

Lisa Bodine

How will MPCA narrow down which data indicators to include?

- What needs clarification or updating?
- Are there standards missing? Any that can be removed?
- Are any of these standards more important than others?

What data matters most to you?
Looking at these topics and subtopics, what needs clarification?

While we agree that the cumulative impacts tool will be incredibly useful, we feel that permitted facilities in good standing should be omitted from an additional cumulative impact analysis. Alternatively, MPCA should add a fast-tracked process for these facilities.

How are companies evaluated? How will they know they need to do a cumulative impact analysis? How will that information be shared with the public?

Which topics and subtopics do you think are most important for being included in a data tool?

n/a

What is missing? What do we need more of?

We would like to see clarification on what the tool will do and how it will be used. Will it be a map that allows users to explore impacted areas and understand what facilities are operating (and thereby emitting/polluting) in that area, the company's impact (tied to specific air, water and land pollution KPIs), and the company's remediation initiatives? We'd like the tool to show how facilities improve over time.

Additionally, since Minnesota is such a diverse state, it will be important to customize for each community to ensure that the community's key interests are represented. This would require community groups/task forces/leaders to help determine what the KPIs should be for each community.

What else should we know?

- What are the important things you want us to know about your neighborhood?
- Are there specific types of pollution, environmental stressors, health stressors, or other topics you experience in your neighborhood?
- What are other important things we should consider in a cumulative impacts analysis – they can be social political economic?
- What do you think is missing?

How will MPCA narrow down which data indicators to include?

What needs clarification or updating?

n/a

Are there standards missing? Any that can be removed?

MPCA should consider working with tribal communities to define indicators specific to those communities. It is important to involve tribal communities and engage tribal leaders at the highest level for community discussions and

negotiations.

There needs to be more discussion around who is monitoring/tracking/bringing the information to the public and who should represent each community. Companies will have legal representation, while communities may not, which will create an imbalance on who can negotiate and make decisions on the community's behalf. We'd like to see informed citizens along with representatives from cities, public health, environmental lawyers, tribal leaders and business leaders at the table. A professional, neutral third party should be facilitating these ongoing discussions to ensure decisions represent each community's best interests.

Are any of these standards more important than others?

n/a
