

## Norm Cimon

Yes. So, I'd like to, first of all, point out that the static market analysis is not very useful. These markets are changing so quickly that a dynamic analysis is really where you want to go. In other words, this is going to be changing very, very quickly. I'm in Oregon, I'm a systems analyst. I've worked for the US Forest Service, my own company, I worked for the EPA years ago. The crucial issue for me is that you have not examined all of the upstream costs, specifically, gas companies are now abandoning their wells, leaving them to leak methane forever. You need to go back to your analysis, go back to the upstream portion of this and start looking at that seriously.

What I mean by that is that you can do a probabilistic analysis, that's what people do, and actually get some idea of how much additional methane will be coming out, given that a lot of these wells are simply going to be abandoned. This project, as far as I can tell is not one that is designed to do anything that will benefit the environment. Looking at, and someone said, the two negatives, it's not the way to go.

Right now, Melrose, I think it's Malden, Washington has gone. We've lost any number of towns here in Oregon. The mantra I live by is that short term self-interest is greed, long-term self-interest is morality. I would ask you do, essentially the work of some serious long-term interests and make a moral decision. Make sure that this project does not go forward, we're losing too much at this point. Thank you.