Don Steinke

The standard operating procedure for a regulated gas monopoly, such as Williams Pipeline, is to created demand for their gas until the system reaches maximum capacity.

In that light, they view the Kalama Methanol plant as an anchor project similar to what a developer does when he gets a Safeway to build first, and then attract other business to surround it. Then

Then they go to the Utilities Commission asking permission to raise rates on existing customers to pay for added capacity needed to prevent shortages during peak load.

The Kalama methanol plant could cause shortages for some existing business depending on their preferential allocation.

That's probably why the Williams Pipeline Company is advertising heavily on FB about gas being a climate solution, which is a lie.

Does your EIS evaluate the possibility of a second pipeline and the associated emissions?