

Don Steinke

Although you seem to be limited to GHGs, you cannot ignore the seismic risks of this project. When EFSEC and Gov Inslee rejected the Tesoro Savage oil terminal proposed in Vancouver, they didn't base their rejection on climate, they based it on seismic risks which could not be mitigated. We heard from a geology professor that the seismic risks in Kalama were identical to Vancouver. He said that although the boundary of the subduction zone is off shore, the actual place where the plates would get stuck could be right under Kalama. That risk cannot be mitigated. Furthermore, when we have a major seismic event, (we're overdue) and the pipeline ruptures and the refinery, what number would you assign to the emissions. It is not if but when. It is likely to be larger than any seismic event in California.