

Matthew Van Camp

**What is your gender?**

Male

**Age?**

56

**County (or Counties) of Primary Residence?**

Thurston

**Residential setting:**

Rural

**Do you identify yourself as any of the following?**

Environmentalist

Hunter

Outdoor Recreationist

**WDFW has identified a list of impact topics to include in the Plan/EIS. Impact topics are a means of organizing the discussion of issues and analysis of impacts. Impact topics can be thought of as chapter or section headings in the Plan/EIS.**

**Please review this list and add other topics, or items that fit under these headings.**

**Please check the topics you view as most important.**

Wolf conservation and monitoring

Wolf classification/status

Wolf management areas

Wolf-livestock conflicts

Wolf-ungulate interactions

Wolf interactions with other species

Wolf-human interactions

Wolf hunting

Land management

Habitat connectivity

Information and education

Research

Reporting and evaluation

Goals objectives strategies and tasks

Costs and funding priorities

Economic analysis

Please list other topics here. The next page provides space for general comments on the scope of the plan.

I strongly believe that predator reintroduction should be very closely monitored to avoid what has happened in the case of the last native carabou herd of Washingtons being decimated by wolves before finally being deported for their own safety. That was irresponsible and a big waste of money as those wolves should've just been shot in my opinion. Better Research would have saved money.

**Do you have general comments about the scope of Washington's updated wolf conservation and management plan?**

I think we should be more proactive in our Wolf management goals, I think that the aggressive reintroduction of wolves, s displayed by the need to depopulate some wolves from areas by Native Americans and ranchers so early in the recovery plan forebodes the upcoming problems; We've got to keep from Yo-yo-ing back and forth as many other states have done with they're reintroduction efforts. Our ungulate population is healthy, lets not over strain and weaken it because a largely uneducated, regarding Wolves, general population wants wolves in the wild without understanding the entire

predator - prey interaction statewide. Again, I say we must read other states successes and failures surrounding this subject. Funding should come out of the General Fund, not Fish and Wild life's funds, which are paid for by Sportsmen and not the General Population, which is driving this Wolf Reintroduction forward.