

## Cynthia Svensson

Good morning. I'm Cynthia Swenson, a homeowner in Kalama, Washington. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to comment. The SSEIS repeatedly assumes an expanding market for methanol. If this is true, then the Kalama facility is adding to emissions, not replacing any at all. It doesn't have to predict it by the predicted expanding market, that should signal the end of this project. Even if we assume there is replacement, though we know most likely there will not be, then we should not assume it will replace any Chinese [inaudible] methanol.

The study states that " Within China, there is likely a preference for expanding domestic production where feasible and so expanded low-cost, coal-based methanol is expected to make up the largest share of increased methanol supplies in the coming year." We see that this is actually, most likely that any replacement would be in the important methanol sector, which is far more expensive than the Chinese coal sector, and only slightly more expensive and produces only slightly more GHGs than the Kalama facility. It's evident that the Kalama facility is most likely to add a huge amount of GHG to the atmosphere with only a remote chance that it will decrease GHG at all, so please deny this permit on the basis of your own research study. Thank you.