

## Anonymous Anonymous

Please either fill out this survey or upload your comments using the button below.

What do you think of the California-Québec agreement? Which parts do you support? Which parts concern you?

I think that the idea of using money to hide the amount of damage companies are doing to our planet is insane. The cap-invest program should be called "invest 2 hide our contribution to global warming" program. Because that is all that it does. <br>If a company is releasing X amount of pollution into the air, Y amount into the water, and Z amount into the soil, no "offset credit" nor any amount of money, will change those numbers. Unless that money is invested by the company, to actually reduce the amount they're producing &/or the method of disposals of those pollutants.

How should we address environmental justice concerns in an agreement? Do you have recommendations for how the agreement can address concerns related to impacts on communities that have experience the greatest environmental and health burdens? (referred to in Washington as 'overburdened communities')

Not via an auction, that's for damn sure. <br>Money can't buy us a new planet, <br>It should be used to cover up dangerous amounts of toxins companies are putting into the air, soil, and water <br>