

Mary Vlazny

I believe option 6 should be pursued.

This toxic situation has been passed on generationally as "sufficiently" contained when it has in fact merely been covered over making it harder to remediate. We cannot leave this mess for future generations. Leaving any of this toxic waste in area C will make it more challenging, dangerous, and expensive to remove in the future.

Ford Motor Company, The City of St. Paul and the Corp of Engineers should be responsible for the removal cost of their portion of the remediation. The initial toxic waste, Ford Company, is a hazard for land, water and life in the area and will eventually impact the ground water and the Mississippi river watershed south. The City of St Paul and Corps of Engineers have found it to be a convenient dumping ground which has made the cleanup of the toxins more difficult, extensive and hazardous. Ignoring this is no longer an option.

More extreme weather events make this a more pressing and urgent clean-up need.

Long-term monitoring simply puts off the inevitable need and increased expense of remediation. By the time ground water monitoring detects contamination the damage is done.

Action to clean up this site, in its entirety, must be done immediately, completely, and with extreme caution and skill.