Bogo #	Commont	Pacammandad Action
Page #	Comment	Recommended Action
General	The document describes the development of the "natural condition" DO concentrations within each Ecology assessment unit, but not how it is applied in a formal water quality assessment.	Include the process by which the "natural condition" values will be applied in practice.
General	Elsewhere, Ecology has argued that model errors between existing and natural condition model runs cancel each other out so the absolute difference between the model runs does not contain any uncertainty. However, this assumption is not explicitly stated in this guidance.	If such an assumption is made explicit, the guidance should include documentation or reference that support this assumption.
General	There are no stipulations about the timeliness of the model. All available data must be used (presumably up through the present day) but no provision is made to ensure that models are representative of current conditions. Is a model run from 2004 representative of current conditions in 2025?	Add model timeframe in addition to data timeframe.
General	The document describes the development of a single model. However there are multiple models that can model marine dissolved oxygen in Puget Sound and it may be beneficial to use multiple models in natural conditions assessments.	We recommend that the document include the possibility of incorporating multiple models into assessment as a way of developing a more robust assessment.
6	The reference to WAC 173-201A-260(1)(a)(i) seems circular. This section identifies the two alternatives, performance based and site specific.	Reference 173-201A-430 instead.
8	The second sentence in the Overview omits "scientifically defensible."	Add "scientifically defensible" to the second sentence or rewrite paragraphs since the second sentence mostly repeats all of the first to connect those methods to EPA approval as a approval which seems circular.
10	The guidance articulates concern for spatial resolution related to temporal resolution, but does not acknowledge the effect of cell resolution itself. For example, finer and finer vertical grid resolution might result in lower and lower resolved oxygen concentrations near the bottom.	Provide some guidance text regarding the need to be thoughtful regarding vertical model resoluti at least.
10	Last paragraph: How can one know if the data encompass the natural variability of a site if the natural variability is not known?	Change "natural" to "variability in space and time".
11	Water quality observations (marine water), hydrodynamics, and oceanic boundary conditions are identified in Table 1 as not having any data needs for natural conditions. This seems to be in conflict with the need to account for human-caused impacts. This could include things like climate change, boundary condition changes caused by human impacts beyond WA/OR/ID, and "global ocean circulation changes" (identified as a need on page 18).	This document should be more specific in how such human impacts will be included in the natur conditions determination, and where information about those impacts will come from.
13	Data gaps must be identified but it is not clear what constitutes a data gap either spatially or temporally.	Define objective thresholds for data gaps
16	A sufficient number" How will "sufficient" be determined?	Insert an objective statement regarding what might be considered sufficient. Here and elsewher in the document where the term "sufficient" is used but not defined.
16	The model must "reflect available bathymetry information" but we know that there are parts of Puget Sound (e.g., Port Susan) where the model depths are not realistic. This seems important to reconcile particularly since areas like Port Susan are shown to be particularly sensitive to nutrients, and it's not clear how the difference between modeled and real water depth might impact things like sediment processes and nutrient cycling.	Define allowable bounds for bathymetry and include information about how mismatches betwee modeled and actual bathymetry could impact model outputs
16	Sensitivity testing must be conducted on selected key parameters. How are these key parameters chosen?	Include information about how to objectively determine which parameters are chosen for sensitivity analysis.
17	"All feasible and practicable steps to improve model performance and representativeness of the model must be take prior to model acceptance" This seems to imply that the model will always be accepted at some point once all allocated resources are exhausted.	Revise to add that minimum skill requirements and peer-review approval have to be met before model is accepted.

l be applied in practice. lude documentation or references corporating multiple models into the paragraphs since the second

l regarding vertical model resolution

pacts will be included in the natural mpacts will come from.

red sufficient. Here and elsewhere ned.

n about how mismatches between

approval have to be met before the

17	Third paragraph, define "these requirements." What requirements beyond steps to improve	
	model performance and subjective assessment of model representativeness? Peer review is	
	here to be a determine model accortability (beyond montion of evaluating the model	Add explicit minimum model performance criteria and describe
	from work, and a clostion of a clibration parameters in various places throughout the	now it is used in decision making more explicitly within the docu
	document)	
18	It's unclear what is meant by "must account for and remove human activities that may affect	
	regional hydrodynamics." What would these activities be?	Clarify meaning and importance of this element
18	It is unclear what is meant by 'how would the effects of meteorological conditions be changed	Clarify meaning and importance of this element
	to account for natural conditions,"	otarity meaning and importance of this etement
	It is unclear what is meant by "how would the effects of meteorological conditions be changed	
18	to account for natural conditions." Is this referring to climate change? How can invasive	Clarify meaning and importance of this element
	species be accounted for?	
18	How can invasive species be accounted for?	Clarify meaning and importance of this element
18 19	Model outputs wouldn't include a description of short-term variability especially considering	Add "and short-term (sub-daily)"
	the requirement that the model produce output at an hourly time step?	
	"Freshwater hydrology as it was reflected in a hindcast year modeled may be used." What does	Clarify what is meant by "hindcast year modeled" and explain wh
	this mean? Is this a way of getting around a data gap?	to be addressed. Omit this paragraph or provide some explicit criteria or example
19	what is meant by under aggregation	of whether or not the appropriate aggregations were made
		of whether of not the appropriate aggregations were made.
	must reflect Washington's CWA Section 303(d) assessment units This is where the largest	
	disconnect occurs between the intent of the Federal Clean Water Act and Ecology's	
	implementation of natural conditions standards. Clearly, there are areas of the Salish Sea with	
	very low oxygen concentrations in the absence of human influence. Ecology's assessment units	Change the guidance to aggregate based on appropriate diverse
20	are arbitrary and do not reflect the vertical or horizontal distribution of marine habitats that are	requirements. Develop habitat specific DO criteria with appropr
	adapted to their seasonal and long-term oxygen conditions. An example of how to better match	resolution to protect diverse aquatic communities specific to th
	assessments with different marine habitats can be found in Zhang et al. (2025) where oxygen	
	criteria were applied to open water, deep water, and deep channel habitats and habitat specific	
	criteria recognizing the needs of the aquatic life specific to those habitats.	
20	Paragraph beginning with "The results of this aggregation" this documentation does not	
	address how the criteria developed will be used in an assessment. For example, how will model	Add a section to provide explicit guidance regarding how the nat
	error or uncertainty be incorporated into a comparison of model runs? How will these	be used to assess exceedance of the human allowance standar
	comparisons be presented (spatial extent?, volume extent?, temporal extent?).	
20	Criteria evaluation and application: The intent of this paragraph is unclear. Perhaps this is	
	addressing an issue that is commonly understood in the context of the current process but not	Clarify what is meant to be communicated in this paragraph.
	to an outsider?	

the peer review process steps and ument.

why this is not a data gap that needs

es that would allow an assessment

e habitats with differing oxygen riate seasonal and temporal nose habitats.

tural condition criteria values will rd.