

Aida Mendez

Good morning,

1. I think that it would be prudent to sample non-targeted PFAS three times in the life of the permit and if the permit expires and is not reissued within the next five years and so on, keep sampling non-targeted PFAS three times every five years. Instead of requesting non-targeted sampling at intermediate points in the treatment chain (WS stations), to limit the economic burden, the non-targeted sampling could be done at the outfalls (comment related to Fact Sheet, pg 35).
2. Given the contamination that 3M has already created, I would request that the NPDES permit includes that external audits will be done of the waste stream at the intermediate waste stream locations and at SD001 and SD002 outfalls. This is not a new concept.
3. 3M should notify the East Metro area via community newsletters, etc (i.e. Woodbury City Update), the date when it plans to do the annual disclosure of factual information (comment related to Fact Sheet pg 38).

Thanks for the opportunity to comment,

Aida Mendez, PhD, PE