

# Don Steinke

Regarding Kalama Methanol. Please respond to these questions in your final SEIS.

1. Is this proposal consistent with the sense of urgency in the latest IPCC report?
2. Is this proposal consistent with the Paris Climate Accords which China signed?
3. Inslee's Clean Air Rule requires polluters like Kalama Methanol, and the paper mills in Longview, to reduce emissions 5% every three years. How will this project comply?
4. Will pipeline leaks be monitored and fixed promptly?
5. Exactly how will the company mitigate their emissions and will their plan mitigate the instate emissions the first year? It takes years before a planted tree is very effective in capturing CO2.
6. When given a range of impacts, why did you choose the least harmful option, instead of the worst-case scenario?
7. The models that EPA and others provide for estimating emissions are notorious for low-balling. In particular, I'm thinking of the fugitive emissions of methane and the emissions from flaring from the fracking fields, the pipeline gathering area, the compressor stations and the pipeline. How will you compensate for EPA's flawed models?
8. Are you using the 20-year or the 100-year global warming potential for fugitive methane? The next 20 years are the most critical. Why not use the 20-year global warming potential?
9. China has committed to electric buses and cars. The availability of cheap methanol for fuel could displace EVs. Include the emissions impact of that.