## Airlines for America

These comments are submitted on behalf of Airlines for America. Thank you.



We Connect the World

May 29, 2025

Re: New Mexico Environment Department Proposed Heat Illness and Injury Prevention Rule

Ms. Phoebe Suina, Chair Environmental Improvement Board 1190 St. Francis Drive, Suite N4050 Santa Fe, NM 87505

Dear Chair Suina and members of the Board:

Airlines for America (A4A)<sup>1</sup>, the principal trade and service organization of the U.S. airline industry, appreciates the opportunity to comment on the proposed heat illness and injury prevention rule.

Due to the nature of scheduled commercial airline services, this proposed rule has the potential to create a number of operational problems for our industry:

- Heat exposure assessment: Further clarity is desired as to whether this assessment
  would occur only initially or continue to be performed regularly. A one-time heat
  exposure assessment that informs the control measures is strongly preferred to
  continuous assessment, particularly in light of the consistent summer heat and the
  work activities within our members' operations.
- Acclimatization: Restricting work activities to implement gradual acclimatization
  could initially have a significant impact on multiple flights per day per affected
  employee. Incremental allowances of heat exposure beginning at 80°F TemperatureHumidity Index (THI) would reduce existing available staffing and require hiring
  additional employees to fulfill work assignments. Similar acclimatization schedules,
  such as those proposed in federal OSHA's heat related illness proposed rule,
  provide high-heat triggers as an alternative to gradual acclimatization. The New
  Mexico proposed rule instead compounds requirements.
- Rest breaks and staffing: During high-activity periods, aircraft arrive and disembark
  from an assigned gate within an average of 30-60 minutes. When heavy work is
  occurring outdoors with the heat index at 95, it is neither efficient nor sustainable to
  rotate a ramp crew after working 45 minutes. To do so (i.e. replacing crew in the
  middle of a turn) would require additional briefings and potentially cause confusion
  or error in the loading of cargo or other necessary flight preparations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A4A members are: Alaska Airlines, Inc.; American Airlines Group Inc.; Atlas Air, Inc.; Delta Air Lines, Inc.; Federal Express Corporation; Hawaiian Airlines, Inc.; JetBlue Airways Corp.; Southwest Airlines Co.; United Airlines Holdings, Inc.; and United Parcel Service Co. Air Canada, Inc. is an associate member.

- Emergency response in Albuquerque: Albuquerque, New Mexico, frequently handles
  flights diverted due to medical emergencies, weather conditions or aircraft
  maintenance issues. These additional flights can require an 'all-hands' response in
  order to manage the additional workload and receive an unscheduled arrival.
  Attending to these aircraft and passenger needs is imperative and should be
  considered for relief in these situations.
- Scheduling clarity: Further clarification is desired regarding work/rest schedules.
- Recordkeeping: Additional recordkeeping requirements proposed for detailed individual employee monitoring, environmental tracking and crew scheduling impart a greater administrative workload to supervisors, who need to be available to the operation they lead.

We would appreciate the opportunity to further discuss these and other challenges we see with application of this rule to commercial aviation.

Sincerely,

Cory Snyder
Cory David Snyder

**Director Aviation Safety and Operations** 

Airlines for America