

Columbia River Keeper

Hello my name is Dan Sears and the conservation director with Columbia River keeper. I want to make three per main points today. First the climate methanol refinery would be a main source of rain house gas pollution. It mistreats the project would produce 4.6 million tons of greenhouse gas pollution each year. 1 million tons within Washington State along.

If all of it is combusted for fuel the number is higher. If ecology uses more numbers for methane leakage is higher. Regardless the impact would be staggering at a step from the worst impacts of lemon change.

On this basis ecology should deny the project. Secondly, every fossil fuel company has tried to claim that is other than coal or some other fossil fuel. This is a false standard.

Millennium coal try to claim cleaner coal but no one Sears I thinks shipping 44 million tons of coal would help the claimant. And trying to ship lower carbon oil but no one actually believed that shipping printer safety thousand barrels of oil a day would help the climate. Ecology will be setting a terrible precedent by allowing Northwest innovation works to claim that it would be doing anyone a favor by using shocking 320,000,000 ft. ³ of Frak aspirate date and belching millions of tons of climate pollution into the atmosphere and dumping methanol into a global arc it for decades. Third, the green economic appendix does not support conclusion that Northwest innovation works methanol would direct late displacement coal based methanol. Stating within China there is likely a preference for expanding domestic production were feasible, expanding low-cost ethanol is excited to make up the largest share of the methanol supplies in the coming years. We cannot control the decisions of other nations or predict 40 years of market shifts, technology improvements, payment policy or trade wars. The only reasonable, foreseeable impact of this project is massive pollution. Thank you and we will submit our comments in writing.