

## Mark Urhart

>> Thank you, my name is Mark, we live in the foothills overlooking the Columbia River. We are against this project. We purchased this property so we can enjoy the unobstructed view of the Columbia River and enjoy recreation and dining in the local area how we walk, hike and bicycle and kayak the Columbia River. Most importantly, I'm concerned about the future of my children and grandchildren. It's hard for me to count on my hand why anyone in this area would support a project that would adversely impact their health and overall quality of life. And offset of 40 years of dirty air and water cut noise and landscape pollution, and definite impact on climate change. I grew up in Southern California next to the oilfields and refineries. During my career I was stationed in Texas and observed the stinky and noisy refineries along the coastline. Trust me, we don't want to smell, we don't want the noise and the water and air pollution and the landscape pollution this facility will create. It will be in our backyard. I spent three days reading and dissecting the facts on the entire second supplemental EIS. The voluntary framework mitigation presented in appendix D is laughable.

By using the term in-state, Northwest innovation works is not willing to negate GHG's outside the state of Washington. This includes the fusion of methane and methanol burn and transport to China and as a fuel for the production. This is only one of the many glaring errors I saw and I will put the rest in writing. This project is a climate killer and the only responsible decision is for ecology to deny the shoreline permit. Thank you.