

Cas Foster

MPCA,

Thank you for the opportunity to submit a comment on this issue. Based on the feasibility study and additional materials provided by the MPCA, I strongly believe that the MPCA and Ford should move forward with Alternative 6. While Alternative 4 does a better job of remediating the area than Ford's preferred Alternative 3, it does not fully address the contamination of the site. I think it's best to fully remediate the site.

I believe that we have the opportunity to remove all the contaminants from the site, instead of kicking the proverbial can down the road for future Minnesotans who may have to redig up the site to fully decontaminate it. We should not let the difficulty and cost of full remediation, or the potential short term risks, prevent us from fully dealing with the level of contamination present at the site.

Cas Foster

University of Denver

Environmental Policy and Management Program

Graduate Student, 2026