## Isaac Kastama

Hi, my name is Isaac. I'm a resident of West Seattle. Toward the end, it's hard to find an argument that has not already been made, but I would offer that the assumptions that were applied to this facility in the report are nothing to take lightly. Ecology evaluated a wide range of leakage rates using figures as high as the 3% as cited by the David Suzuki Foundation.

The department disregarded the state's facility plan to use methanol solely for materials and evaluated the use of methanol as fuel. The results of this stress test of the carbon reduction bonafides the facility are actually quite stunning. Every scenario of the clamor facility results in lower emissions than other production pathways and a net benefit in terms of global greenhouse gases.

None of these findings consider the increasing use of biofuels, RNG and sequestration technologies. They are likely to become viable over the lifetime of this project. Displacement theory as applied in this report is entirely appropriate, it is a frequently utilized and broadly accepted means of assessing climate impacts in everything from land use of biofuels, low carbon fuel standards.

Bottom line, this project has passed the climate

test and should be approved. Our impact at global climate change and its influence on forest fires, is not about what we do within our borders. Much like Washington has led with disruptive innovation in software, aerospace, and airports. It's through exporting our goods and intellectual property we create impact. We have a unique opportunity to produce the least carbon-intensive methanol in the world, a major disruption to the global materials market. Let's seize it. Please approve this permit.