

jane dow

it's important that the act have concrete goals and reduction timelines. Ideally, every two years there should be a reduction of 10%. After two years reduction should be 10% by weight; after 4 years 20%, 6 years 30%, 8 years 40% and 10 years 50%. Having goals of reduction 10% every two years keeps the producers on track and accountable. If they don't have specific timelines and goals, brand companies are more likely to not keep on track.

Also, the focus should be on reduction of plastic, not recycling plastic better so fee funds should go towards replacing plastic with reuse/refill systems and setting up infrastructure to allow that. If the focus is on recycling plastic better, we will just keep putting more toxic chemicals and microplastics into the environment. We have to develop alternative reuse systems with glass bottles that we can wash and reuse and bottle return/refund system to make sure they are collected and reused. Also the toxic chemicals phthalates, bisphenols, PFAS, anti-flammatories and heavy metals in plastics should be banned and chemical recycling should be banned. We need to replace plastic with other sustainable materials as well. So funding fees should go towards supporting alternative materials to plastic like seaweed, algae, silkworm, mushroom root etc.