

## Kyle Rakoz

It does not provide a benefit to us. Spending the same amount helping residents raise their homes, nature-mimic-ing measures (like China Creek or Beaver dams), and on additional statutes and policing of building will do significantly more for residents WITHOUT harming salmon and other environmental impacts. When builders and developers can just buy their way into approval and fill fill fill to lift new businesses and homes up, current residents go under. It's really basic science.

The river is going to flood. We cannot stop it. We can stop building where it floods and fix buildings that are already there. Such a stance would have much longer term economic positives than the handful of construction jobs (that would likely go to out of towners) for a brief period AND no additional environmental impacts.

We chase that new shiny dollar too much here in SW Washington instead of taking care of our neighbors and land already.

Bad for residents.

Bad for tribes.

Bad for salmon.

Bad for the environment.