

David Levene

Letters against issuing the mining permit. Grisel Levene. David Levene

12/29/2013

Dear Arizona Department of Environmental Quality,

I'm writing to urge you to deny Scott's2 s hazardous permit renewal (06AZ0006387) for discharging purged aquifer water into Hershaw and Alum creeks. The possible impacts are unacceptable and fall under two main categories:

1. dewatering due to aquifer pumping, and
2. chemical and physical deterioration due to increased flow rates in Hershaw and Alum creeks.

First, reducing the water table level in these mountains will deny numerous springs, streams, and livestock tanks of their water supply. The change in hydrologic gradients around the mine will very likely change the paths of local recharge, where current springs emerge, potentially drying natural water sources. Such sources of water that provide rare and essential habitat for various imperiled species, including the Mexican Jaguar, are irreplaceable and already threatened by a changing climate. Furthermore, tens of thousands of acres of livestock rangeland will very likely be severely impacted as groundwater fed livestock tanks are dried.

Expected harms from water discharge are so deeply concerning (up to 5.6 million gallons of water per day will be dumped into Hershaw Creek and up to 177,000 gallons per day into Alum Creek. Such large volumes will far exceed the usual water inflow, and base flows in these creeks, and will even surpass Santa Clara Creek's flow rate by over three times. This scale result in significant consequences, including severe upstream erosion, excessive downstream sedimentation, and a potential burial of vital water sources, including downstream riparian concentrations. The Hershaw Creek and Alum Creek. The concern is heightened due to the unique and irreplaceable value of the areas immediately surrounding springs. Fisheries propose that these refuge like areas may harbor more than 20% of endangered and threatened species in the area, a remarkable statistic given their relatively small land surface area, as indicated by the Springs Stewardship Institute. Such large volumes of water introduced into these creeks will lead to reduced time recruitment for riparian species like cottonwoods and sycamores — over time, significantly changing both the landscape, and its species composition.

Further, because the water discharges are continuous, the affected riparian will become water-logged, meaning a reduced capacity for soils and sediments in an area to absorb high volumes of water in heavy rain events, making downstream flash flooding more intense and less predictable, significantly increasing the danger to residents, property, and public infrastructure during storms.

Additionally, high rates of flow could liberate and transport high volumes of numerous toxic heavy metals stored within the sediments of both Lower Hershaw and Alum creek, a remnant of legacy and mine drainage resulting from two generations of unjust-regulated mining practices. It has been well documented that both creeks are severely impacted as demonstrated in the 2012 University of Arizona thesis "Reassessment of Heavy Metals from Santa Rita Peaks in the Mounting Commission by Amy Kane, Draining to the Valley, Reductive Colloidal" where a

for example, soil samples from Lower Hershaw creek were determined to be "potentially very hazardous" (see 06AZ0006387 permit and lead 1915.5 EPC-1). These numbers are alarming, especially when taken with the very real possibility of such contaminants being transported by Scott's2 discharge into the groundwater basin from which Pinalonga well is dug for residential use. Not to mention the introduction of this contaminated water into the federally recognized riparian habitat wetland that is Santa Clara Creek. The Arizona Department of Environmental Quality seems to be either unaware or unconcerned with these threats, as well as the fact that the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System and Aquifer Protection Permit only name a single discharge point of roughly 200 feet downstream of the actual discharge. Scott's2 also to use Hershaw creek and Alum creek as natural pipes to discharge their water, and that the flow point of discharge is not where they release it from their pipe, but where it comes into contact with the vital waters of Santa Clara Creek and Pinalonga riparian habitat.

At the very least, ADEQ must create additional points of compliance and require Scott's2 to fund continual remediation of more contaminated creek beds if they seek to pump water into them, which endangers not only the health of the delicate riparian ecosystem, but also the residents of Pinalonga, and visitors to the area who enjoy the drinking water, recreation, and fish that would be made hazardous if you choose to do nothing.

For all these reasons, I strongly urge you and the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality to deny the permit for this project.

Sincerely,

David Levine
David Levine



David Levine
99 High Ridge Rd
Dublin, NU 03449 87 0

