France, 2011. Waterborne nickel bioaccumulation in *Gammarus pulex*: Comparison of mechanistic models and influence of water cationic composition, *Aquatic Toxicology*, vol. 104, iss. 3-4, pp. 161-167.

Leonard, Erin M. and Chris M. Wood, 2013. Acute toxicity, critical body residues, Michaelis-Menton analysis of bioaccumulation, and ionoregulatory disturbance in response to waterborne nickel in four invertebrates: *Chironomus riparius*, *Lymnaea stagnalis*, *Lumbriculus variegatus* and *Daphnia pulex*, *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part C*, vol. 158, pp. 10-21.

Lind, David, Kevin Alto, and Steven Chatterton, 1978. Regional copper-nickel study. Draft Report. Minnesota Environmental Quality Board, St. Paul, MN.

Markich S. (2017). Sensitivity of the glochidia (larvae) of freshwater mussels (*Bivalvia*: Unionida: Hyriidae) to cadmium, cobalt, copper, lead, nickel and zinc: Differences between metals, species and exposure time. *Science of the Total Environment*; 601-602:1427-1436.

Meyer, Joseph S., Robert C. Santore, Joe P. Bobbit, Larry D. Debrey, Connie J. Boese, Paul R. Paquin, Herbert E. Allen, Harold L. Bergman, and Dominic M. DiToro, 1999. Binding of Nickel and Copper to Fish Gills Predicts Toxicity When Water Hardness Varies, But Free-Ion Activity Does Not, *Environmental Science and Technology*, vol. 33, pp. 913-916.

Pane, E.F., J.G. Richards, and C.M. Wood, 2003. Acute waterborne nickel toxicity in the rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) occurs by a respiratory rather than ionoregulatory mechanism, *Aquatic Toxicology*, vol. 63, pp. 65-82.

Pane, Eric F., Clint Smith, James C. McGeer, and Chris M. Wood, 2003. Mechanisms of Acute and Chronic Waterborne Nickel Toxicity in the Freshwater Cladoceran, *Daphnia magna*, *Environmental Science and Technology*, vol. 37, pp. 4382-4389.

Pickering, Quentin H. and Croswell Henderson, 1966. The acute toxicity of some heavy metals to different species of warmwater fishes, *Air and Water Pollution*, vol. 10, iss. 6, pp. 453-463.

Popp A, Cope WG, McGregor MA, Kwak TJ, Augspurger T, Levine JF and Koch L. (2018). A comparison of the chemical sensitivities between in vitro and in vivo propagated juvenile freshwater mussels: implications for standard toxicity testing. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*; 37(12):3077-3085.

Pyle, G.G., S.M. Swanson, and D.M. Lahmkuhl, 2002. The influence of water hardness, pH, and suspended solids on nickel toxicity to larval fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*), *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, vol. 133, pp. 215-226.

Schubauer-Berigan, Mary K., Joseph R. Dierkes, Philip D. Monson, and Gerald T. Ankley, 1993. pH-dependent toxicity of Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, and Zn to *Ceriodaphnia dubia*, *Pimephales promelas*, *Hyalella azteca* and *Lumbriculus variegatus*, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, vol. 12, pp. 1261-1266.

Sivula L, Vehniainen E-R, Karjalainen AK, Kukkonen JVK. (2018). Toxicity of biomining effluents to *Daphnia magna*: Acute toxicity and transcriptomic biomarkers. *Chemosphere*; 210:304-311.

Traudt EM, Ranville JF, Smith SA, Meyer JS. A test of the additivity of acute toxicity of binary-metal mixtures of Ni with Cd, Cu, and Zn to *Daphnia magna*, using the inflection point of the concentration–response curves. *Environmental toxicology and chemistry*. 2016 Jul;35(7):1843-51.

Traudt EM, Ranville JF, Meyer JS. Acute toxicity of ternary Cd–Cu–Ni and Cd–Ni–Zn mixtures to *daphnia magna*: dominant metal pairs change along a concentration gradient. *Environmental science & technology*. 2017 Apr 18;51(8):4471-81.

Traudt EM, Ranville JF, Meyer JS. Acute toxicity of ternary Cd–Cu–Ni and Cd–Ni–Zn mixtures to *daphnia magna*: dominant metal pairs change along a concentration gradient. *Environmental science & technology*. 2017 Apr 18;51(8):4471-81.

Wang N, Ivey CD, Ingersoll CG, Brumbaugh WG, Alvarez D, Hammer EJ, Bauer CR, Augspurger T, Raimondo S, and Barnhart MC. (2017). Acute sensitivity of a broad range of freshwater mussels to chemicals with different modes of toxic action. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*; 36(3):786-796.

Xie, Fangli, Mark A. Lampi, D. George Dixon, and Bruse M. Greenberg, 2007. Assessment of the toxicity of mixtures on nickel and cadmium with 9,10-phelanthrenequinone to *Daphnia magna*: Impact of a reactive oxygen-mediated mechanism with different redox-active metals, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, vol. 26, iss. 7, pp. 1425-1432.

APPENDIX B. CHRONIC STUDIES NOT CONSIDERED IN PROPOSAL

<p>Besser, J. M., Brumbaugh, W. G., Ingersoll, C. G., Ivey, C. D., Kunz, J. L., Kemble, N. E., ... & Garman, E. R. (2013). Chronic toxicity of nickel-spiked freshwater sediments: Variation in toxicity among eight invertebrate taxa and eight sediments. <i>Environmental toxicology and chemistry</i>, 32(11), 2495-2506.</p>
<p>Besser, J. M., Dorman, R., Ivey, C. D., Cleveland, D., & Steevens, J. A. (2020). Sensitivity of Warm-Water Fishes and Rainbow Trout to Selected Contaminants. <i>Bulletin of environmental contamination and toxicology</i>, 104(3), 321-326.</p>
<p>Besser, J.M., Brumbaugh, W.G., Kemble, N.E., Ivey, C.D., Kunz, J.L., Ingersoll, C.G., and Rudel, David, 2011, Toxicity of nickel-spiked freshwater sediments to benthic invertebrates—Spiking methodology, species sensitivity, and nickel bioavailability: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2011-5225, 53 p. plus appendixes</p>
<p>Birge, W. J., Black, J. A., Hobson, J. F., Westerman, A. G., & Short, T. M. (1984). Toxicological studies on aquatic contaminants originating from coal production and utilization: the induction of tolerance to silver in laboratory populations of fish and the chronic toxicity of nickel to fish early-life stages. Research report July 1983-August 1984 (No. PB-85-214666/XAB; RR-151). Kentucky Water Resources Research Inst., Lexington (USA).</p>
<p>Borgmann, U., Couillard, Y., Doyle, P., & Dixon, D. G. (2005). Toxicity of sixty-three metals and metalloids to <i>Hyalella azteca</i> at two levels of water hardness. <i>Environmental toxicology and chemistry</i>, 24(3), 641-652.</p>
<p>Bozich J, Hang M, Hamers R, Klaper R (2017). Core chemistry influences the toxicity of multicomponent metal oxide nanomaterials, lithium nickel manganese cobalt oxide, and lithium cobalt oxide to <i>Daphnia magna</i>. <i>Environ Toxicol Chem</i>. 36(9):2493-2502.</p>
<p>Chapman, G. A., Ota, S., & Recht, F. (1980). Effects of Water Hardness on the Toxicity of Metals to <i>Daphnia Magna</i>: Status Report-January 1980. US Environmental Protection Agency, Corvallis Environmental Research Laboratory.</p>
<p>Crémazy, A., Brix, K. V., Smith, D. S., Chen, W., Grosell, M., Schlegel, C. E., ... & Wood, C. M. (2020). A Mystery Tale: Nickel Is Fickle When Snails Fail—Investigating the Variability in Ni Toxicity to the Great Pond Snail. <i>Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management</i>, 16(6), 983-997.</p>
<p>Dao TS, Le VN, Bui BT, Dinh KV, Wiegand C, Nguyen TS, Dao CT, Nguyen VD, To TH, Nguyen LSP, Vo TG, and Vo TMC (2017). Sensitivity of a tropical micro-crustacean (<i>Daphnia lumholzi</i>) to trace metals tested in natural water of the Mekong River. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i>; 574:1360-1370.</p>
<p>Dave, G., & Xiu, R. (1991). Toxicity of mercury, copper, nickel, lead, and cobalt to embryos and larvae of zebrafish, <i>Brachydanio rerio</i>. <i>Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology</i>, 21(1), 126-134.</p>
<p>Deleebeeck, Nele M.E., Brita T.A. Muysen, Frederick De Laender, Colin R. Janssen, Karel A.C. De Schamphelaere, 2007. Comparison of nickel toxicity to cladocerans in soft versus hard surface waters, <i>Aquatic Toxicology</i>, vol. 84, iss. 2, pp. 223-235.</p>
<p>Deleebeeck, Nele M.E., Frederik De Laender, Victor A. Chepurnov, Wim Vyerman, Colin R. Janssen, Karel A.C. De Schamphelaere, 2009. A single bioavailability model can accurately predict Ni toxicity to green microalgae in soft and hard surface waters, <i>Water Research</i>, vol. 43, iss. 7, pp. 1935-1947.</p>
<p>Deleebeeck, Nele M.E., Karel A.C. De Schamphelaere, Colin R. Janssen, 2007. A bioavailability model predicting the toxicity of nickel to rainbow trout (<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>) and fathead minnow (<i>Pimephales promelas</i>) in synthetic and natural waters, <i>Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety</i>, vol. 67, iss. 1, pp 1-3.</p>

<p>Deleebeeck, Nele M.E., Karel A.C. De Schamphelaere, Colin R. Janssen, 2009. Effects of Mg²⁺ and H⁺ on the toxicity of Ni²⁺ to the unicellular green alga <i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>: Model development and validation with surface waters, <i>Science of the Total Environment</i>, vol. 407, iss. 6, pp. 1901-1914.</p>
<p>Environment & Climate Change Canada. 2018. Nickel Toxicology Project Report: Chinook 28-Day Chronic Toxicity Test.</p>
<p>Filová, Alexandra, Agáta Fargašová, and Marianna Molnárová. 2021. Cu, Ni, and Zn effects on basic physiological and stress parameters of <i>Raphidocelis subcapitata</i> algae. <i>Environmental Science and Pollution Research</i>, vol 28, iss 41, pp. 58426-58441. doi: 10.1007/s11356-021-14778-6</p>
<p>Fort, Douglas J., Robert L. Rogers, John H. Thomas, William A. Hopkins, Christian Schlekat, 2006. Comparative Developmental Toxicity of Nickel to <i>Gastrophryne carolinensis</i>, <i>Bufo terrestris</i>, and <i>Xenopus laevis</i>, <i>Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology</i>, vol. 51, pp. 703-710.</p>
<p>Garcia-Garcia JD, Pena-Sanabria KA, Sanchez-Thomas R, Moreno-Sanchez R. (2018) Nickel accumulation by the green algae-like <i>Euglena gracilis</i>. <i>Journal of Hazardous Materials</i>; 343;10–18.</p>
<p>Ghosh, Anupam, Anilava Kaviraj, Isabela Ewa Nielsen, Subrata Saha. 2021. A comparative evaluation of the effects of copper and nickel on the growth of the freshwater fish <i>Cyprinus carpio</i> and amelioration by <i>Pistia stratiotes</i>. <i>Toxicology and Environmental Health Sciences</i>, vol 13, iss 4, pp. 363-374, doi: 10.1007/s13530-021-00097-3</p>
<p>Gopalapillai, Yamini, Beverley Hale, and Bernard Vigneault, 2013. Effect of major cations (Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺, K⁺) and anions (SO₄²⁻, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻) on Ni accumulation and toxicity in aquatic plant (<i>Lemna minor</i> L.): Implications for Ni risk assessment, <i>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</i>, vol. 32, iss. 4, pp. 810-821.</p>
<p>He J, Wang C, Schlekat CE, Wu F, Middleton E, Garman E, Peters A. Validation of nickel bioavailability models for algae, invertebrates, and fish in Chinese surface waters. <i>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</i>. 2023 Jun;42(6):1257-65.</p>
<p>Hernández-Flores, S., Santos-Medrano, G. E., Rubio-Franchini, I., & Rico-Martínez, R. (2020). Evaluation of bioconcentration and toxicity of five metals in the freshwater rotifer <i>Euchlanis dilatata</i> Ehrenberg, 1832. <i>Environmental Science and Pollution Research</i>, 27(12), 14058-14069.</p>
<p>Hopfer, Sidney M., Marilyn C. Plowman, Kevin R. Sweeney, John A. Bantle, and F. William Sunderman Jr., 1991. Teratogenicity of Ni²⁺ in <i>Xenopus laevis</i>, Assayed by the FETAX Procedure, <i>Biological Trace Element Research</i>, vol. 29, iss. 3, pp. 203-216.</p>
<p>Klaine, Stephen J. and Sandra Knuteson, 2003. Toxicity of Nickel to Duckweeds. Clemson University, Pendleton, SC.</p>
<p>Klemish, J. L., Bogart, S. J., Luek, A. , Lannoo, M. J. and Pyle, G. G. (2018), Nickel toxicity in wood frog tadpoles: Bioaccumulation and sublethal effects on body condition, food consumption, activity, and chemosensory function. <i>Environ Toxicol Chem</i>, 37: 2458-2466. doi:10.1002/etc.4210</p>
<p>Krzykwa JC, Saeid A, Jeffries MKS. (2019). Identifying sublethal endpoints for evaluating neurotoxic compounds utilizing the fish embryo toxicity test. <i>Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety</i>; 170:521-529.</p>
<p>Kszos, Lynn Adams, Arthur J. Stewart, and Paul A. Taylor, 1992. An evaluation of nickel toxicity to <i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i> and <i>Daphnia magna</i> in a contaminated stream and in laboratory tests, <i>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</i>, vol. 11, pp. 1001-1012.</p>
<p>Leung J, Witt JDS, Norwood W, Dixon DG (2016). Implications of Cu and Ni toxicity in two members of the <i>Hyalella azteca</i> cryptic species complex: mortality, growth, and bioaccumulation parameters. <i>Environ Toxicol Chem.</i>; 35(11):2817-2826.</p>

<p>Li, Hao, Jun Yao, Robert Duran, Jianli Liu, Ning Min, Zhihui Chen, Xiaozhe Zhu, Chenchan Zhao, Bo Ma, Wancheng Pang, Miaomiao Li, Ying Cao, Bang Liu (2021). Toxic response of the freshwater green algae <i>Chlorella pyrenoidosa</i> to combined effect of flotation reagent butyl xanthate and nickel. <i>Environmental Pollution</i>, vol. 286, pp. 117285.</p>
<p>Lind, David, Kevin Alto, and Steven Chatterton, 1978. Regional copper-nickel study. Draft Report. Minnesota Environmental Quality Board, St. Paul, MN.</p>
<p>Macoustra, G. K., Jolley, D. F., Stauber, J. L., Koppel, D. J., & Holland, A. (2020). Speciation of nickel and its toxicity to <i>Chlorella</i> sp. in the presence of three distinct dissolved organic matter (DOM). <i>Chemosphere</i>, 128454.</p>
<p>Mano, H., Shinohara, N., & Naito, W. (2020). Reproduction Sensitivity of Five <i>Daphnia</i> Species to Nickel. <i>Journal of Water and Environment Technology</i>, 18(6), 372-382.</p>
<p>Martinez, R. S., Sáenz, M. E., Alberdi, J. L., & Di Marzio, W. D. (2019). Comparative ecotoxicity of single and binary mixtures exposures of nickel and zinc on growth and biomarkers of <i>Lemna gibba</i>. <i>Ecotoxicology</i>, 28(6), 686-697.</p>
<p>Martinez, S., Sáenz, M. E., Alberdi, J. L., & Di Marzio, W. D. (2020). Comparative ecotoxicity of single and binary mixtures exposures of cadmium and zinc on growth and biomarkers of <i>Lemna gibba</i>. <i>Ecotoxicology</i>, 29(5), 571-583.</p>
<p>Martínez-Ruiz EB, Martínez-Jerónimo F. (2015). Nickel has biochemical, physiological, and structural effects on the green microalga <i>Ankistrodesmus falcatus</i>: An integrative study. <i>Aquat Toxicol.</i>; 169:27-36.</p>
<p>Mebane, C. A., Schmidt, T. S., Miller, J. L., & Balistreri, L. S. (2020). Bioaccumulation and Toxicity of Cadmium, Copper, Nickel, and Zinc and Their Mixtures to Aquatic Insect Communities. <i>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</i>, 39(4), 812-833.</p>
<p>Munzinger, Armin, 1990. Effects of nickel on <i>Daphnia magna</i> during chronic exposure and alterations in the toxicity to generations pre-exposed to nickel, <i>Water Research</i>, vol. 24, iss. 7, pp. 845-852.</p>
<p>Nagai T, De Schamphelaere KA. (2016). The effect of binary mixtures of zinc, copper, cadmium, and nickel on the growth of the freshwater diatom <i>Navicula pelliculosa</i> and comparison with mixture toxicity model predictions. <i>Environ Toxicol Chem.</i>; 35(11):2765-2773.</p>
<p>Nebeker, Alan V., Ann Stinchfield, Carol Savonen, and Gary A. Chapman, 1986. Effects of copper, nickel and zinc on three species of oregon freshwater snails, <i>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</i>, vol. 5, pp. 807-811.</p>
<p>Nebeker, Alan V., Carol Savonen, Rocky J. Baker, and Joel K. McCrady, 1984. Effects if copper, nickel, and zinc on the life cycle of the caddisfly <i>Clistoronia magnifica</i> (Limnephilidae), <i>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</i>, vol. 3, pp. 645-649.</p>
<p>Niyogi, S., Brix, K. V., & Grosell, M. (2014). Effects of chronic waterborne nickel exposure on growth, ion homeostasis, acid-base balance, and nickel uptake in the freshwater pulmonate snail, <i>Lymnaea stagnalis</i>. <i>Aquatic toxicology</i>, 150, 36-44. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2014.02.012</p>
<p>Nys C, Asselman J, Hochmuth JD, Janssen CR, Blust R, Smolders E, and De Schamphelaere KAC (2015). Mixture toxicity of nickel and zinc to <i>Daphnia magna</i> is noninteractive at low effect sizes but becomes synergistic at high effect sizes. <i>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</i>; 34(5):1091-1102.</p>
<p>Nys C, Janssen CR, Blust R, Smolders E, de Schamphelaere KAC (2016). Reproductive toxicity of binary and ternary mixture combinations of nickel, zinc, and lead to <i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i> is best predicted with the independent action model. <i>Environmental Toxicology & Chemistry</i>; 35(7):1796-1805.</p>

<p>Nys C, Janssen CR, Van Sprang P, De Schamphelaere KA. (2016). The effect of pH on chronic aquatic nickel toxicity is dependent on the pH itself: Extending the chronic nickel bioavailability models. <i>Environ Toxicol Chem.</i>; 35(5):1097-1106.</p>
<p>Nys C, van Regenmortel T, Janssen CR, Blust R, Smolders E, De Schamphelaere KAC. (2017). Comparison of chronic mixture toxicity of Nickel-Zinc-Copper and Nickel-Zinc-Copper-Cadmium mixtures between <i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i> and <i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>. <i>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</i>; 36(4): 1056–1066.</p>
<p>Nys, C., Van Regenmortel, T., & De Schamphelaere, K. (2019). The effects of nickel on the structure and functioning of a freshwater plankton community under high dissolved organic carbon conditions: A microcosm experiment. <i>Environmental toxicology and chemistry</i>, 38(9), 1923-1939.</p>
<p>Oláh V, Hepp A, Mészáros I. (2015). Comparative study on the sensitivity of turions and active fronds of giant duckweed (<i>Spirodela polyrhiza</i> (L.) Schleiden) to heavy metal treatments. <i>Chemosphere</i>. 2015;132:40-6.</p>
<p>Pereira CMS, Everaert G, Blust R, De Schamphelaere KAC. (2018). Multigenerational effects of nickel on <i>Daphnia magna</i> depend on temperature and the magnitude of the effect in the first generation. <i>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</i>; 37(7):1877–1888.</p>
<p>Pereira, Cecilia M.S., David Deruytter, Ronny Blust, and Karel A.C. De Schamphelaere. (2017). Effect of temperature on chronic toxicity of copper, zinc, and nickel to <i>Daphnia magna</i>. <i>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</i>, vol. 36, iss. 7, pp. 1909-1916.</p>
<p>Pereira, Cecilia M.S., Karel Vlaeminck, Karel Viaene, and Karel A.C. De Schamphelaere. 2019. The Unexpected Absence of Nickel Effects on a <i>Daphnia</i> Population at 3 Temperatures is Correctly Predicted by a Dynamic Energy Budget Individual-Based Model. <i>Environmental Toxicology</i>, vol. 38, iss. 7, pp 1423-1433.</p>
<p>Perez E, Hoang TC. (2018). Responses of <i>Daphnia magna</i> to chronic exposure of cadmium and nickel mixtures. <i>Chemosphere</i>; 208:991-1001.</p>
<p>Peters A, Merrington G, Schlekot C, De Schamphelaere K, Stauber J, Batley G, Harford A, van Dam R, Pease C, Mooney T, Warne M, Hickey C, Glazebrook P, Chapman J, Smith R, Krasso R. (2018). Validation of the Nickel Biotic Ligand Model for Locally Relevant Species in Australian Freshwaters. <i>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</i>; 37(10): 2566-2574.</p>
<p>Pickering, Quentin H., 1974. Chronic toxicity of nickel to the fathead minnow, <i>Journal (Water Pollution Control Federation)</i>, pp. 760-765.</p>
<p>Rugnini L, Costa G, Congestri R, Bruno L. (2017). Testing of two different strains of green microalgae for Cu and Ni removal from aqueous media. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i>; 601–602:959–967.</p>
<p>Santore, Robert C., Kelly Croteau, Adam C. Ryan, Christian Schlekot, Elizabeth Middleton, Emily Garman, and Tham Hoang. 2021. A Review of Water Quality Factors that Affect Nickel Bioavailability to Aquatic Organisms: Refinement of the Biotic Ligand Model for Nickel in Acute and Chronic Exposures. <i>Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry</i>, vol. 40, iss. 8, pp. 2121-2134.</p>
<p>Santos, F. M., Mazur, L. P., Mayer, D. A., Vilar, V. J., & Pires, J. C. (2019). Inhibition effect of zinc, cadmium, and nickel ions in microalgal growth and nutrient uptake from water: an experimental approach. <i>Chemical Engineering Journal</i>, 366, 358-367.</p>
<p>Schlekot, Christian E., Eric Van Genderen, Karel A.C. De Schamphelaere, Paula M.C. Antunes, Emily C. Rogevich, and William A. Stubblefield, 2010. Cross-species extrapolation of chronic nickel Biotic Ligand Models, <i>Science of the Total Environment</i>, vol. 408, pp. 6148-6157.</p>

Schroeder, J.E., U. Borgmann, and D.G. Dixon, 2010. Evaluation of the Biotic Ligand Model to predict long-term toxicity of nickel to *Hyalella azteca*, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, vol. 29, iss. 11, pp. 2498-2504.

Shuhaimi-Othman, M., Y. Nadzifah, N.S. Umirah, and A.K. Ahmad, 2012. Toxicity of metals to tadpoles of the common Sunda toad, *Duttaphrynus melanostictus*, *Toxicological & Environmental Chemistry*, vol. 94, iss. 2, pp. 364-376.

Singh, M., Khan, H., Verma, Y., & Rana, S. V. S. (2019). Distinctive fingerprints of genotoxicity induced by As, Cr, Cd, and Ni in a freshwater fish. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(19), 19445-19452.

Soucek, D. J., Dickinson, A., Schlekot, C., Van Genderen, E., & Hammer, E. J. (2020). Acute and Chronic Toxicity of Nickel and Zinc to a Laboratory Cultured Mayfly (*Neocloeon triangulifer*) in Aqueous but Fed Exposures. *Environmental toxicology and chemistry*, 39(6), 1196-1206.

Sztrum, Abelardo Andres, Jose Luis D'Eramo, and Jorge Herkovits, 2011. Nickel toxicity in embryos and larvae of the South American toad: effects on cell differentiation, morphogenesis, and oxygen consumption, *Environmental Toxicology & Chemistry*, vol. 30. iss. 5, pp. 1146-1152.

Van Regenmortel T and De Schamphelaere KAC. (2018). Mixtures of Cu, Ni, and Zn act mostly noninteractively on *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata* growth in natural waters. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*; 37(2):587–598.

Wang, N., Kunz, J. L., Cleveland, D. M., Steevens, J. A., Hammer, E. J., Van Genderen, E., ... & Schlekot, C. E. (2020). Evaluation of Acute and Chronic Toxicity of Nickel and Zinc to 2 Sensitive Freshwater Benthic Invertebrates Using Refined Testing Methods. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 39(11), 2256-2268.

Yang, Yongmeng, Yue Yu, Rong Zhou, Yan Yang, and Yuanqing Bu. 2021. The effect of combined exposure of zinc and nickel on the development of zebrafish. *Journal of Applied Toxicology*, vol. 41, iss. 11, pp. 1765-1778. doi: 10.1002/jat.4159

Yokota, Shohei, Kazuichi Nakamura, Ryo Kamata. (2019). A comparative study of nickel nanoparticle and ionic nickel toxicities in zebrafish: histopathological changes and oxidative stress. *The Journal of Toxicological Sciences*, vol. 44, iss. 11, pp. 737-751.

Yong, W. K., Sim, K. S., Poong, S. W., Wei, D., Phang, S. M., & Lim, P. E. (2019). Physiological and metabolic responses of *Scenedesmus quadricauda* (Chlorophyceae) to nickel toxicity and warming. *3 Biotech*, 9(8), 1-11.