## Michele Irwin

Like most Wyomingites, my husband and I are not anti development. We know how important it is to the state. But we've also long held to the belief we could have "growth on our terms." That's what win-win solutions look like.

Unfortunately, the current proposal is not a win for the state, the people, or the wildlife. DEQ has an opportunity to go back to the drawing board and do this right.

We need to protect a Class A river, a state park and recreation area, and drinking water source to many down stream. Considering that Wyoming is a headwaters state, I think there are many who like to think DEQ is doing the best it can to ensure our waters are the best they can be.

Whatever solution is found, in stream temperature control and testing for chemicals and salts needs to be part of any discharge process. Preferably water is completely treated before discharge. Some creativity may be required if discharge is into the locals streams, as they may still "poison Boysen." Piping may be the best solution.

There is a win-win solution here. Don't go forward until you've found one, please. Thank you.