

Anna Doty

>> Thank you for taking comments today. My name is Anna Doty and speaking on behalf of Washington environmental Council-- today to reject the speculative analysis as a basis of evaluating to Clement impacts and deny the shoreline permit for the energy for the facility. This does make some improvements by partially adjusting unrealistic the low leakage rates and finally acknowledging the likelihood that methanol produced by this facility will be used as trance rotation fuels. Despite livered efforts by Northwest innovation works to mislead your agency and the public otherwise. However the mitigation and displacement analysis is deeply concerning and is misleading and speculative and unenforceable a Sumption about this project. Dangerous to presume this analysis can accurately predict consumer behaviors or regulations for the coming four decades. The SEIS provides to do little detail on the actual mitigation that would be accomplished within this involuntary remark. Nor does it-- emissions overseas occurring. Also an X-Acto to use mitigation as a tool to simply maintain the status quo while we continue to build out the fossil fuel industry. We know that is us as usual is not-- even with all of these flaws, this announces and confirms this facility was still be become one of the greatest sources of pollution in Washington. Is unacceptable to build in a Norma's polluting facility based on speculated analysis and you should dismiss the speculative idea that this could displace even more polluting facilities and what is reasonably foreseeable and in fact assured about this project which is that it would cause millions of tons of greenhouse gas pollution each year for 40 years and inconsistent with the achieving of our climate goals.