

Annas

Deriposun

Bubong Cawayan, Marantao, Lanao DEI Sur

Marantao

Philippines

9711

annasderiposun@gmail.com

Dear Commissioners,

Duke University researchers announced in January that they are finding radioactivity in Pennsylvania sediment from a Pennsylvania stream near a plant where fracking waste is treated. Science is still catching up with the damage fracking has done everywhere it's been done. Meanwhile, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change has said, "Climate change challenges the traditional assumption that past hydrological experience provides a good guide to future conditions." Michael Mann says that it would be a mistake to see extreme weather events as the 'new normal'. What we are dealing with is an "ever-shifting baseline." "So, there isn't a new normal," he explains. "Things get continually worse if we go down this highway." We are dealing with many unknowns and when we do learn something, it's never good news. There are no bases except political expedience and greed that advocate for doing anything but banning all fracking related activities.

Transmission lines have served as the conduit that connects fracking in Pennsylvania to power plants, processing facilities, export facilities, and other infrastructure projects that have spread through the state and across its borders into neighboring states. In the final report of the Pipeline Infrastructure Task Force, PA DEP chief John Quigley said that within a few years, "In the next decade, Pennsylvania will undergo a substantial pipeline infrastructure build-out to transport gas and related byproducts from thousands of wells throughout the state.... The result will impact communities and the environment in every PA County." The DRBC's draft regulations do not address pipelines or any of the other infrastructure build-out occurring in the basin. Nevertheless, the regulations that would allow fracking waste to be brought into the basin and clean water to be taken out enable more fracking. And more fracking means more pipelines, more infrastructure., The DRBC is considering fracking regulations it is not capable of enforcing. The Commission relies on the watershed states' environmental regulators to carry out enforcement of the rules it sets. State regulatory agencies are chronically understaffed and underfunded. It is unreasonable for the DRBC to place on state regulators the burden of enforcement of dangerous practices like fracking waste processing and extraction of water for fracking operations outside of the basin., DRBC's draft regulations do not specifically propose that injection wells that would hold frack wastewater be allowed in the Delaware River Watershed. However, they do propose to allow

wastewater from fracking to be brought into the Watershed for storage, treatment and discharge so “storage” could mean long-term storage of wastewater in underground wells within the Basin. This is a practice that threatens public health and the environment. Injection of wastewater does not “treat” waste or remove contaminants, it simply moves the toxic wastewater produced by fracking from one place and time to another. It risks the migration of untreated toxic and radioactive frack wastewater to aquifers and surface water through leaks from the injection well and spills and accidental releases while being handled. Injection wells are causing earthquakes in Ohio and Oklahoma as well as other locations, as documented by USGS and other scientific institutions. Injection wells are not leak-proof and can exposing groundwater and aquifers to contamination from the toxic mix that constitutes untreated frack wastewater when seals are broken and fractures occur as a result of seismic activity., When a site is developed for gas well development, the change is dramatic, essentially transforming the land to an industrial landscape. The result is destruction of acres of vegetation (8.8 acres per well pad in 2011 with 30 acres of forest impacts due to edge effects, more than double that is the trend today), soil compaction and destruction of the natural land contours, alterations to watershed drainage patterns, and hydrologically connected systems such as wetlands and vernal pools. Habitats and complex ecosystems are disrupted or lost. 85% of the Upper Delaware where the Marcellus Shale is located is forested. Forest destruction and fragmentation in turn destroys the ability of the forest ecosystem to capture, clean, and infiltrate precipitation, removes the trees that sequester carbon, reduces biodiversity, encourages invasive species, and destroys vital habitat., Changes to stream water quality occur where gas drilling and related activities are located. For instance, a publication of the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences found streams adjacent to gas wells are negatively impacted by runoff and sedimentation (Total Suspended Solids), harming benthic life, fish and wildlife and causing streams to be eroded and destabilized. DRBC follows the state’s stormwater rules where a project is located, leaving loopholes in current nonpoint source laws that allow fracking activities to escape strict oversight. This would be a recipe for disaster if fracking were to occur, which is why it must be banned in the Delaware River Watershed., DRBC regulates withdrawals from streams with the use of a “pass-by flow” or “minimum flow limit” that limits the amount of water that can be withdrawn to protect streams from being overdrawn. However, a pass-by flow that is based on using the Q7-10 (the flow which occurs for a period of seven consecutive days one time in 10 years – considered “drought flow”) is not adequate to protect waterways and the life that depends on them and can be expected to cause direct harm to the habitats and water quality of the stream. Using the Q7-10 allows the stream’s flow to be artificially “flattened” because the natural flow regime and seasonality will be disrupted and potentially eliminated. An ecological flow analysis of the waterway and an inventory of the species and habitats that live there is an essential protection. To set an ecological flow to govern withdrawals regulators must measure the natural variation of the waterway’s flows in terms of volume, rate, temperature, stream structure, and quality to understand the needs of species and the effects of flow on habitat and water quality. Setting protections based on a waterway’s ecological flow regime is necessary to give needed protection but that is not what DRBC does or is proposing to do. The withdrawal of water under the draft and current regulations will significantly damage the ecosystems and species of the streams and rivers that would be tapped.

The newly released Compendium of Scientific, Medical, and Media Findings Demonstrating Risks and Harms of Fracking, 5th Edition speaks to the simple fact that you cannot completely protect people from fracking operations. We cannot prevent accidents from happening. As documented many times handling wastewater in the Delaware basin will cause impacts and contamination. It is not a question of if but when and where., The Compendium of Scientific, Medical, and Media Findings Demonstrating Risks and Harms of Fracking's authors cite a 2017 study which found that “fracking wastewater discharged into rivers and streams through treatment plants created dozens of brominated and iodinated disinfection byproducts that are particularly toxic and “raise concerns regarding human health” (pg 18).

Sincerely,

Annas Deriposun