

I'm opposed to the proposed Kalama Manufacturing and Marine Export Facility in any form. I've lived in the Pacific Northwest for over 40 years and have loved being on the shorelines of our great waters while camping, rafting, kayaking, fishing, hiking and cycling. There is a complete disconnect between the very idea of shoreline that most of us cherish and what the applicant intends to do with the shoreline in Kalama. From the Shoreline Management Act: "The SMA establishes the concept of preferred shoreline uses. These uses are consistent with controlling pollution, preventing damage to the natural environment, or are unique to or dependent upon use of Washington's shorelines." How can this use possibly be consistent with the mission of the Shoreline Act itself. Words matter.

This use stands in complete opposition to the goals Ecology sets forth on its website that "Washington is a national leader in cutting greenhouse gas emissions to prevent climate change. Gov. Jay Inslee and the Washington Legislature have adopted a variety of regulations, programs, and initiatives designed to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Ecology stands proud to protect, preserve and enhance Washington's environment for current and future generations." Again, words matter.

And as far as mitigation is concerned, I don't see how you can speculate about future energy decisions in foreign energy markets. No one can successfully predict the stock market day to day much less the 14,600 days of this project's length that applicant insists will be high demand for its product. By the way are they making fuel like they told their investors or plastic like they lied to you? I've watched too many tv police dramas not to know that if you lie to me about what you said then how can I know you're not lying to me now. It's not Ecology's job to try to figure out which of applicant's statements are lies and which are truths. It's too late for that and I hope too late for this applicant to lay waste to beautiful Kalama.