

Karen Walker

The report given at the Jan 6 in-person meeting assessed future salmon impact by combining two variables: predicted climate changes and predicted dam impact, resulting in basically salmon extinction in the area above the dam. It would be more transparent to have climate change and dam impact calculated separately and graphed separately, because it's possible that predicted climate change alone would wipe out salmon production in that area, making the dam's impact irrelevant with regards to salmon. Also, climate predictions are famously unreliable. Graphing the two variables separately as well as combined just makes sense. Respectfully Yours.