

Devon Kellogg

Please either fill out this survey or upload your comments using the button below.

What do you think of the California-Québec agreement? Which parts do you support? Which parts concern you?

I support market stability, but I'm concerned about watering down the incentives for decarbonization. This should be the primary criteria for evaluation:

Ensure linkage will not “adversely impact Washington's ability to achieve the emission
reduction limits established in RCW 70A.45.020.”

What’s missing in the California-Québec agreement that should be included in an agreement with Washington?

Please encourage California and Québec to use the more aggressive cap.

Prioritize this goal: Ensure linkage will not “adversely impact Washington's ability to achieve the emission
reduction limits established in RCW 70A.45.020.”

How should we address environmental justice concerns in an agreement? Do you have recommendations for how the agreement can address concerns related to impacts on communities that have experience the greatest environmental and health burdens? (referred to in Washington as ‘overburdened communities’)

Prioritize proceeds going towards climate and health mitigation for the most impacted communities.

What should we consider in our analysis of the linkage criteria? See the [preliminary analysis](#) we shared last year.

Prioritize this goal: Ensure linkage will not “adversely impact Washington's ability to achieve the emission
reduction limits established in RCW 70A.45.020.”

Upload a comment letter or provide additional comments.

Please refer to WA 2021 State Energy Strategy for priority mitigation projects.