

Aubree Derksen

There needs to be analysis regarding water availability across industries given aquifers stretch across the region, the state and across state lines. Riverview is not happening in a vacuum, it is happening in the context of data centers that are also popping up exponentially wanting to draw from the same water sources as Riverview and use similar and higher amounts of water. We're also facing the same battle with them in trying to have it be required that they also must complete an EIS-not a single one of the now 20 some hyperscale proposals has completed an EIS and 7 are in court. 75% of Minnesotans rely on groundwater for their source of drinking water. The MPCAs own site lists 1800 old, unpermitted, mostly unlined solid waste dump sites that are contaminating our groundwater, with 43 construction and demolition dump sites being monitored only as of 2010 showing arsenic, boron and manganese at levels beyond human and environmental standards and mitigation efforts are not helping. That's only 43 out of 1800 that are even monitored? And the data centers alone could use millions of gallons of water a day each plus chemical contamination from industrial wastewater they claim is nonexistent (Pine Island) and they're not doing an EIS, and now Riverview wants 316 MGY plus contamination from manure. It is absolutely essential that MPCA require an EIS if it stakes any claim at all to caring about protecting clean water.