

## Jeanne Poirier

Please either fill out this survey or upload your comments using the button below.

What do you think of the California-Québec agreement? Which parts do you support? Which parts concern you?

While it can seem more powerful to join together in mitigation of climate numbers, my concern is too often it makes it easier to impact communities who already experience horrible pollution and invasion of their native lands.

What's missing in the California-Québec agreement that should be included in an agreement with Washington?

Who is leading this charge? WA? CA? Canada? - a mix of all? <br>Honestly - I'm not sure what is missing from the agreement.

How should we address environmental justice concerns in an agreement? Do you have recommendations for how the agreement can address concerns related to impacts on communities that have experience the greatest environmental and health burdens? (referred to in Washington as 'overburdened communities')

Adopt the policy of Front & Centered here in WA. These folks need a seat at the decision table and absolutely need to receive 40% of the funds raised for the work THEY DECIDE is critical.

What should we consider in our analysis of the linkage criteria? See the [preliminary analysis](#) we shared last year.

No input on this - I probably looked at it but not going to read 100 pages to answer now.

Upload a comment letter or provide additional comments.

Very much appreciate the work and effort on this. Honestly things are so wonky in our world I think WA would be better off not linking with CA, Quebec.