

Not provided Not provided

Thank you. My friend Bill Brake worked in the petroleum and gas industries for about 35 years, used to testify as an expert witness, and he was aware of this facility which was under discussion before he died. One of the things that he pointed out is that the footprint that this is expected to be placed on is about 98 acres I believe, and he said for a project this big in, for instance, Texas, which knows something about gas and gas plants, even though this is a different design, the industry standard is at least 500 acres for something of this size.

This is an experimental design and it is hazardous in the extreme, and I do not believe people have been considering the added greenhouse dangers of accidents that could so easily happen with an untried facility. There is, I understand, a similar one in Australia, but this would be no. Anyway, I want to point out that as good as the promotion work sounds, methanol is a far more effective greenhouse gas, it's 86 times as bad as carbon dioxide in the short term, and, friends, all we have is the short term. As far as jobs, the industry does not have our best interests at heart. They're going to bring in people from Texas and Oklahoma. Thank you.