

Jennifer Vinnard

Trusting NWIW is like grabbing an electric fence and hoping you don't get shocked,..you know it's turned on, it's going to hurt, but you still grab it anyways because you want to believe that everything will be okay, despite knowing the most likely scenario is a powerful jolt. The draft SSEIS is still using data provided by NWIW, not independent sources like it's supposed to. The speculations are astonishing..the biggest being that this methanol plant would displace coal to methanol plants, when not one ounce of proof backs such a claim. Zero, not one single refinery in the entire world has indicated that they'll reduce or halt production if this plant is built, and with China's ever increasing methanol demands, dropping values and competitive prices will cause the inflated projected profits to plummet! More and more people are changing their support for this project, lack of trust in China, the truth about how many truly local residents might be able to work there, the amount of pollution it'll produce..which the EIS still underestimates, neglecting to include pipeline emissions, shipping emissions, being used for fuel, etc.. the only people supporting this are those who are being paid to promote it, those who still believe they might work there, and the few who stand to profit from it, most of whom don't live anywhere near the intended build site.

What has happened to people caring about the truth? The cons associated with this refinery are staggering, the risks far outweigh any amount of profit, at a projected \$40 million/yr, it's nothing compared to the \$395.5 million our state received in cannabis tax revenues just last year, which has grown each year..this refinery won't grow in profits, it'll drop due to competition and fluctuations in natural gas prices. Current natural gas users will see costs increase, the already strained pipeline cannot handle the demand of the refinery, current customers, and will hurt future construction because the facility will use all the gas available. The 2nd pipeline they'll need to build will face severe opposition as well, what happens when they can't get it approved?

Building atop dredged river landfill, the threat of liquifaction during an earthquake is enormous. The risks of the lateral pipeline, built on landslide prone hills and being ran under the I-5 freeway and train tracks leaves it vulnerable to accident caused ruptures..that is our only freeway from Portland to Seattle, if shut down for repairs, our transit system's would be completely halted. 4.6 million metric tons of ghg emissions PER YEAR, a lowballed amount, is not in line with our state and global emission goals, the negatives go on and on!

My family moved to Kalama for the beautiful mountain and river scenery, not for a gigantic smokestack billowing pollution over our land, into our waterways, into our lungs...we are begging Dept of Ecology to deny the permit and fight to keep Washington the wonderful state we've lived in our entire lives. Please don't sell out our health and our values for China's benefit, it's just not worth it! Thank you, Sincerely, The Vinnard family