

Jo Ann Gruber

After spending time reviewing the four (4) maps, it appears to me that Map 7 is the most reasonable and fair; and it's the one that I support.

Since Eagle River and its surrounding areas' populations are too small for a full district, they've always needed to pull population from portions of Anchorage. There are individuals who support leaving areas of Muldoon or other areas of Anchorage out of the district completely. This would be simply unfair to everyone in the Municipality since it would leave District 2 significantly underpopulated and violating the principle of one person, one vote.

I live in Eagle River, and I believe it's extremely important to have equally populated districts to ensure that all districts have the same voting power. Leaving District 2 significantly underpopulated would give them more voting power than other districts in Anchorage.

I understand that communities in Muldoon have felt disenfranchised by being included in the same district as Eagle River, so it's time to consider another pairing.

I believe that Eagle River and the Hillside share much more in common than Eagle River does with any portion of Muldoon. Both communities (Eagle River & Hillside) are mainly rural with larger building lots. Both have limited road service areas and use well and septic systems. In addition, at an assembly meeting last year, I heard Mr. Weddleton and Ms. Allard discuss how similar both areas were.

So, for the reasons stated above and the fact that it has the lowest deviation of the four maps still being considered, I support the boundaries proposed in Map 7 Version 2 submitted by Robert Hockema.

Thank you for allowing us an opportunity to comment.