

Gerrit VanderWaal

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this Decision Document. Below are my suggestions/questions:

- Throughout: use "ethene" instead of "ethylene" to conform with IUPAC preferred nomenclature; ethylene is legacy terminology.
- Pg. 3 par. 2: states "a remedial investigation focusing on the former building footprint was completed in 2023", but Attachment 3 does not list a report dated 2023. If this text is referring to WSP's "Remedial Investigation Report" dated 2/7/2024, change 2023 to 2024 and merge par. 2 with par. 3. Otherwise, add the report referenced to Attachment 3.
- Pg. 3 par. 7: change "breakdown" to "degradation" to conform with the rest of the document.
- Pg. 4 par. 1: change "reference value" to "SRV".
- Pg. 4 par. 2: if there are documented concentrations of metals in soil that exceed their respective default MPCA Soil Leaching Value (e.g., nickel, pg. 2 par. 3), and documented concentrations of metals in groundwater exceeding MDH HRLs (e.g., nickel in well 1000021893 on 9/19/2022), then how can it be the case that there are "no regulatory exceedances of metals in Site groundwater that would indicate metals concentrations in soil are leaching to groundwater above typical background concentrations"?
- Pg. 4 par. 3: change "regulatory exceedances" to whatever regulatory limit is being used to make this determination. EPA MCLs? Lowest HRL? Lowest of combined HBV+HRLs?
- Section VI #1 & #2: Add "Residential" per pg. 2 par. 2.
- Section VI #3: Specify which HRLs - the lowest published at time of final Decision Document publication? For example, TCE has two unique HRLs, 0.4 ug/L and 2 ug/L.
- Attachment 3: if the MPCA was provided final versions of documents, remove "draft" where present. If MPCA was not provided final documents, consider obtaining them and determining whether differences between draft and final versions impact this Decision.