73

MR. DIACONT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Thank you. My name's
Blayne Diacont. I grew up in Lehigh
Valley. I'm an outdoorsman. I also
kayak the Delaware. I studied geology
in college. I worked as a
hydrogeologist, private consulting for
over ten years providing cleanup to
groundwater contaminations and
developing high capacity water supply
wells for drinking water purposes.

I then worked for the SRBC for six years where I co-authored the aquifer testing guidance document, managed surface water withdrawals in the natural gas industry.

HEARING OFFICER:

If you could keep your voice up and use the microphone, please?

MR. DIACONT:

For the last seven and a half years, I worked as a water resource manager and operator for the natural gas industry. I'm speaking

today as a scientist, as a worker for the natural gas industry. I believe in science and what we do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

We have the ability to successfully produced clean burning natural gas, and also being great stewards to the environment. In my company, my division, we sourced all the water for the least five years in the main branch of the Susquehanna We recycle 100 percent of our River. We drastically reduced our water. fresh water by utilizing produced water at a rate of 60 percent of our total The document produced by the volume. DRBC states a lot of concern regarding water demand and usage. I'm in a unique position to state I strongly believe these concerns are overemphasized and misrepresented, and that each one of them can be addressed in the appropriate regulation.

You only need to look at your adjacent basin and sister agency for guidance in this regard. Their

existing regulations in the basin are utilizing both protection policies in determining what water stream is sufficient to support withdrawals, condition approval to limit rates, performing impact analysis, and performing studies can all be done at your agency as they have successfully done so at SRBC.

1.3

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

Utilization of the 2016

SRBC assessment report as a footnote to document the basin is at best troublesome. The SRBC document states things like to date the Commission monitoring programs have not detected discernible impacts to the quality of the basin's water resources as a result of natural gas development. This discrepancy is either a lack of understanding of regulatory tools available and used by the SRBC and other agencies, or a lack of effort to coordinate with those agencies.

Although I can speak at length of misconceptions presented in

the 22-page document, today, I'd also like to just speak on two specific points. Number one, the DRBC stated that 70 percent of their watershed is underlain by the Marcellus and Utica. This is an unrealistic representation when considering what part of the basin is actually underlain by developed natural gas.

1.3

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.4

a map briefly, it's more like ten
percent. The report also attempts to
equate the fact that our industry
continues to achieve longer laterals
for horizontal wells and that there is
more water required for the well. In
the context of the report, it implies
that it's a bad thing. Instead, longer
wells should see some positive
technological advances because longer
laterals can ---.

HEARING OFFICER:

You got 15 more seconds, sir, because of the interruption. You have 15 more seconds.

77 1 MR. DIACONT: 2 Thank you. 3 HEARING OFFICER: 4 If you make perhaps, the 5 second point. 6 MR. DIACONT: 7 Yeah. Your attempt to 8 equate the fact that our industry 9 produced longer laterals for horizontal 10 wells and that this equals more water 11 required to complete the well, in the 12 context of the report it implies that 1.3 it's a bad thing. Instead, it is a 14 positive technological advance because 15 longer laterals equals less water 16 needed to complete the wells. It's 17 irrelevant as long as the withdrawal is 18 properly regulated, managed and 19 performed to avoid impacts to the 2.0 source. 2.1 HEARING OFFICER: 2.2 Okay. I have to stop you 2.3 there. Okay. 2.4 MR. DIACONT:

Thank you.

25