

Jess Landucci

Contents of a cumulative impacts analysis: What do you think about the overview of the quantitative analysis concept so far?

I believe it is moving in the right direction. There is always room for improvement but I appreciate being able to have collaborative conversations between community members and staff.

Contents of a cumulative impacts analysis: How can the facility work with the community to bring the data found in the data tool to life?

I believe they can keep the dialog open. I am not sure of what this answer is but I believe that it will come together in some way by continuing the conversations.

Contents of a cumulative impacts analysis: What would your expectations be for the facility to transparently use your lived experiences in this analysis?

I think the facilities need to be fully aware of what the community has to say and or been through. This would help them understand why they do or do not what their facilities in their neighborhood.

Substantial Adverse Impacts: What do you like about this approach to substantial adverse impacts? What concerns do you have?

I believe it is a good start. The approach is decent. My concern is that they are not going to be strict enough on the minimum to server adverse health impacts have on the community and the facilities will get away with polluting too much.

Substantial Adverse Impacts: The PCA is considering using lived experiences and other forms of qualitative data as part of this analysis.

Wonderful, this makes this very impactful and shows the true impact on humans lives.

Substantial Adverse Impacts: How would you like the MPCA and the facility to consider your lived experiences and stories as part of this analysis? How should we collect them? How should the consideration of quantitative and qualitative data be balanced in this analysis?

I believe that using as many true stories from all of these communities is super important. I believe it will take a special team of people just to do outreach of finding people to talk about their lived experiences. You can't rely on people to come and find you. You have to meet the people where they are so it is going to take a lot more effort than just sending an email out about a meeting advertising a dinner and a gift card. You are going to have to go and engage at local places that community members go everyday. I am unsure of how to balance the data.

Substantial Adverse Impacts: How should the MPCA review lived experiences/qualitative analyses when deciding if a facility has substantial adverse impacts?

I believe you will have to review the lived experiences and compare them to the data you have about the environmental and health impacts known to be scientifically proven from the particular pollutant you are dealing with from the particular facility. The more you can prove the link between data, environmental impact, and health impacts the more the reason to shut down the facility and change the zoning.

Any other general comments or feedback:

Keep listening to the community.