

Gayle Janzen

**What is your gender?**

Female

**Age?**

67

**County (or Counties) of Primary Residence?**

King

**Residential setting:**

Urban

**Do you identify yourself as any of the following?**

Environmentalist

**WDFW has identified a list of impact topics to include in the Plan/EIS. Impact topics are a means of organizing the discussion of issues and analysis of impacts. Impact topics can be thought of as chapter or section headings in the Plan/EIS.**

**Please review this list and add other topics, or items that fit under these headings.**

**Please check the topics you view as most important.**

Wolf conservation and monitoring

Wolf classification/status

Wolf management areas

Wolf-livestock conflicts

Wolf hunting

Translocation

Land management

Habitat connectivity

Information and education

Reporting and evaluation

Goals objectives strategies and tasks

Please list other topics here. The next page provides space for general comments on the scope of the plan.

Reducing livestock grazing on our public lands especially those in prime wolf habitat

**Do you have general comments about the scope of Washington's updated wolf conservation and management plan?**

Like Governor Inslee, I urge you to stop killing so many wolves to keep one or two ranchers happy. The current wolf management plan is not working well for the wolves, so I worry that your new plan will be just as bad since your department seems to put the needs of ranchers above protecting our wolves. It's time for your management plan to put the needs of wolves, a native species, ahead of the ranching industry's profits. Livestock do NOT belong on public land as they are non-native and destroy the ecosystem. I object to we taxpayers having to foot the bill when the state goes out to kill wolf packs to keep the peace with the ranchers. You claim that the current management plan requires ranchers to use all non-lethal methods to keep the wolves away before they can be killed, yet how do we know the ranchers are actually doing that? Since the taxpayers have to foot the bill every time the state kills wolves, I would hope a new plan would be more transparent and keep the ranchers accountable to the taxpayers. If the ranchers don't want to co-exist with wolves, then why don't they graze their cattle where there are no wolves??? Your current plan is rigged against the wolves and the taxpayers, so time to put OUR needs ahead of the ranching industry's profits. I would hope that your new plan would put more effort into educating the surrounding communities on how the wolves benefit the ecosystem if they are allowed to live and thrive. Wolf haters need to understand that wolves are intelligent, family-oriented beings who just want to live in peace. It's the ranchers causing all the trouble, not the wolves,