

From: [Angela Rosaria Cancilla](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: * "Secondary Poisoning," Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 9:02:23 AM

You don't often get email from angelasfeathers@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

The sheer number of raptors, and other predatory animals that Wildlife Rescues try and save from "**SECONDARY POISONING**" is unconscionable. This only backfires as the deaths of all the owls, hawks, falcons, coyotes, fox, ringtails, skunks, etc. ...yes and even cougarsthat will be killed who eat the dying prey ...and allowing the rodent problem to be able to multiply and explode ...is unconscionable .

Please stop allowing their outrageous greed to win and keep destroying our environment.

Ciao, Angela Rosaria Cancilla , angelasfeathers@gmail.com

From: Castro, Laura@DWR
To: CDPR Rodenticide Comments
Cc: [Dulik, Karen@DWR \(she/her\); Hatler, Charyce@DWR](mailto:Dulik, Karen@DWR (she/her); Hatler, Charyce@DWR)
Subject: 9.24.25 workshop question follow-up
Date: Thursday, September 25, 2025 11:41:59 AM

You don't often get email from laura.castro@water.ca.gov. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Hello,

Yesterday during the DPR Anticoagulant Rodenticide Informal Public Workshop, Jill Townzen requested that I email my question to confirm her response. I have a few other related questions.

1. Do man-made structures include canals, all their associated maintenance roads, and their banks? Jill stated she believes so.
2. The proposed language states that an employee or contractor of a governmental agency or public utility is exempt from Article 5 Use Requirements (a), (b), and (c) if an anticoagulant rodenticide is used “for purposes of protecting water supply and hydroelectric energy generating infrastructure and facilities....”
 - a. To confirm, would requirement (d)(4) apply to a State agency that transports and distributes water?
3. The Department of Water Resources is a State agency with many neighboring agricultural landowners. The neighboring landowners that use rodenticide typically use T-shaped bait stations. There are locations where these T-shaped bait stations are placed within our right of way. These bait stations allow what we would consider non-target wildlife, such as kangaroo rats and other small mammals, to easily access the bait.
 - a. Would the use of FGARs by adjacent agricultural landowners be regulated or are they exempt under requirement (d)(6)?
 - b. Would agricultural landowners be required to create plans that state and justify the specific species they are targeting and be required to use Best Management Practices, such as modified bait stations, that would prevent impacts to non-target species?
 - c. Since SGARs are not noted in (d)(6), please confirm whether or not the use of

SGARs by licensed applicators and commercial pest control businesses will be regulated in agricultural fields and if their use would be restricted within 50 feet of listed man-made structures.

Thank you for your time.

Laura Castro

Environmental Scientist
Department of Water Resources
691 N. Laverne Avenue, Suite 104
Fresno, CA 93727
(559) 552-4450 (main office)
(559) 920-2385 (work cell)

From: robin-ellison@comcast.net
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: AB1788. AB2552, AB1322
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 12:22:34 PM
Attachments: [coyote pup rodenticide mange.png](#)
[rodenticide baby owls.webp](#)

You don't often get email from robin-ellison@comcast.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I have learned that the state is considering rolling back the anti-coagulant rodenticide legislation of AB1788, AB2552, and AB1322, and I would urge you not to do this. These are absolutely disastrous poisons to the environment. They kill in primary, secondary and tertiary ways, causing barbaric suffering to the animals as they move up the food chain. Sometimes the killing is slow over a period of months or years as the immune system weakens and infections set in, sometimes fairly fast as with baby animals. Please look at photos of animals that have died of anti-coagulant rodenticide poisoning. Baby owls that are bleeding from the eyes, coyotes and cougars thin and with mange. Please do not worsen this horror again.

Robin Ellison
Napa

From: [Grisafe Nick USRS](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Agricultural site definition and use of SGAR"S and FGAR"S
Date: Wednesday, September 24, 2025 11:37:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

You don't often get email from nick.grisafe@syngenta.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Good Afternoon

Please advise if a 1/2 acre orchard would qualify as an agricultural site in a residential neighborhood where the fruit is used for food products sold online and at markets.

Regards



This message may contain confidential information. If you are not the designated recipient, please notify the sender immediately, and delete the original and any copies. Any use of the message by you is prohibited. Syngenta seeks to preserve and promote competition and deter anticompetitive conduct. All our employees and partners are required to act in accordance with laws and Syngenta "[Code of Conduct](#)"

From: [Carey Rekedal](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: All anticoagulants should be banned!
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 10:08:31 AM

[You don't often get email from careyrek11@yahoo.com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

All anticoagulants should be banned!

All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Where I live in Martinez, I share my neighborhood with owls, hawks, coyotes, raccoons, opossums and other such wildlife. Please don't backslide on these 3 bills that have already been passed! Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them!! When you poison the rats you poison everything that eats it.

Please don't backslide on these bills.

Carey

From: [Ms Poppy](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: anticoagulants
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 2:56:29 PM

You don't often get email from mbawdon@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

All anticoagulants should be banned in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).

The three bills passed since 2020, AB 1788, AB 2552 and AB 1322 are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California.

Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

You are bound by the law to protect wildlife. Allowing over 100 days of anticoagulant use each year—during which time numerous species of non-target wildlife and pets like cats and dogs could be exposed to these poisons, is utterly unacceptable.

Thank you.

Monika

From: [JJ Harris](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Anticoagulant rodenticide
Date: Wednesday, October 15, 2025 1:25:43 PM

You don't often get email from jjpancake@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Hello,

Please don't weaken the restrictions on anticoagulant rodenticides. There are other products that can be used. We need to protect our wildlife from poisons, and so many species eat rodents. Rodents that ingest anticoagulant rodenticide move slowly and make an easy target for hawks, eagles, owls etc.

Thank you for your attention to my opinion,

Judith Harris

724 6th St

Petaluma CA

From: [Jerry Meral](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: anticoagulants
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 8:58:20 AM

You don't often get email from jerrymeral@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Dear DPR

Please do nothing to allow anticoagulants to be used in California as rodenticides. The damage to raptors and other carnivores from these chemicals is overwhelming.

As a formerly licensed pesticide applicator, I am convinced that methods are available to control rodents without the use of anticoagulants.

Thanks for considering these comments.

Gerald H. Meral, Ph.D.
Natural Heritage Institute

--
Jerry Meral

jerrymeral@gmail.com
415-717-8412

From: [Lundin, Shannon](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: AR comment
Date: Wednesday, September 24, 2025 12:11:48 PM

You don't often get email from shannon.lundin@cep.sccgov.org. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Hi there,

Here are some clarification questions/ issues that I have after watching the webinar?

If AR's are restricted, but no restricted materials permits are required:

- how will it be enforced that user has completed the training and plan?
- How will pesticide dealerships identify if businesses are allowed to purchase and use AR's?

Perhaps a certificate or card could be provided at the end of training with expiration date that could be provided to CAC's or PDM's to prove they have taken training

Shannon Lundin

Senior Biologist/ Standards Specialist
Santa Clara County Division of Agriculture
1553 Berger Drive
San Jose, CA 95112
Phone (408) 918-4682; Cell (408)690-7630

From: [Jasmin Patricia Ramirez-Strain](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Clarifying question
Date: Friday, October 10, 2025 1:08:27 PM

You don't often get email from jramirezstrain@ucanr.edu. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

To whom it may concern,

My name is Jasmin Ramirez-Strain, and I work for the University of California. I am reaching out because I attended the informal workshop on DPR proposed mitigations for the Anticoagulant Rodenticides (ARs GEN I & II).

I was wondering if it would be possible to meet with someone from DPR to ask the following questions:

1. How will the new proposed mitigations impact institutional sites such as College campuses in which there aren't only dorms and classrooms but industrial, Agricultural and non-ag sites such as warehouses for storage, restaurants that store food, agricultural experimental farms that may face rodent infestations?
2. I am aware that some of the ARs have been restricted to specific sites and uses since Jan 2024. Which Active ingredients are allowed for Institutional use? For example, rodent infestation in dorms or other types of buildings?

I appreciate your time and consideration in answering these questions.

Kindly,

Jasmin Ramirez-Strain
UC ANR Risk and Safety Services
Center of Excellence IPM lead
cel: 530-760-0052, *texting ok*
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Agriculture and Natural Resources

From: [Judy Neuhauser](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Clarifying question
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 3:09:45 PM

You don't often get email from judyneu@slonet.org. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I have read your proposals for anticoagulant use, but am confused on what types of “manmade structures” would be included in the list of acceptable “exempted sites” that would be able to use anticoagulants for 35 days.

Would that include registered applicators to put out anticoagulants at:

- Residences?
- Restaurants?
- Businesses?

Thank you for your clarification.

Judy Neuhauser
Morro Coast Audubon Society Conservation Committee

From: [Daniel Scali](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Clarifying questions
Date: Saturday, October 25, 2025 4:00:23 PM

You don't often get email from daniel.s.scali@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Hello,

1. For the exempted sites and uses, is this a correct understanding of proposed changes?:
Permits are no longer needed but certification and licensure to administer restricted materials is needed for all ARs. Could an uncertified unlicensed exempt business owner administer the rodenticides themselves?
2. Since AB 2552 bans obtaining all ARs with limited exceptions, do the new proposals change how ARs may be obtained? I'm curious how the new sites under exemption, grocery stores and restaurants, would be able to obtain/purchase rodenticides.
3. Why do the sections in the "crosswalk" say the prohibitions for grocery stores and restaurants are "maintained" when in fact they are becoming newly exempt?

Thank you for your time,

Dan

From: [Janet Krovoda](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment against proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 9:48:55 AM

You don't often get email from jdkrovoda@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I write today to strenuously object to any "mitigations" that would weaken existing laws governing the use of anticoagulants in California. Anticoagulants pose unacceptable risks to non-target animals, both domestic and wild, and there are far more effective, and economical, methods for controlling pests than these poisons. AB 1788, AB 2552 and AB 1322 are all crucial to the protection of our state's spectacular and precious wildlife, including owls, hawks, foxes, coyotes, and raccoons and endangered wildlife such as condors and San Joaquin kit foxes. These toxins cause immense suffering and harm to these non-target species, who are indeed the ones to provide the best natural pest control. Please ensure that our flagship California bills remain robust and continue to set an inspiring example for the rest of the country, and world.

Sincerely,

Janet Krovoda
1868 Imperial Avenue
Davis, CA 95616

From: [Tyler Johnson](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed anticoagulant rodenticide modification in CA
Date: Friday, October 10, 2025 12:02:23 PM

You don't often get email from tylerjohnson27@yahoo.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Hey Department of Pesticide Regulation,

I hope you are well. I attended and participated in the public hearing on 9/24/2025. I have to say, I am sickened by what you guys are proposing. Let me say something, I know you guys have worked hard creating your proposal; and you may say, "Oh we worked hard creating this proposal, let's allow our draft to become law and be done with this, because we worked hard and the bill is nicely written, clear, let's just make this law..." Do you know the consequences of that? Do you know what will happen? I know what will happen. That bill that you are responsible will result in more beautiful wildlife / predators of our state being sickened, living lives of misery. Do you realize the pain Mountain-lions go through, Barn Owls go through, coyotes go through, when they hunt an easy-to-catch rat, not knowing that rat is easy-to-catch because it just consumed anticoagulant rodenticides? That majestic predator has now made a fatal, excruciating mistake, bleeding from the inside out, now we have one less predator to get rid of rodents.

Please hear me, I want to keep humans safe from rodent-disease-carrying just as much as you do, but your proposal will not do that, because you will sicken and kill at a higher rate the predators that naturally do that. **All I am proposing is to keep the three bills that are currently law** (AB 1788, AB 2552, AB 1322) because their proposals create a safer environment for our predators, which in turn gets rid of disease carrying rodents.

Thank you for taking the time to read this,

Sincerely a California resident: Tyler Johnson.

From: [K Ehrenfeldt](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Thursday, October 30, 2025 1:48:29 PM

You don't often get email from kmehrenfeldt@icloud.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Dear Representative at the California Department of Pesticide Regulation,

I care deeply about the health of our environment and its native species, and request the following:

- ***All anticoagulants should be banned in California***, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- ***There should be no backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- ***Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them***. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Thank you,

KM Ehrenfeldt
kmehrenfeldt@icloud.com

From: [Morgan](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Thursday, October 30, 2025 11:23:21 AM

You don't often get email from morgan@uniray.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I am concerned about the recent proposal to "mitigate" the provisions of AB 1788, AB 2552 (the Poison-Free Wildlife Act), and AB 1322. These bills were passed by elected representatives, clearly expressing the will of Californians, and I do not appreciate this attempted end-run around citizen priorities.

There is no valid reason to endanger pets and other wildlife, including already stressed native species, not to mention species that are predators of rodents, in the name of rodent control. Effective methods that do NOT cause collateral damage to other species are readily available. And even "nuisance species" should not be subjected to the cruel effects of anticoagulants - there just isn't any excuse to be using them at all in the Californian ecosystem.

Californians have spoken on this issue. Abide by our decision, which is OURS to make, not yours.

Morgan
Alameda, CA

From: [Joanne Dawson](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Thursday, October 30, 2025 9:58:57 AM

You don't often get email from j_dawson@msn.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Good morning,

The recent discussion about loosening anticoagulant rodenticide laws in California (CA) to address infestations is misdirected. These bans must remain in place to protect pets, children, wildlife and the environment. The rat problem is not caused by the lack of anticoagulant rodenticides. The problem is due to ineffective pest control methods and reversing the protections against these poisons will not solve the problem. The focus by the state should be around more education, more funding for oversight/enforcement and more aggressive prevention before infestations arise. We must not forget why they were banned in CA and banned for retail use by the EPA. Banning requires changes in behavior, products used, and business models but CA must not allow rodenticides to be poisoning wildlife and our environment because of lack of education or business inconvenience.

New requirements and funding for IPM enforcement should be put in place requiring businesses to maintain their properties to prevent and exclude rats/mice. These proposed mitigations are merely a loophole for pest control companies and lobbyists, not a policy to address public health and real solutions.

When reading about the recent infestation at a supermarket that required it to close for 5+ months it seemed clear that rat populations in adjacent fields, the structure of the store (sealing, door sweeps, etc), landscaping and IPM had not been aggressively addressed to keep the population under control. In short, the property owner, pest control company and county allowed the problem to get out of control. Nor was there discussion of exactly what other pest control techniques had been used before addressing this infestation.

Allowing property owners to not use IPM, and permit their structures/properties to attract rats and not addressing infestations immediately is the problem. The answer is not more anticoagulant poisonings, which become increasingly ineffective over time. There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Thank you for your time,

Joanne D.

J_dawson@msn.com

From: [Trailfinder](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 9:43:12 PM

[You don't often get email from sacajawea@gmail.com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

No rodenticides no anticoagulants!

Save the birds and let them do their hunting.

No backsliding, please! So many unintended victims of these thoughtless applications!

There are other methods to control rodents—zap them, barriers installed, lethal traps...more

Thank you,

Margaret Goodrich
Portola Valley Ca

From: [David Shellenberger](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 7:45:56 PM

You don't often get email from dshell99@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: AB 1788, AB 2552 (the Poison-Free Wildlife Act), and AB 1322. All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these poisons.

Rodenticides are inhumane and cause secondary poisoning of wildlife, including birds of prey and mountain lions.

Thank you.

David E. Shellenberger
Bethel, Connecticut

Volunteer Advocate for International Animal Welfare

From: [Robin Down](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 5:18:58 PM

You don't often get email from govegan143@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

- ***I am a taxpayer and registered voter and this is extremely important to me!!***
-
- ***All anticoagulants should be banned*** in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- ***There should be no backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- ***Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.*** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Sincerely yours,
Robin M. Down
93455

From: [Cara](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 5:18:21 PM

[You don't often get email from cara.sander@comcast.net. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

These proposed “mitigations” will greatly weaken the provisions of the “Poison Free Wildlife Act”. This department, the DPR, is bound by law to protect wildlife. All anticoagulants should be banned in California. There should be no backsliding on the 3 bills, AB 1788, AB 2552, and AB 1322. All 3 bills are crucial in protecting Wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. I urge you to follow the laws that protect our Wildlife.

Cara Sander

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Nancy Reyering](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons: please read
Date: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 4:56:04 PM

You don't often get email from nanzo@me.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

- ***All anticoagulants should be banned*** in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- ***There should be no backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- ***Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.*** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Thank you,
Nancy Reyering
Woodside, CA

From: [Denise Ellestad](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 3:33:26 PM

[You don't often get email from denise.ellestad@gmail.com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

I do not support the use of anti-coagulate poisons anywhere in California. They cause great harm to the animals who eat poisoned rodents, including vultures, hawks, mountain lions, condors. In addition, I don't want to worry about my dog eating a poisoned animal. We owe it to other creatures on this earth to put in the extra effort to reduce rat populations. It can be done without poison; it just requires more effort. In my personal experience, making sure attics, basements, etc are sealed and also keeping one's house and yard clean go a long ways to keeping rodents at bay.

Denise Ellestad
Sonoma County

From: [Jan Schachter](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 2:39:10 PM

You don't often get email from janschachter@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

No back sliding on rodenticides, please.

Jan

Jan Schachter
190 Golden Hills Drive
Portola Valley, CA 94028
www.janschachter.com
650 678-5404

From: [Deborah Erickson](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 1:56:26 PM

You don't often get email from debe1967@me.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

All anticoagulants should be banned in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).

Deborah E.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Penny Noel](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 1:30:52 PM

You don't often get email from penny.noel@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Please don't lessen California's ban on rodenticides. I see so many animals with mange when I am hiking and that comes from eating poisoned animals.

thank you

Penny Noel

From: [Finn Ware](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 28, 2025 3:08:24 PM

You don't often get email from finnegansware@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Dear California Department of Pesticide Regulation,

It has come to my attention that on September 24, 2025, your office held a public hearing on your proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rodenticides—the poisons that our communities have fought so hard through litigation and legislation to better regulate.

These “mitigations” will greatly weaken the provisions of the Poison Free Wildlife Act and other laws passed in California since 2020. Please know that these recent actions are not acceptable, and you are bound by the law to protect wildlife.

Your proposal to allow over 100 days of anticoagulant use each year will expose numerous species of non-target wildlife and pets like cats and dogs to these poisons.

I am begging you to please:

- Ban all anticoagulants in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- Please do not get pressured into backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Please remember that anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Finn Ware (he/him)
PennVet VMD Candidate, Class of 2026

From: [Helen Jenkins](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Friday, October 24, 2025 2:43:00 PM

You don't often get email from helen.jenkins@me.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Hello

I am deeply concerned about the proposed mitigations of the California Department of Pesticide Regulation (DPR) for anticoagulant rodenticides.

Please protect wildlife, pets, and children from these dangerous products.

- 1) All anticoagulants should be **banned in California, with no exceptions**, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- 2) There should be **no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020**: AB 1788, AB 2552 (the Poison-Free Wildlife Act), and AB 1322. All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- 3) **Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Sincerely,

Helen J
Helen.Jenkins@me.com

From: [Sue Compton](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 21, 2025 12:58:24 PM

You don't often get email from pettech@live.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

- ***All anticoagulants should be banned in California***, with NO exceptions, other than for a verified public health or environmental emergency. This is already the law, for very good reason!
- ***There should be NO backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons. We must be responsible for our precious wild creatures - and for our pets and children. Because:
- ***Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.*** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.
- Please- DO THE RIGHT THING! Keep all lives safe by preserving these laws as they stand.

~Sue C

From: [Michelle MacKenzie](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Saturday, October 18, 2025 2:14:44 PM

You don't often get email from michellehmackenzie@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I write because I am extremely concerned regarding proposed “mitigations” on anticoagulant rodenticides.

We have worked hard to pass AB1788, AB2552 and AB1322 to protect California’s precious wildlife and pets from the rodenticides saturating our food chains. These anticoagulants are incredibly toxic. They kill or cause irreparable damage to any living being who eats them because they spread other non-target wildlife and pets rampantly. Creatures which naturally keep our rodent population in check - such as raptors, bobcats, foxes and such - ingest their poisons and become sick and unable to contribute their important ecosystem services. Indeed, these poisons can even be found in endangered species and have recently made news by being found in the meat of invasive pigs.

All anticoagulants must be banned in California. No exceptions except for environmental or public health emergencies. Studies have proven too well the danger these toxins have in our environment. Backsliding must not occur.

Sincerely,

Michelle MacKenzie
michellehmackenzie@gmail.com
Menlo Park

From: [Patty Thomas](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Friday, October 17, 2025 2:44:09 PM

You don't often get email from patty.g.thomas@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rodenticides, which would significantly weaken the protections established by the Poison-Free Wildlife Act and other critical legislation. These mitigations represent a dangerous step backward for California's wildlife, ecosystems, and public health.

California has made commendable progress in curbing the use of these indiscriminate and highly toxic substances through the passage of AB 1788, AB 2552 (the Poison-Free Wildlife Act), and AB 1322. These laws were enacted to address the well-documented and devastating impacts of anticoagulant rodenticides on non-target species, including pets, children, and our state's iconic wildlife. Any attempt to dilute their provisions undermines the intent of the legislature and the will of the public.

I urge DPR to uphold the following principles:

- **All anticoagulant rodenticides should be banned in California**, with no exceptions other than for genuine public health or environmental emergencies—an allowance already provided under current law. These poisons are not only unnecessary in most cases, but their risks far outweigh any perceived benefits.
- **There must be no backsliding on AB 1788, AB 2552, and AB 1322.** These bills are essential to protecting California's biodiversity and preventing further harm to our ecosystems. Weakening their enforcement or creating loopholes through mitigations would be a grave mistake.
- **Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate.** They cause internal bleeding and death in any animal that ingests them, including pets and endangered species. Children exposed to these substances can suffer serious health consequences. In California, the effects have been especially well documented: owls, bobcats, hawks, and other natural rodent predators are dying or becoming gravely ill after consuming poisoned prey. Even the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox—already endangered—are at risk.

California should be a leader in humane, ecologically sound pest management. I urge DPR to reject these proposed mitigations and instead strengthen enforcement of existing laws that protect our wildlife and communities from the dangers of anticoagulant rodenticides.

Sincerely,

Patricia Thomas

From: [Jacqueline Deely](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Friday, October 17, 2025 11:45:05 AM

You don't often get email from jacqueline.deely@icloud.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I urge you to NOT roll back protections and current laws relating to toxic pesticides.

All anticoagulants should be banned in California, with no exceptions other than public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).

There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: AB 1788, AB 2552 (the Poison-Free Wildlife Act), and AB 1322. All three bills are crucial in protecting California's beautiful native wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive are ingesting poisoned rats and mice. Their death is slow and painful. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and San Joaquin kit fox.

This is a major step backwards. Why is these even being considered???

Jacqueline Deely
32 Cramden Drive
Monterey, CA 93940
408-813-2953
jacqueline.deely@me.com

From: [Anita Pereira](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Friday, October 17, 2025 8:39:41 AM

You don't often get email from soapplant@hotmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Dear DPR decision maker,

I am writing to voice my utter dismay about the backslicing on AB 1788, AB 2552, and AB 1322. All of these bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from utmost suffering and slow deaths. How is it that as moral beings you can justify allowing these poisons to be used in our world?

To quote Gandhi-- "The moral progress of a nation can be judged by the way it treats its animals" . Don't you agree? Surely you and your colleagues have kind hearts....?

Please help the world progress, not regress.

Sincerely,

Anita Pereira

From: [Debbie Donahue](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 15, 2025 6:15:06 PM

You don't often get email from ddonahue805@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

“Mitigations” will **greatly weaken** the provisions of the [Poison Free Wildlife Act](#) and other laws passed in California since 2020. Weakening this Act will result in non-target species dying, including pets. Please do not allow that to happen!

Debbie D.

ddonahue805@gmail.com

From: [Patricia Briggs](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 14, 2025 5:35:17 PM

You don't often get email from phoenixzephyr1253@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I am literally shocked to learn the California DPR is renegeing on wildlife protection laws and trying to allow over 100 days of anticoagulant use each year, when we now accept just how diabolically cruel and unsafe these poisons are. You know full well how the exceptional number of predatory animals that keep rodent populations in check are being decimated, and endangered species such as the CA Condor and San Joaquin Kit Fox are impacted as well.

It is the duty of your agency to move forward in accordance with the recently passed laws to protect wildlife, not go backwards.

Poisons don't belong in the environment, harming its inhabitants, our pets and children. Please do not allow their return!!

From: [Shelby LaMotte](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 14, 2025 10:27:53 AM

You don't often get email from shelby@rothlamotte.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I am writing to urge you to deny the “mitigations” for the increased use of anticoagulant rodenticides. These poisons are too broad spectrum, non-specific toxins that have a very broad reach into non- target species.

Our environment is already so fragile and under attack from all sides. Please don’t loosen the rules and make it worse.

The laws enacted to protect children, household pets and non-target wildlife are working at a minimum. Allowing use beyond the law is unacceptable to the people and animals of California. You are bound by these laws, it’s your job to adhere to them. AB1788, AB2552 and AB1322.

Please do not allow the “mitigations” to go forward. Too much is at stake.

Respectfully and with hope,
Shelby LaMotte

Shelby LaMotte
Roth LaMotte Landscape Architecture
Fairfax, CA 94930
415-699-2316 mobile

From: Gabi
To: CDPR_Rodenticide_Comments
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 14, 2025 10:24:31 AM

You don't often get email from gabiwolf@aol.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

- **All anticoagulants should be banned** in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- **There should be no backsliding** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- **Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.
- Please make sure our precious wildlife is not suffering unnecessarily more than it already is!

Sincerely, Gabi

Shader Retired Kindergarten Teacher, gabiwolf@aol.com

From: [John and Freddy Howell](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Sunday, October 12, 2025 2:59:12 PM

You don't often get email from jandfhowellz@icloud.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I have personally witnessed both deer and ground squirrels suffer a harsh death from anticoagulant ingestion. It was awful to watch. I also rescued a young great horned owl that had fallen out of its nest. After I transported it to our local wildlife rehabilitation center, they put it into care and discovered that it had been exposed to anticoagulants through the food fed to it by its parents. All its feathers started to fall out and it had to be euthanized. This horrible occurrence happens much too frequently.

All anticoagulants should be banned in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Do NOT weaken the Poison Free Wildlife Act. I want to live in a poison free state where non-target wildlife and pets are not in danger.

Frederica Howell
Templeton, CA

From: [JoAnn Harley](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Sunday, October 12, 2025 10:23:24 AM

[You don't often get email from jaharley2@pacbell.net. Learn why this is important at
<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

we have worked so hard to get the bills to ban anticoagulant rat poisons banned. Don't backslide with the proposed mitigations now

JoAnn H

From: [Nancy Elliot](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Sunday, October 12, 2025 7:33:43 AM

You don't often get email from chi4horses@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I read the proposed “mitigations” to the rodenticide bans and am extremely worried. Allowing 100 days, or even a week, keeps this vicious cycle of poisoning our environment and unintended poisoning of wildlife going. I am a veterinarian with 35 years of experience in treating anti-coagulant poisoning in companion animal species and wildlife. I also participate in raptor nest surveys and migration counts, and know the devastating consequences of these cruel poisons on birds of prey, vultures and their food population.

California needs to lead the way, as it does in so many things, towards eliminating these toxins. Please DO NOT ease the restrictions on anticoagulant rodenticides in any way.

Thank you,
Nancy Elliot D.V.M.

"If you can do something, why worry? If you can do nothing, why worry?" shantideva

From: [Sue Johnson](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Saturday, October 11, 2025 1:27:58 PM

You don't often get email from blueheronsue@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

[Any suggested talking points; feel free to add]
[Your name - initial only for last name is ok] [Your email]

I love birds, especially owls.

Finding a dead, dried-out, great Horned owl carcass in the more remote back area of my house, was a shocking and very sad event.

We were using a pest-control company to help control rats. They stated that their "bait boxes" were the best method for killing rats while keeping other nature safe.

That was a completely inaccurate statement! : The rat takes the bait and runs with it to its den. The whole distance , from bait box to den, that rat can be caught and eaten by many animals and suffer the same fate as the beautiful Great Horned owl in my back yard.

I don't think the Clark Pest Control made a mistake; I think they lied. It took me only one dead owl to understand how their bait boxes work.

Recently, my neighbors were in tears telling me about their dog who had been hospitalized, and then died , from eating poison that the city was using in public spaces.

They ended up with a huge vet bill, and no pet dog.

This is a very personal issue for me.

No Mitigations!

Follow the law!

Sue Johnson
blueheronsue@gmail.com

From: [Dan Foldes](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Friday, October 10, 2025 4:24:13 PM

You don't often get email from danfoldes@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

- ***All anticoagulants should be banned*** in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- ***There should be no backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- ***Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.*** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

From: [Melanie Lary](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Friday, October 10, 2025 9:27:11 AM

You don't often get email from mel_lary2@yahoo.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Dear California Department of Pesticide Regulation,

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California, as can be seen with these three bills that have been passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#).

All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons. This is why the proposed changes by the California Department of Pesticide Regulation are unacceptable and **all anticoagulants should be banned** in California.

Thank you,
Melanie L.

mel_lary2@yahoo.com

From: [Gophers Limited](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Thursday, October 9, 2025 5:23:09 PM

You don't often get email from gopherslimited@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Dear DPR Staff and Director,

I was under the assumption that since DPR's mission is to regulate these dangerous poisons as there is so much data on the effects they have on non target species, pets and especially young children. I had hoped that you would be strong enough to hold the line and not have your judgement swayed by lobbyists and government edicts.

I have been teaching alternatives to poisons, especially anticoagulants for over 30 years with much success.

Please see my website for more information.

Sincerely,

***Thomas Wittman, Owner
Gophers Limited Wildlife Control and Supplies
gopherslimited.com
303 Potrero St, Santa Cruz, CA 95060
831-335-2400***

- ***All anticoagulants should be banned*** in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- ***There should be no backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- ***Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.*** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

From: [Jennifer Hocutt](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Thursday, October 9, 2025 3:52:21 PM

You don't often get email from jenhocuttpuc@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

- ***All anticoagulants should be banned*** in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- ***There should be no backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- ***Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.*** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

AND I have lost a pet to poisoning from eating a dead rat. It's not just wildlife that is threatened!

Encourage the help of raptors.

J Hocutt
jenhocuttpuc@gmail.com

From: [charlotteharbeson](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Thursday, October 9, 2025 3:13:32 PM

[You don't often get email from mdlarkfarm@gmail.com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

Please protect California's wildlife by NOT ALLOWING 100 days of anticoagulant use each year. Numerous non target wildlife and pets would be affected.

Thank you for your consideration.
Charlotte Harbeson
mdlarkfarm@gmail.com

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Tanya Luhrmann](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Thursday, October 9, 2025 9:16:27 AM

You don't often get email from luhrmann@stanford.edu. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Please please do not mitigate these! They kill the raptors that prey on the rodents—and we need those raptor. I frankly think that a better solution is rodent birth control, from Contrapest, but in any event these anticoagulant poisons are evil—they are painful, they pass up through the food chain, and they harm our wildlife. Cheers Tanya

From: [Sharon Anderson](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 7:15:18 PM

You don't often get email from sbandersqk@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Please do not mitigate the banning of rodenticides. The ban on all such poisons contained in The Poison Free Wildlife Act AB2522 should remain in effect. These bans were put in place for good reasons which have not changed. They still enjoy wide public support. Why are you considering reducing these necessary protections?

Please reconsider and do not be swayed by minority interest groups.

From: [Lynda Thompson](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 5:34:12 PM

You don't often get email from lt06fx@earthlink.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

We have a pair of owls that regularly nest on our property in Thousand Oaks, CA. Since our community stopped using anticoagulants we have had the pleasure of having these owls and other raptors frequent our area plus the bonus of no longer feeling overrun with rodents. We also haven't found dead hawks in our yard (we had two over the prior years - both tested positive for rodenticides via a necropsy.)

Anticoagulants are cruel poisons, causing internal bleeding in pets (one of our dogs narrowly escaped death after ingesting another poison - snail bait - many years ago - the convulsions were frightening to watch.)

There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Thank you for your concern for our pets and wildlife. We all benefit by keeping the balance in nature by eliminating the use of these toxins.

Sincerely,

The Thompson Family
Thousand Oaks, CA

From: [Anne Scanlan-Rohrer](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 3:47:22 PM

You don't often get email from annescanr@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Please do not accept the proposed mitigations on the provisions of the Poison Free Wildlife Act and other laws regarding anticoagulant use.

These poisons kill numerous pets and wildlife each year. It's ridiculous because encouraging raptors such as owls to inhabit and hunt on agricultural lands is much more efficient than poison use.

There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Thank you.

Anne Scanlan-Rohrer

annescanr@gmail.com

From: [Patricia Kale](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 2:09:40 PM

You don't often get email from pat_kale1@yahoo.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Comments from Patricia Kale of Orinda, CA:

- ***All anticoagulants should be banned*** in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- ***There should be no backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- ***Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.*** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

From: [Jeanette](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 10:04:41 AM

You don't often get email from dyrkss@yahoo.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Dear Committee,

Please consider these points seriously:

- ***All anticoagulants should be banned*** in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- ***There should be no backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- ***Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.*** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Thank you,

Jeanette Hanneman, dyrkss@yahoo.com

From: [Ken Wheeland](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 9:42:49 AM

You don't often get email from ksafarri@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

[All anticoagulants should be banned] in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).

There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

I have seen a Red-tailed Hawk Die with maggots coming from its belly.

NO change to Ca. laws.

From: [dara norris](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 9:14:20 AM

[You don't often get email from zozuna@mail.com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

I oppose mitigations that will undermine CA's rodenticide laws and allow for over 100 days of anticoagulant use each year.

All anticoagulants should be banned in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. Please do the right thing.

Sincerely,
Dara N
zozuna@mail.com

From: sarahbstewart@yahoo.com
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 9:13:19 AM

You don't often get email from sarahbstewart@yahoo.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

We are very, very opposed to the use of anticoagulant rodenticides and all the harm that they cause non target animals, including those who keep the target animals in check.

There is ABUNDANT research on the truth and enormity of the untargeted deaths with the use of anticoagulant rodenticides.

Therefore we believe strongly that all ALL anticoagulants should be banned in CA with NO EXCEPTIONS (except exceptions currently in the law). Therefore there should obviously be no back sliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

The pest control lobby claims that incorrect usage by individuals is the cause of the unintended wildlife deaths that occur. That is wrong: Even correct usage by licensed pest control companies results in the death of nontarget animals. This is because anticoagulant rodenticides bioaccumulate and pass into the bodies of the predators that eat the poisoned rodents. Because pest control companies are the biggest users of anticoagulant rodenticides, they are also the biggest killers of secondary species.

This killing of many other animals is a terrible and rampant problem. We are wildlife lovers and really object to the impact on general wildlife. And pets! Again, much of the wildlife impacted are those who keep down rodent populations.

We also think that anticoagulant rodenticides are a horrible way to die and a stupid way to manage rodents..

There are safer and kinder ways to manage rats! We would be using them and finding others if anticoagulant rodenticides were illegal.

In short ALL anticoagulants should be banned in CA with NO EXCEPTIONS (except exceptions currently in the law).

There should not be back sliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Thank you for your careful attention to our comments, The Stewart Family, 85 Garfield Street, Watertown, MA 02472

From: [Janice Nikora](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 7:58:08 AM

You don't often get email from janicenikora@me.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

To Whom It May Concern,

I am a very concerned resident of Southern California. I live near several state parks and wildlife corridors and saw, with my own eyes, the damage done by anticoagulants and other poisons used to “control” rat and mouse populations, during my work with the California Wildlife Center.

We successfully fought for an anticoagulant ban in our City (Malibu) and, since then, have seen the return of great horned owls, red tail hawks and other species of hawks that are now flourishing in Malibu. Any remaining rat and mouse problems are EASILY controlled with spring traps now that the predators are doing their share.

I strongly encourage the following, for the health and wellbeing of our pets, wildlife and children:

- ***All anticoagulants should be banned*** in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- ***There should be no backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- ***Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.*** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Sincerely,
Janice Nikora
Malibu, CA

From: [Alison Pohlman](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 7:50:22 AM

[You don't often get email from silverliningsarereal@icloud.com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

To whom it may concern,

Please continue to protect, not poison our circle of life. Not only is it absolutely cruel to allow the use of poisons, but they do not just affect the “intended” purpose. We need to do better for our wildlife, for our world and for ourselves. Please continue to go forward and help not backwards and harm.

Thanks,
Alison P
Thatbroad314@gmail.com

Sent from my iPhone

From: Catherine Miner Hartenstein
To: CDPR Rodenticide Comments
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 5:44:01 AM

You don't often get email from catherine.miner@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I am writing to object to putting any mitigations in effect that would weaken the Poison Free Wildlife Act. Please do everything in your power to end the suffering and loss of non-target wildlife that result from the use of rodenticides. It is our responsibility to protect the animals we inhabit this planet with. It is also our responsibility to prevent potential harm to children that can result from the use of these poisons.

To this end, I agree with other advocates that the DPR should do its job by:

- ***Stopping any backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#).
- ***Recognizing that anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming animals that ingest them including owls, bobcats, hawks, and fox.***
- ***Preventing the health threats posed to children*** who live in communities where these poisons are used.

Respectfully,
Cathy M.

From: [GAI](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 9:10:14 PM

You don't often get email from gheezie@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Dear DPR,

I'm a resident of El Sobrante, CA and I'm writing to ask that you keep the current ban on anticoagulants in place in California. All anticoagulants should be banned in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency. This is already the law and should be kept as is.

As you know, anticoagulants are toxic to many creatures and can travel easily through the food chain without discrimination. They can kill or severely harm owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down. These poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: AB 1788, AB 2552 (the Poison-Free Wildlife Act), and AB 1322. All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Please help protect our wildlife and natural resources.

Thank you,
Guy Albert
El Sobrante, CA 94803























From: [Molly Shea](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 8:16:27 PM

You don't often get email from mollybshea@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Hello,

I am writing to express my strong concern and opposition to the proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons.

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or causing extreme harm to ANY living beings that ingest them. That means your **beloved pet, child, or a non-target wildlife species** could be harmed. The effects of these incredibly toxic rat poisons are well documented in California, and have been proven to cause unnecessary harm to owls, bobcats, hawks, fishers, mountain lions, and many other animals that actually help keep rodent populations in check. They've even been documented **harming endangered species** like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

I, along with many other California citizens, believe that **all anticoagulants should continue to be banned**, with NO exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency. This is the current law and it should stay that way!

The three bills that have been passed into law ([AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#)) are **critical to the continued health, safety, and protection of vulnerable wildlife species** from these toxic poisons.

Let's instead put our efforts into sustainable, wildlife-friendly rat control strategies, which have proven to be much more effective than anticoagulant rodenticides.

Please consider the lasting effects of your agency's impact on this issue, and how it will affect wildlife, children, and our planet!

Thank you,

Molly S.
mollybshea@gmail.com

From: [Madison Chan](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 7:33:28 PM

You don't often get email from madisonchan17@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

To whom it may concern,

Please reconsider the mitigations for rat poisons. We have important legislation protecting wildlife and pets, and we should protect it!

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Sincerely,
Madison C

madisonchan17@gmail.com

From: [mk_c](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 6:25:48 PM

You don't often get email from mkConnor0@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

The legislation in California is clear:

**ABSOLUTELY NO ANTICOAGULANT RODENTICIDES
ARE ACCEPTABLE OR LEGAL.**

I'm stunned that CDPR is considering "mitigations", which would allow 100 days per year of anticoagulant rodenticides to be used.

These systemic poisons are toxic far beyond their target, and create systemic harm through suffering, slow, debilitating illnesses, and death in a broad array of species throughout our state.

THIS IS WHY THEY WERE OUTLAWED.

How dare you consider utilizing these harmful and barbaric poisons for even a "limited" amount of time?

Other, non-toxic ways and means of controlling rodent populations have proven to be effective, and most importantly, safe.

You cannot have it both ways- the laws are clear:
Anticoagulant rodenticides are banned.

Marykate Connor
mkconnoro@gmail.com

From: [Maria Jochimsen](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 5:59:46 PM

You don't often get email from maria109@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Dear DPR,

I am writing to express my deepest concern regarding recent consideration of backsliding on increased regulations on anticoagulants.

- ***All anticoagulants should be banned*** in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- ***There should be no backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- ***Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.*** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Thank you,
Maria J.
Stockton, Ca
maria109@gmail.com

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Inna Magner](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 5:01:29 PM

You don't often get email from imagnervet@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

- *Anticoagulant rodenticides are toxic not only to rats and mice but also dogs and cats (stray cats and outdoor cats) they are also devastating to non-target species. They are harming and causing further decline to an already threatened and struggling wildlife population of birds of mammals. We have been working so hard to bring back these animals to beautiful California open spaces, their numbers are so few due to many other human activities.*
- *Owls, red tail hawks, white tail kites, condors as well as bobcats, coyotes, San Joaquin kit foxes are among the non targeted species paying the high price and suffering a painful and slow death internally bleeding- leading to another rise in rats and mice population.*
- *There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons. We cant go back. California has been leading by example to other states -taking actions to protect its unique species.*

Sincerely,
Inna M.
Foster City, CA.

From: [stacey pogorzelski](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 4:57:41 PM

You don't often get email from staceypogo@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Hello,

Our wildlife and ecosystems cannot support the level of rodenticides that we are using. We need to find another way. We are losing kestrels, owls, foxes and many others. Even our neighbor's cat died from rodenticide poisoning. These poison kill indiscriminately. We have other ways to control rodents.

Anticoagulants should be banned!

thank you for protecting our precious Earth
Stacey Pogorzelski
Novato CA 94947

From: [HP](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 4:35:10 PM

You don't often get email from hjpeeters1@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I am a biologist who has witnessed first hand the poisoning of untargeted wildlife before the institution of the very good laws banning anticoagulants unless absolutely necessary. I have seen blue poison-treated grain scattered on the ground abundantly -- against protocol -- whichI observed, caused the deaths of Golden Eagles; even government agencies cannot and do not always properly control the workers charged with the application of these poisons. If the current laws are modified, and the allowable days of poisoning is raised to 100, then without a doubt, more wildlife will succumb. Please do not weaken the current laws.

Hans Peeters
hjpeeters1@gmail.com

From: [frances alet](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 4:14:36 PM

[You don't often get email from fmalet@sbcglobal.net. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

Dear DPR -

Anticoagulant rodenticides don't just kill the targeted rodents. You already know that. I live near an Wildland-Urban Interface and have seen first-hand the damage to animals "up the food chain". I've come across a dead hawk and have seen coyotes that are clearly ill. It's a shame that local cities cannot enact pesticide regulations that are tougher than the State.

I strongly urge you not to weaken our current laws. If anything, they should be made stronger.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Frances Alet
Calabasas, CA. 91302

From: [Sharon Anderson](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 4:08:14 PM

You don't often get email from bluemoondsgn@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

We have enough toxins in our world and our wildlife is already in trouble due to so many factors. These anticoagulants are both toxic and indiscriminate, killing or harming any living being that ingest them. They cause internal bleeding in untargeted wildlife, and our pets. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals *that naturally help keep rodent populations down* are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Thank you,
Sharon Anderson

From: [Christina Ciesla](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 2:50:49 PM

You don't often get email from christinaciesla@me.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

- ***All anticoagulants should be banned*** in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- ***There should be no backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- ***Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.*** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Christina

From: [Kendra Armer](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 2:39:07 PM

You don't often get email from ksarmer@yahoo.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I am writing to oppose any rollbacks to the important laws governing use of anticoagulant rodent poison in California.

All anticoagulants should be banned in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).

Three important bills have been passed into law since 2020 ([AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#)). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons and I strongly oppose any backsliding on these protections.

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Please do not "mitigate" these important protections by weakening these laws. You are bound by law to protect wildlife, and these proposals are absolutely unacceptable in the harm they will cause.

Yours,
Kendra Armer
960 Crestview Drive
San Carlos, CA 94070
ksarmer@yahoo.com

From: [Boulgarides, Lois K](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 2:37:03 PM

You don't often get email from boulgari@csus.edu. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Thank you for the chance to comment:

All anticoagulant poisons should be banned.

There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Sincerely,

Lois Boulgarides

From: [\[REDACTED\]](#)
Subject: [\[REDACTED\]](#)
Date: [\[REDACTED\]](#)
Cc: [\[REDACTED\]](#)
Bcc: [\[REDACTED\]](#)

Common or standard coagulants for anticoagulant oil poisons

Tuesday, October 25, 2022 4:45:48 PM

You don't often get email from cancer patients

EXTERNAL

Hello, to whom it may concern. I'm writing about the use of anticoagulants, and the impact I've observed on multiple occasions with sick and dying bobcats in my immediate area. I've attached a couple pictures of the animals for reference. In talking with biologists who studied the impact of anticoagulants on bobcats in particular, they indicated the animal as I've encountered on multiple occasions where likely impacted by the use of coagulants which I know have been used by neighbors.

- All anticoagulants should be banned in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 132](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well-documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Thank you for your support.

N.S.





Sent from my iPhone

From: [Marian Fricano](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 1:35:10 PM

You don't often get email from fricano.marian@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Dear Folks,

For years I have tried to inform the public about the indiscriminate effects of using anticoagulants.

- ***All anticoagulants should be banned*** in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- ***There should be no backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- ***Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.*** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

[Any suggested talking points; feel free to add]
[Your name - initial only for last name is ok] [Your email]

From: [Elizabeth Berry](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 1:04:55 PM

You don't often get email from elizabeth_berry@msn.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I am writing to ask you to uphold all current laws restricting use of rodenticides in California. In fact, California should adopt further restrictions given the extreme harm that anticoagulant redundant decides cause statewide.

Anticoagulant rodenticides cause long-term harm and death up and down the food chain, and the average consumer- in fact, I would guess about 95% + of consumers - are completely unaware of this shocking fact.

All anticoagulants should be banned in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).

There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#).

All three laws are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.

They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, mountain lions, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Even the famed mountain lion P22 was exposed to and harmed by exposure to at least five anticoagulant rodenticides.

The final necropsy results for P – 22 noted exposure to multiple

anticoagulant rodenticides, according to the California Department of Fish and Wildlife:

“ Toxicology testing on his liver at the California Animal Health and Food Safety Laboratory (CAHFS) UC Davis revealed exposure to five anticoagulant rodenticides (AR) compounds. However, he had no evidence of AR poisoning (i.e., unexplained bleeding, injury, illness or death due to exposure to the toxicants).

These include first-generation ARs, diphacinone and chlorophacinone, and longer-lasting and faster-acting second-generation ARs, brodifacoum, bromadiolone and difethialone. Diphacinone was also detected in a sample of P-22's blood collected after he was captured, which may indicate recent exposure. Diphacinone was also detected in P-22's blood in 2014 when he was captured by NPS biologists and treated for a different skin infection caused by notoedric mange.

A separate CDFW study tested 247 mountain lions at the CAHFS lab. It showed that **96 percent of tested animals had exposure to one or more ARs. While felids tend to be more resistant to AR poisoning, there have been at least seven AR-related mortalities in Southern California mountain lions** over the last 19 years.

Additionally, desmethylbromethalin, the toxic metabolite of bromethalin, was detected in his body fat. Bromethalin is a widely available rodenticide that targets the brain and affects the central nervous system. Signs of bromethalin poisoning include muscle tremors, seizures, hind limb paralysis, respiratory paralysis and eventually death. There was no indication that bromethalin exposure resulted in poisoning on P-22 medical or pathologic exam. How P-22 was exposed to bromethalin, directly or secondarily through prey, is unknown. However, it is believed to be the latter.”

It would be tragic if California weakens existing laws restricting rodenticides. In fact, it should strengthen those laws, given all of the data and scientific knowledge on their lethality up and down that food chain. Biodiversity is already showing dire impacts, with wildlife (including invertebrate and bird) populations collapsing as many if not all species are facing multiple human caused dangers.

Thank you,

Elizabeth Berry

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Juli Kinrich](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 12:46:57 PM

[You don't often get email from jbkinrich@gmail.com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

Dear DPR,

ONE day of anticoagulants is too many! Don't backslide. Don't re-introduce anticoagulants into California's environment. These horrible chemicals kill pet dogs and cats that happen on them. They kill wild animals all the way up the food chain, including beneficial, innocent animals like possums, raptors like hawks and owls, and even our beloved mountain lions.

NO coagulants!! Stay the course!!!!

Sincerely,

Juli K
jbkinrich@gmail.com

From: [Jennifer Falla Firkins](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 12:38:18 PM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[image006.png](#)
[image007.png](#)

You don't often get email from j.firkins@ggsir.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

To Whom It May Concern:,

I'm writing to urge you to support the continued ban of all anticoagulant rodenticides in California, with no exceptions other than in cases of public health or environmental emergencies — which is already permitted under current law.

There must be no backsliding on the critical protections established by **AB 1788**, **AB 2552 (the Poison-Free Wildlife Act)**, and **AB 1322**. These three bills, passed since 2020, have been instrumental in safeguarding our wildlife and communities from the devastating effects of these toxic substances.

Anticoagulant rodenticides are **indiscriminate killers**. They cause internal bleeding and death not only in targeted rodents but also in pets, children, and countless species of wildlife that ingest poisoned prey. In California, the damage has been well documented — owls, bobcats, hawks, and other natural predators that help keep rodent populations in check are dying or becoming too sick to survive after consuming poisoned animals. Even more troubling, these poisons threaten already endangered species such as the **California condor** and the **San Joaquin kit fox**.

These harmful chemicals have no place in our ecosystems. California has led the nation in creating safer, more humane, and environmentally responsible pest control policies — and it's vital that we stay the course.

Thank you for standing with science, compassion, and California's wildlife.

Sincerely,

Jennifer

JENNIFER FALLA FIRKINS

Marin County Real Estate Advisor,

SRES Senior Real Estate Specialist



415.602.5768
fallaassociates@ggsir.com
Golden Gate Sotheby's International Realty
Lic.# 01255172
FallaAssociates.com

TOP
PRODUCER
2024
MARIN

Golden
Gate
Sotheby's
INTERNATIONAL REALTY



From: [Steve Gallup](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 12:18:16 PM

[You don't often get email from steve.gallup@gmail.com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

Please consider the collateral impact of rodenticides on wildlife and deny the proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons in California! The impacts are devastating.

Steve Gallup
Steve.gallup@gmail.com
Sent from my iPhone

From: [Alison Jones](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 12:14:29 PM

You don't often get email from alimontana1010@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

To California DPR:

I am deeply concerned about the proposed mitigation for anticoagulant rat poisons. Anticoagulant poisons have been shown to be dangerous for pets and children and devastating to wildlife. A beloved great horned owl in our community died a horrible death due to ingestion of a rodenticide put out by a local homeowner. They should be banned completely. California has led the nation in enacting strong controls on anticoagulant rat poisons, using data to show that anticoagulants move through the environment and are not easily controlled or managed. Any proposal to weaken these controls would be a huge step backward. I urge DPR to reject any such "mitigation" and instead move to ban all uses of anticoagulant rodenticides.

Sincerely,
Alison Jones
alimontana1010@gmail.com

From: [Micah K](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 12:04:09 PM

You don't often get email from micah.soquel@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

- ***All anticoagulants should be banned*** in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- ***There should be no backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Micah Keeley
4242 Topsail Court
Soquel, CA 95073

From: Erica
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 11:34:33 AM

You don't often get email from ihearwaxwings@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

- **All anticoagulants should be banned** in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- **There should be no backsliding** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- **Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Thank you, Erica R.

From: [Generic Name](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 10:49:27 AM

You don't often get email from generic17email@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Dear California DPR,

All anticoagulants should be banned in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).

Please, no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020:
AB 1788,
AB 2552 (the Poison-Free Wildlife Act), and
AB 1322.

All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children.

The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Regards, John C.
generic17email@gmail.com

From: [Tana Hunter](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 10:45:08 AM

You don't often get email from truthorhunter@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

No backsliding! Rodenticides are harmful to more than just rodents. Let the owls, hawks, foxes, bobcats, coyotes etc, do their job! Cruelty is never ok!s

From: [MaryRPrice](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 10:32:19 AM

You don't often get email from maryrprice@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

How hard is it toJust say no to mitigations that weaken the bill to stop the use of rat poisons?
Please deny mitigations

Mary Price

From: Dorothy Gilman
To: CDPR Rodenticide Comments
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 10:23:11 AM

You don't often get email from dorothy.gilman@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I strongly object to these mitigations. They will **greatly weaken** the provisions of the [Poison Free Wildlife Act](#) and other laws passed in California since 2020. These actions are not acceptable, and you **are bound by the law to protect wildlife**. The DPR proposal to allow **over 100 days of anticoagulant use each year**—will expose numerous species of non-target wildlife and pets like cats and dogs could to these poisons.

All anti-coagulants should be banned in California, no backsliding on the three bills already passed into law.

Anti-coagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living thing that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Thank you for your attention,
Dorothy Gilman

Dorothy.Gilman@gmail.com
Sent from my iPhone

From: [Holly Isaacson](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 10:23:03 AM

You don't often get email from hollyalexa82@yahoo.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

The recent mitigations proposed by the California Department of Pesticide Regulation are unacceptable because they undo too much work that Poison Free Wildlife Act enacts.

- Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

These poisons have no place in our food chain, our wildlife, or our landscapes.

Thank you,
Holly Isaacson
hollyalexa82@yahoo.com

From: [Pooler, Loreall](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 10:14:41 AM

You don't often get email from loreall.pooler@med.usc.edu. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

- ***All anticoagulants should be banned*** in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- ***There should be no backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. P-22, Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Loreall Pooler

pooler@med.usc.edu

From: juliet@creekcats.com
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons - Oppose these "Mitigations" & Uphold the Bans!
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 10:10:30 AM

You don't often get email from juliet@creekcats.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

- ***Please Oppose the proposed "mitigations" for the anticoagulant ban in California - uphold the bans that protect our ecosystems, public health, and all species!***
-
- ***All anticoagulants should be banned*** in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- ***There should be no backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- ***Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.*** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox

Thank you -

Sincerely,

Juliet L.
graywaggle@mac.com

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Rachel Avila](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 9:54:59 AM

You don't often get email from thuban@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

- ***All anticoagulants should be banned*** in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law). The environmental harm from these can cause environmental effects that can have terrible economic effects, while the cost associated with non-anticoagulants can be more easily absorbed
- ***There should be no backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- ***Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.*** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox. Because anticoagulants kill so high up on the food chain they result in less predators, which means more prey, which leads to more anticoagulants being used, which raises the costs.

Thank you, Rachel A.

From: [P Wright](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 9:48:52 AM

You don't often get email from paulinewright387@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

To whom it may concern,

- ***All anticoagulants should be banned*** in California, with no exceptions, except for public health or environmental emergencies (which are already the law).
- ***There should be no backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these overused poisons.

Thank you.

Pauline Wright.

From: [Claudia Wornum](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 9:32:46 AM

You don't often get email from claudiawornum@comcast.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Hi, I cut and pasted this but align with it all,
Please protect native wildlife

Claudia Wornum

- ***All anticoagulants should be banned*** in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- ***There should be no backsliding*** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- ***Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.*** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

From: [Mary Shabbott](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 9:20:52 AM

You don't often get email from mshabbott@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
Mary Shabbott

From: [Andrea Detterline](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 9:15:06 AM

You don't often get email from aleesch012@aol.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

To whom it may concern:

All anticoagulants should be banned in California. Three bills have been passed into law since 2020 [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#).

All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons. ***Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.*** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Thank you,
Andrea Detterline
Aleesch012@aol.com

From: [Alexis Khalil](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 8:58:36 AM

You don't often get email from alexis.khalil@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

To whom it may concern:

I am extremely disappointed to hear that the California Department of Pesticide Regulation is proposing allowing the use of anticoagulant rodenticides for over 100 days per year. All anticoagulants should be banned in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).

There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: AB 1788, AB 2552 (the Poison-Free Wildlife Act), and AB 1322. All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these overused poisons.

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

You are bound by the law to protect wildlife - these actions are not acceptable.

- Alexis K (alexis.khalil@gmail.com)

From: [Deborah Praisewater](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poison
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 8:55:32 AM

You don't often get email from praisewater@yahoo.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I urge you to deny the proposed mitigations

No exceptions should be made on the use of these toxins.

Stick to the legislation that has passed.

Instead of spending trying to soften legislation that time should be directed to finding other solutions than running back to th indiscriminate and highly toxic materials.

Deborah Praisewater
San Jose, California 95148

praisewater@yahoo.com

From: [Dorothy Gregor](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 8:52:36 AM

[You don't often get email from dgregor@mcn.org. Learn why this is important at
<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

Please do not weaken the regulations on the anticoagulants used as rodenticides. They too frequently kill wildlife and pets.

Thank you,

Dorothy Gregor

From: [Jason Krulee](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Friday, October 31, 2025 7:13:24 PM

You don't often get email from krulees@yahoo.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Hello,

All anticoagulants should be banned in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law). They are devastating to our wildlife and are nasty. They kill so many times over. Please protect our wildlife!

Appreciate the time,
Janet Krulee

From: [Dana Swisher](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on Proposed Mitigations
Date: Sunday, October 12, 2025 8:52:26 PM

You don't often get email from daynasumner@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

To whom it may concern,

I am very concerned about the proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rodenticide being considered.

In my opinion, all anticoagulants should be banned in California with no exceptions, other than for the public health or environmental emergency - which is already the law.

There should be no backsliding on the 3 bills passed into law since 2020. All 3 bills are essential in protecting wildlife from these poisons.

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife. Owls, bobcats, hawks and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying or becoming very ill. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the CA condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Please stand strong against pressures to weaken rodenticide laws!

Thank you,

D. Swisher

From: [Judy Neuhauser](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comments on Anticoagulants
Date: Sunday, October 26, 2025 7:27:30 PM
Attachments: [Response to DPR mitigations final.doc.pdf](#)

[You don't often get email from judyneu@slonet.org. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

The attached pdf letter from Morro Coast Audubon Society on the proposed mitigations for anticoagulants outlines our strong opposition to any weakening of the regulations on anticoagulant rodenticides in California. Please add it to the record.

Judy Neuhauser
Conservation Committee, Morro Coast Audubon Society

From: [Kari L Arnold](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Comments related to proposed mitigation, related to training/site records
Date: Wednesday, September 24, 2025 12:19:56 PM
Attachments: [Outlook-eigfvt0a.png](#)

You don't often get email from klarnold@ucdavis.edu. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Hello all,

Excellent presenters and composure today, rodenticides are a hot topic.

I wanted to send a few thoughts regarding training/site, and I am happy to elaborate on any of this- feel free to reach out for additional feedback/thoughts. I have my responses to some of your questions in *italics*:

The proposal requires site-specific use duration recordkeeping be kept at a central business location. Any comments?

Response: This may pose an issue to some/many businesses, as these applications may occur in various locations across CA. Will these plans need to be hard copy, or could these records be kept online in a cloud location or a server/database type of set up? Also, if records kept, for how long?

DPR proposes a 12-month delay between the effective date of regulations and the requirement for applicators to have completed their first training. Any feedback on this buffer length?

Response: Buffer time may be best allocated to when training is made available, ie, if training does not roll out until 2027, effective date may be best appropriated to 2028 or 2029. Additionally, the format and availability of this training will also play a roll in timeline. If training is available online via a recording, some trainees will need to acquire technology access. If training is available in person, trainees will need to obtain travel access and be relatively close to the training. 12 months sounds reasonable, given training is readily available in various accessible formats and cost is either none, or very little. Additionally, the required time for required training plays a roll in roll out of regs. If one hour is sufficient as the first training for a 2 year license, roll out could be swift, if 8 hours are required, more time may be needed to give folks time to work something like that in. Inevitably, there will be a number of folks who don't do this until the last minute, so having lots of training options in the final months before reg roll out may be the most effective.

Training implementation options that are outside the scope of the rulemaking process. (1) whether DPR should create and provide the training versus allowing third parties to develop trainings for DPR's approval, and (2) the relative importance of the training counting towards CEs.

Response: Laws and Regs is always needed and sometimes difficult to acquire for PCAs, QALs, and QACs. This content seems like it would fit well into the current CEU Laws and Regs requirements for existing applicator licenses, and folks looking for Laws and Regs would be happy to have this available to fill both requirements where they could attend the training, get their needed training for AR application as well as for their existing PCA/QAL/QAC license (I hold a QAL and I would appreciate this option).

As for who should provide this training, here are a few thoughts.

Directly from CDPR: Pre-recorded trainings with following exams are relatively accessible and only require input for the video. In person trainings could be provided either as stand-alone trainings, or CDPR presenters could provide training during UC/UCANR/CAPCA/etc. facilitated courses/field days etc. I know many academics in the UC system who would be happy to have CDPR speak at their meetings, and growers would appreciate the in person, face to face opportunity to ask questions.

3rd party: Train the Trainer sessions would be needed to train 3rd party entities to provide proper training. Although this would extend DPR bandwidth, this may also lead to a loss in translation and possibly a loss of attention in the audience (some third parties add presentations with CEUs to increase attendance to their trade shows that they charge 100s of dollars for, while the attendees may not even attend the presentations they are getting CEUs for). Furthermore, the training burden to CDPR's capacity would be similar if not greater than CDPR direct training. Additionally, adding the layer of approval for credits will add further burden on the approval team. An online approval system may help alleviate some of this burden. That said, the county ag commissioners often have deputies who currently provide mitigation/regulatory updates, and they are an excellent source of regulatory information for the ag communities-UC affiliates often include them in their annual grower research update meetings. They are excellent and typically happy to give these annual updates.

I hope this helps,

The IR-4 Project: Increasing pest management options for specialty crop growers since 1963

Kari Arnold, PhD

Regional Field Coordinator & Associate Director

Western Region IR-4 Project

Cell: (530) 574-9181

email: klarnold@ucdavis.edu

To reach the IR-4 Western Region Office Team, please email: wrfield@ucdavis.edu

To reach the IR-4 Western Region Financial Team, please email: ir4finance@ucdavis.edu

National IR-4 website: <https://www.ir4project.org/>

Find us on insta: https://www.instagram.com/the_ir4_project/



Book time to meet with me

From: [Tyler Johnson](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: DPR Draft Proposal review from a stakeholder
Date: Wednesday, September 24, 2025 1:06:43 PM

You don't often get email from tylerjohnson27@yahoo.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I read the 2025 DPR draft on the restriction of anticoagulant rodenticides (ARs) in California. I also attended the workshop (ZOOM) and was a verbal participant. Overall, you guys are decreasing restrictions from current law. Current law has AB1788, AB1322, and AB2552 in authority. I am not upset that you guys are allowing the use of additional ARs, first generation. What I am disappointed in, is the amount of exceptions to the law you guys are allowing for ARs usage. Current law prohibits use of ARs in/around grocery stores, restaurants, airports, non-production agriculture sites, and wildlife habitat areas. You guys are fully removing “restaurants and grocery stores” from prohibited use and are allowing exceptions for airports, non-production agriculture sites, and even wildlife habitat areas. This new allowing of ARs in places in which they are currently prohibited (9/24/2025 I am writing this), won’t change anything. Matter of fact, there will be an increase of secondary wildlife poisoning, which in turn will cause the rodent populations to become worse. Can’t you see? Beautiful Great Horned Owls, the majestic Mountain Lions, Black bears, will be exposed to even higher levels of ARs. ARs cause tremendous suffering for these animals, even if they don’t outright bleed to death from the inside out, their immune system will be compromised.

MY SOLUTION: Maintaining the current usage restrictions under law or make the uses even stricter. Whatever you do, don’t lift any of the current restrictions. In other words, amend your current draft because you are allowing way too many uses for ARs, which is equivalent to having no uses at all.

I see you have spent a significant amount of time discussing training, continuing education, and record keeping. Let’s be real, employees don’t care about these trainings. Employees turn on these trainings, do something else, then when it’s finished they get a certificate and move on with the rest of their day. The point I am trying to make is you are spending too much time on such a little thing. You should keep all of this talk to one sentence in your draft and spend more time maintaining the current AR restricted uses under law or go even stricter.

Overall, the power is in your hands- don’t take any bribes, look at the facts, we are supposed to steward creation well. Choose wisely.

Thank you,
Tyler W. Johnson (Los Angeles County resident)

From: [Mikesell, John](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Follow up question - NOI submittal
Date: Wednesday, September 24, 2025 11:31:39 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

You don't often get email from john.mikesell@venturacounty.gov. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Hello and thank you for the workshop today,

I had a follow up question that could not be answered during the workshop regarding the submittal of notices of intent (NOIs) for production ag settings:

Can you provide any insight into how DPR expects NOIs to be submitted for 35 day baiting in a production agriculture setting? Every day or will the submittal of a schedule be allowed, or at least considered?

I know DPR currently has an enforcement letter asking for NOIs to be submitted every day for each site for the purposes of the Spray Days state notification system. I hope this can be adjusted to reduce busy work by both growers and ag commissioner staff.

Thank you,

John Mikesell
Supervising Agricultural / Weights and Measures Inspector
(805) 933-2926 ext. 1116
815 E. Santa Barbara St.
Santa Paula, CA 93060



From: cdprweb@CDPR
To: CDPR Rodenticide Comments
Subject: FW:
Date: Wednesday, November 12, 2025 11:01:23 AM

Good Morning,

We received the message below in DPR's general inbox (cdprweb@cdpr.ca.gov). This appears to be a comment submission for the [DPR Anticoagulant Rodenticide Mitigation Informal Public Workshop](#).

Please confirm receipt.

Thank you,

Sergy El-Morshedy (he/him)
Information Officer I
California Department of Pesticide Regulations (CDPR)
Cal EPA
(916) 894-5409 | sergy.el-morshedy@cdpr.ca.gov

From: Steve Tyler <abc3dtd@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2025 6:24 PM
To: cdprweb@CDPR <cdprweb@cdpr.ca.gov>
Subject:

EXTERNAL:

Do not fuck with the recent rodenticide regulations.....
Johan Thompson

From: cdprweb@CDPR
To: CDPR Rodenticide Comments
Subject: FW: Anticoagulant rodenticide
Date: Monday, November 10, 2025 2:16:24 PM

Good Afternoon,

I am forwarding a message that appears to be a comment submission for the Anticoagulant Rodenticide Mitigation Informal Public Workshop. Please confirm receipt.

Thank you,

Sergy El-Morshedy (he/him)
Information Officer I
California Department of Pesticide Regulations (CDPR)
Cal EPA
(916) 894-5409 | sergy.el-morshedy@cdpr.ca.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Donald Smith <dssanmateo@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 2:07 PM
To: cdprweb@CDPR <cdprweb@cdpr.ca.gov>
Subject: Anticoagulant rodenticide

[You don't often get email from dssanmateo@aol.com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

Please keep these pesticides off the market. Even a rat doesn't deserve to die such a horribly painful drawn out death. And that goes too for the innocent animals that are just looking for a meal . Thank you Petra Smith

From: [Varner, Elana@CDPR](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: FW: Comment letter from State Legislators on the draft proposed regulations on anticoagulant rodenticides
Date: Wednesday, November 19, 2025 2:43:54 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[CDPR Rodenticide Regulations, Comments from Legislators, 11.8.25.pdf](#)

From: Varner, Elana@CDPR
Sent: Wednesday, November 12, 2025 8:22 AM
To: Kumar, Ajay@CDPR <Ajay.Kumar@cdpr.ca.gov>
Cc: Timmons, Lauren@CDPR <Lauren.Timmons@cdpr.ca.gov>
Subject: FW: Comment letter from State Legislators on the draft proposed regulations on anticoagulant rodenticides

From: Teerlink, JT@CDPR <[Jennifer.Teerlink@cdpr.ca.gov](#)>
Sent: Sunday, November 9, 2025 10:11 AM
To: Townzen, Jill@CDPR <[Jill.Townzen@cdpr.ca.gov](#)>; Macedo, Tulio@CDPR <[Tulio.Macedo@cdpr.ca.gov](#)>; Varner, Elana@CDPR <[Elana.Varner@cdpr.ca.gov](#)>; Lopez, Shelley@CDPR <[Shelley.Lopez@cdpr.ca.gov](#)>
Subject: FW: Comment letter from State Legislators on the draft proposed regulations on anticoagulant rodenticides

FYA

From: McKinney, Shannon <[Shannon.McKinney@asm.ca.gov](#)>
Sent: Saturday, November 8, 2025 11:33 AM
To: Morrison, Karen@CDPR <[Karen.Morrison@cdpr.ca.gov](#)>
Cc: Tooker, Josh <[Josh.Tooker@asm.ca.gov](#)>; Vad, Aaron <[Aaron.Vad@asm.ca.gov](#)>; Topete, Gil <[Gil.Topete@sen.ca.gov](#)>; Lim, Allison <[Allison.Lim@asm.ca.gov](#)>; Vanciel, Sloane <[Sloane.Vanciel@asm.ca.gov](#)>; Christensen, Jack <[Jack.Christensen@sen.ca.gov](#)>; Garza, Pablo <[Pablo.Garza@asm.ca.gov](#)>; Mitchell, Stephanie <[Stephanie.Mitchell@asm.ca.gov](#)>; Metropulos, Jim <[Jim.Metropulos@asm.ca.gov](#)>; Samuelsen, Samantha <[Samantha.Samuelsen@sen.ca.gov](#)>; Dyar, Michael <[Michael.Dyar@asm.ca.gov](#)>; Duran, Alejandra@CDPR <[Alejandra.Duran@cdpr.ca.gov](#)>; Teerlink, JT@CDPR <[Jennifer.Teerlink@cdpr.ca.gov](#)>; Thottathil, Sapna@CDPR <[Sapna.Thottathil@cdpr.ca.gov](#)>
Subject: Comment letter from State Legislators on the draft proposed regulations on anticoagulant rodenticides

EXTERNAL:

Dear Director Morrison-

Attached please find a letter submitted today through the Department of Pesticide Regulation's public comment portal from California State Senators Blakespear and Stern, and California State Assemblymembers Connolly, Lee, Papan, and Schultz regarding the Department of Pesticides Regulation's September 2025 draft proposed regulations on anticoagulant rodenticides.

We look forward to continued conversations on the development of these regulations.

Regards,

Shannon McKinney

Shannon McKinney
Committee on Environmental Safety & Toxic Materials
California State Assembly
Phone: 916-319-3965
Fax: 916-319-3950

Make your voice heard...

Create an account today!



Submit Position Letter

From: [Laws and Regulations Contact @CDPR](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: FW: No roll back of AB 1788, AB 2552 or AB 1322
Date: Tuesday, November 4, 2025 8:22:43 AM

From: Patrick Tierney <adrift650@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, November 4, 2025 7:26 AM
To: Laws and Regulations Contact @CDPR <regulations@cdpr.ca.gov>
Subject: No roll back of AB 1788, AB 2552 or AB 1322

You don't often get email from adrift650@comcast.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

To Whom It May Concern,

Anticoagulants used to kill rodents are toxic and non-selective, killing the very wildlife that naturally controls rodents, especially raptor birds. Allowing more than 100 days of poison use ensures continued contamination of predators, pets, and ecosystems. I am writing to urge your agency to:

- **No anticoagulant rodenticides should be allowed**, except during a declared public health or environmental emergency (current law)
- **No rollback** of AB 1788, AB 2552, or AB 1322 is acceptable, and
- California must invest in **ecological rodent control** to protect raptors and other predators, not more poison.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment,

Patrick Tierney
Half Moon Bay, CA

From: cdprweb@CDPR
To: CDPR Rodenticide Comments
Subject: FW: No Rollbacks on AB1788, AB2552 and AB1322
Date: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 2:08:01 PM

Good Afternoon,

I am forwarding a message (2 of 4) that appears to be a comment submission for the Anticoagulant Rodenticide Mitigation Informal Public Workshop. **Please confirm receipt.**

Thank you,

Sergy El-Morshedy (he/him)
Information Officer I
California Department of Pesticide Regulations (CDPR)
Cal EPA
(916) 894-5409 | sergy.el-morshedy@cdpr.ca.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Sharon Hagen <sjhagen@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 2:58 PM
To: cdprweb@CDPR <cdprweb@cdpr.ca.gov>
Subject: No Rollbacks on AB1788, AB2552 and AB1322

[You don't often get email from sjhagen@sbcglobal.net. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

To Whom It May Concern

I believe no anticoagulant rodenticides should be allowed. They are toxic to wildlife, pets and people. Rodent control should be done in ecological ways not killing the raptors and predators that would naturally hunt rodents. I volunteer with wildlife Rehab and I see the effects of these poisons on wildlife. Most don't make it or have to be euthanized.

The DPR is supposed to protect wildlife not poison it. Voters have already demanded poison-free solutions so the law must be honored.

Sharon Hagen

From: cdprweb@CDPR
To: CDPR Rodenticide Comments
Subject: FW: Please, No rollback of AB 1788, 2552 or 1322
Date: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 2:07:28 PM

Good Afternoon,

I am forwarding a message (1 of 4) that appears to be a comment submission for the [Anticoagulant Rodenticide Mitigation Informal Public Workshop](#). **Please confirm receipt.**

Thank you,

Sergy El-Morshedy (he/him)
Information Officer I
California Department of Pesticide Regulations (CDPR)
Cal EPA
(916) 894-5409 | sergy.el-morshedy@cdpr.ca.gov

From: Sue Cossins <susancossins@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 1, 2025 4:14 PM
To: cdprweb@CDPR <cdprweb@cdpr.ca.gov>
Subject: Please, No rollback of AB 1788, 2552 or 1322

You don't often get email from susancossins@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I urge you to invest in ecological rodent control to protect raptors and other predators, not more poison.

**Susan Cossins
459 Marin Drive
Burlingame, CA 94010**

From: cdprweb@CDPR
To: CDPR Rodenticide Comments
Subject: FW: Protesting the roll back of rules for the use of anticoagulant rodenticides.
Date: Friday, November 7, 2025 3:21:57 PM

Good Afternoon,

Please see the email below sent to DPR's general inbox (cdprweb@cdpr.ca.gov). This appears to be a comment submission.

Thank you,

Sergy El-Morshedy (he/him)
Information Officer I
California Department of Pesticide Regulations (CDPR)
Cal EPA
(916) 894-5409 | sergy.el-morshedy@cdpr.ca.gov

From: Maryann Rainey <mprainey@comcast.net>
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 12:45 PM
To: cdprweb@CDPR <cdprweb@cdpr.ca.gov>
Subject: Protesting the roll back of rules for the use of anticoagulant rodenticides.

You don't often get email from mprainey@comcast.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Dear staff of the California Department of Pesticide Regulation,

With distress I recently discovered that California is planning to roll back rules for the use of anticoagulant rodenticides. This must be a mistake. Please ensure that this does not come to pass.

Please be sure that:

- **No anticoagulant rodenticides should be allowed**, except during a declared public health or environmental emergency (current law)
- **No rollback** of AB 1788, AB 2552, or AB 1322 is acceptable, and
- California must invest in **ecological rodent control** to protect raptors and other predators, not more poison.

As you know,

- Anticoagulants are **toxic and non-selective**, killing the very wildlife that naturally controls rodents.
- DPR is legally obligated to protect wildlife, not poison it.

- Allowing >100 days of poison use ensures continued contamination of predators, pets, and ecosystems.
- Californians have already demanded poison-free solutions, so DPR must honor the law.

I thank you for attention to this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Maryann Rainey
1318 4th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94122

(415) 225-7814

From: cdprweb@CDPR
To: CDPR Rodenticide Comments
Subject: FW: rodenticide?
Date: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 2:08:48 PM

Good Afternoon,

I am forwarding a message (4 of 4) that appears to be a comment submission for the [Anticoagulant Rodenticide Mitigation Informal Public Workshop](#). **Please confirm receipt.**

Thank you,

Sergy El-Morshedy (he/him)
Information Officer I
California Department of Pesticide Regulations (CDPR)
Cal EPA
(916) 894-5409 | sergy.el-morshedy@cdpr.ca.gov

From: Pam Reagan <phre.agan@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 7:47 AM
To: cdprweb@CDPR <cdprweb@cdpr.ca.gov>
Subject: rodenticide?

You don't often get email from phre.agan@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

As a concerned citizen, I don't see how you can consider allowing the anticoagulant poisons to be sold again? They don't just kill rodents but many other wildlife creatures as well as pets!

We need to come up with safer extermination methods for controlling rodents.... not go backwards!

Birds were the "canaries in the mines" that warned humans of dangers, the raptors today are the "canaries" warning us of the use of anticoagulants in our environment and what is to come for humans if we allow their use!!

This is like the DDT of the 60's, and look how long it has taken to make a comeback from the days of NO PELICANS along our coast, no SWALLOWS in our backyards, etc!!!!. We are much better informed today, but it seems many groups don't want to use that scientific information to make decisions!???

Please DO NOT go backwards and allow these horrific poisons back in our environment. Please take this request seriously,

P Reagan

From: cdprweb@CDPR
To: CDPR Rodenticide Comments
Subject: FW: URGENT- WE CANNOT GO BACKWARDS!
Date: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 2:08:17 PM

Good Afternoon,

I am forwarding a message (3 of 4) that appears to be a comment submission for the [Anticoagulant Rodenticide Mitigation Informal Public Workshop](#). **Please confirm receipt.**

Thank you,

Sergy El-Morshedy (he/him)
Information Officer I
California Department of Pesticide Regulations (CDPR)
Cal EPA
(916) 894-5409 | sergy.el-morshedy@cdpr.ca.gov

From: Stephen Mikulic <mikulic@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Saturday, November 1, 2025 4:08 PM
To: cdprweb@CDPR <cdprweb@cdpr.ca.gov>
Subject: URGENT- WE CANNOT GO BACKWARDS!

You don't often get email from mikulic@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

- **No anticoagulant rodenticides should be allowed**, except during a declared public health or environmental emergency (current law)
- **No rollback** of AB 1788, AB 2552, or AB 1322 is acceptable, and
- California must invest in **ecological rodent control** to protect raptors and other predators, not more poison.

Talking Points to Include

- Anticoagulants are **toxic and non-selective**, killing the very wildlife that naturally controls rodents.
- DPR is legally obligated to protect wildlife, not poison it.
- Allowing >100 days of poison use ensures continued contamination of predators, pets, and ecosystems.
- Californians have already demanded poison-free solutions, so DPR must honor the law.

Sent from my iPad

From: [Why, Adena, Env. Health](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Ground squirrel control proposed regulation changes
Date: Thursday, October 23, 2025 1:28:49 PM

You don't often get email from adena.why@acgov.org. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Hello,

I would like clarification to the proposed rodenticide use changes. I was on your live webinar and someone from DPR stated that you will be reviewing additional exemptions for ground squirrel control. As stated in the PPT you presented, Vector Control districts are currently exempt and can use rodenticide for Public Health uses.

What about uses that affect infrastructure and pose a public safety issue, that if not addressed may also lead to an issue with Public Health?

For instance I have an issue with ground squirrels undermining infrastructure at the County Jail. The squirrels are undermining the power station that provides back-up power to the jail and are also causing issues on the grounds around the buildings where the inmates are housed. I have been asked to help with this situation, but this is more of a Public Safety issue currently than a Public Health issue. However, with the current DPR regulations, commercial pest control can no longer be contracted to deal with this issue.

Secondly there are ground squirrels digging into the caps that cover old landfills in the County. If the ground squirrels are not controlled, they will pierce the cap on the landfill releasing methane gas which is highly combustible and explosive. It will also cause a violation of the Clean Air laws, laws governing landfill use and regulation by Alameda County and the State. The landfill operator will then be fined. I have been out to this site and it is not feasible to try and live trap the animals out of the area as there would be immediate immigration of ground squirrels from the surrounding lands. There are also additional federal regulations governing those lands. I have also been asked to aid in this situation since Vector Control can legally use rodenticides, but as it stands today this is a Public Safety issue and not necessarily a Public Health issue. However I would state that a potential methane release into the surrounding community then does deem it a Public Health issue to which I could respond.

I would like to know what DPR's guidance is on these issues?

Additionally I would like to know what scientific literature you are using to generate the "35 days of consecutive rodenticide use" proposed law?

What regulatory structure is being set up to oversee the Rodent Management Plans and trainings? I can foresee that if this is not explicitly stated in DPR's ruling, various groups will be contacting Vector Control districts up and down the State for guidance or approval of their plans. That role is not something I am prepared to do as Chief of Alameda County Vector Control.

I would appreciate a response to my inquiry at your earliest convenience.

Best,
Adena

Adena Why, PhD
Chief/Scientific Program Manager
Alameda County Vector Control District
1131 Harbor Bay Pkwy. Ste. 166
Alameda, CA 94502
Email: adena.why@acgov.org
Phone: 510-777-2176



From: [Judith Smith](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: I OPPOSE proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 3:47:20 PM

You don't often get email from judithsmith313@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

- I OPPOSE “mitigations” proposed by the California Department of Pesticide Regulation that will **greatly weaken** the provisions of the [Poison Free Wildlife Act](#) and other laws passed in California since 2020.

The actions DPR is proposing ARE NOT ACCEPTABLE!

DPR are bound by the law to protect wildlife.

I SUPPORT BANNING ALL ANTICOAGULANTS!

All anticoagulants should be banned in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).

There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Sincerely,

Judith Smith

From: [Nancy Grove](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: looking for a biased, non-legalese summary of the proposed changes
Date: Tuesday, October 21, 2025 10:49:50 AM

You don't often get email from nbgrove102@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Hello,

I'm a 25-year Master Gardener with sympathies for both vector/pest control and wildlife (and household pets!) I also am a believer in evidence-based decision-making.

After getting alerts from birding groups and others about the proposed changes, I decided to try to get back to basics and have reviewed the slide presentation from Sept. 24. I honestly can't figure out whether this is further limiting applications of FGAR and SGAR in the few application situations where they're currently allowed, or opening all application up to over 100 days per year. I also don't understand your use of the word "mitigate" in this context.

I would really appreciate a response as I know a whole lot of people are going to be sending "activist" comments and it may be that they are misunderstanding what's proposed.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Grove

From: [Susan Deher](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Mitigations for anticoagulant rodenticides
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 8:20:33 PM

[You don't often get email from hemicat1@yahoo.com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

You have a very serious issue in front of you. Mitigation should not be allowed for these very dangerous chemicals. All anticoagulants should be totally banned unless we are facing a public health or an environmental emergency.

I cannot understand allowing these poisons to be used for even one day.

It only takes one day of use to kill my pets. It only takes one day of use to kill our birds. They will kill whatever animal eats another dead animal. These poisons have been banned for a reason. They kill animals. It isn't a gentle death. The animals suffer. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-targeted wildlife. Any living thing that ingests them.. This can even be a small child.

Three bills have been passed since 2020: AB 1788, AB 2552 (the poison-free wildlife act) and AB 1322. They are crucial in protecting wildlife.

Do not back peddle and allow these poisons to be used at all.

Thank you,
Susan Deher
6698 Ardenwood Cir
Simi Valley CA 93063

From: [VIVIENNE BRANDI](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: MY CAT GINO DIED
Date: Saturday, November 8, 2025 7:37:35 PM

You don't often get email from vivienne.brandi@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

DEAR CDPR STAFF:
DO NOT BACKSLIDE ON RODENTICIDE BILLS THAT YOU PASSED IN 2020 !! THIS IS CRITICAL -- DO NOT LET VARIOUS INDUSTRIES TALK YOU INTO EASING THESE RESTRICTIONS !!

MY BEAUTIFUL CAT GINO WAS POISONED BY INGESTING SOMETHING THAT WAS ALREADY POISONED BY THOSE BLUE GREEN BAIT BLOCKS.

I FOUND HIM DEAD IN THE NEIGHBOR'S DRIVEWAY ON AUGUST 11, 2025. I NOW KNOW HE SHOWED SIGNS OF BEING POISONED...THESE WERE SIGNS I DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT BEFORE:
VOMITING, WOBBLING, FUR LOSS, AND SLEEPING MORE. GINO WAS A RESCUE CAT AND ONLY 4 YEARS AND 9 MONTHS OLD.

BE PRUDENT !! DO NOT ALLOW POISON USING COMPANIES TO SWAY YOU INTO RELAXING CURRENT REGULATIONS !!

I THANK YOU WITH ALL MY HEART,
VIVIENNE BRANDI
P O BOX 873
NAPA CA 94559

From: [Marcia Sivek](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: No backsliding - all anticoagulants should be banned in California with NO exceptions
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 5:53:32 PM

You don't often get email from marcia@beprovided.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: AB 1788, AB 2552 (the Poison-Free Wildlife Act), and AB 1322. All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons. The cases made to get these laws passed have been proven, why would you want to reverse them and harm our valuable wildlife and ecosystems?

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Thank you for this consideration

Marcia Sivek

From: [Jo Podvin](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: no backslicing on anticoagulant bans!!!!
Date: Saturday, October 18, 2025 4:23:59 PM

You don't often get email from jopodvin@yahoo.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

You are bound by law to protect wildlife in California; weakening current anticoagulant bans will do just the opposite, causing harm to many species of wildlife, as well as to pets.

Do not weaken AB 1788, 2552, and 1322! If anything, these laws should be strengthened.

Sincerely

Jo Podvin
Oakland, California

--

<https://elegantcopyeditor.com/>
<https://medium.com/@jopodvin>

I hope you love Birds too. It is economical. It saves going to Heaven. -- Emily Dickinson


From: Barbara Lieberman
To: CDPR Rodenticide Comments
Subject: NO POISON
Date: Tuesday, October 14, 2025 12:29:48 PM
Attachments: image0.png

[You don't often get email from barbara.lieberman@aol.com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

Do not allow the poisoning of wild animals such as mice rats et cetera. This is California! We are supposed to care about the environment. If we don't, who will? Our beautiful hawks and other predators eat these small rodents and need them to survive. If they eat a poisoned one, they will die.

Thank you
Barbara Lieberman
Sent from my iPhone





32

23



From: aprilawest@earthlink.net
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: NO to DPR "Mitigations" That Undermine CA's Rodenticide Laws
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 12:52:52 PM

[You don't often get email from aprilawest@earthlink.net. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

I am writing as a taxpayer in California to say NO to DPR "Mitigations" That Undermine CA's rodenticide laws.

These "mitigations" will greatly weaken the provisions of the Poison Free Wildlife Act and other laws passed in California since 2020. These actions are not acceptable, and you are bound by the law to protect wildlife. DPR is proposing to allow over 100 days of anticoagulant use each year—during which time numerous species of non-target wildlife and pets like cats and dogs could be exposed to these poisons.

This backsliding is unacceptable.

All anticoagulants should be banned in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).

There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: AB 1788, AB 2552 (the Poison-Free Wildlife Act), and AB 1322. All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

April West
Santa Rosa, CA

From: magwhls@comcast.net
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: No to Mitigations!
Date: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 1:41:15 PM

[You don't often get email from magwhls@comcast.net. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

Department of Pesticide Regulations:

Why are you trying to undo the gains that have made to protect our wildlife in California? Why are you caving to pressures from the pest control and agriculture industries to weaken the Poison Free Wildlife Act? This Act was signed by the Governor! You cannot simply decide to weaken it by opening up poison use for part of the year. This is unacceptable.

There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: AB 1788, AB 2552 (the Poison-Free Wildlife Act), and AB 1322. All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.

Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them. They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

I was a wildlife volunteer at a rehab center for 23 years and I personally have seen the damage these poisons do to wildlife. I also know people who have lost their pets to rat poison. Why would you want to be part of such cruelty?

Do the right thing DPR, not the weak, wrong thing!

Maggie Rufo
Novato, CA 94947

From: [Janet T](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: OPPOSE proposed mitigations for rat poison
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 12:21:01 PM

You don't often get email from gavelgoddess@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

AB 1788, 2552, and 1322, signed into law, should be strengthened, not weakened. Rat poisons indiscriminately kill countless wild animals and should be completely banned without an extensive and restrictive permitting process. Pets are in danger as well.

Janet and Mark Thew
Loomis CA

From: [Sarah D'Alexander](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Opposition to Proposed Anticoagulant Rodenticide Mitigations
Date: Tuesday, October 14, 2025 11:32:11 AM

You don't often get email from sarah@refugiamarin.org. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Dear California Department of Pesticide Regulation,

I am writing to strongly oppose DPR's proposed "mitigations" for anticoagulant rodenticides. These changes directly undermine the intent and protections established by California's recent laws to protect wildlife, pets, and public health.

California has already made important progress with AB 1788, AB 2552 (Poison-Free Wildlife Act), and AB 1322. These laws were passed to reduce the indiscriminate and devastating impacts of anticoagulant rodenticides. DPR must not weaken these protections. Any mitigation that allows expanded or prolonged use—such as permitting over 100 days of anticoagulant application per year—is unacceptable and in clear conflict with the spirit of existing legislation.

Anticoagulants are toxic, persistent, and non-selective. They cause internal bleeding and death in pets and non-target wildlife, and they pose serious health risks to children. Scientific evidence from California clearly documents widespread contamination and secondary poisoning in species that play a critical ecological role in naturally controlling rodent populations—such as owls, bobcats, hawks, and coyotes. These poisons have also been detected in endangered species like the California condor and San Joaquin kit fox.

Instead of weakening the law, DPR should uphold and strengthen the existing protections by banning all anticoagulant rodenticides in California, with no exceptions beyond true public health or environmental emergencies, which is already provided for in current law.

I urge DPR to reject these proposed mitigations and fully enforce the laws designed to protect our wildlife, pets, and communities.

Thank you for your attention to this critical issue.

Sincerely,
Sarah D'Alexander

From: [ELAINE HERRICK](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Please ban rodenticides!
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 7:23:33 PM

[You don't often get email from elainebeat@sbcglobal.net. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

My brother's wonderful black lab died after it consumed a previously poisoned rat that came into the fenced yard. So heartbreaking for the dog, the dog owner, the rat that suffered and then the woman who cried when she realized the chain effect that rodenticide caused. Man people are ignorant of the damage to wildlife that these poisons cause. Ban rodenticide.

Elaine Herrick
Sent from my iPad

From: [Ingrid Schubert](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Please be aware
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 11:01:33 PM

[You don't often get email from ingridschubert@hotmail.com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

Ban rodenticide. There are safe (non secondary killing) methods out there.

Please care about pets and wildlife.

Ingrid

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Jennifer Gale](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Please do not support rodenticides poisoning our natural environment
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 1:07:04 PM

You don't often get email from jengale78@yahoo.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Dear DPR,

Please DO NOT allow the proposed “mitigations” that will **greatly weaken the provisions** of the [Poison Free Wildlife Act](#) and other laws passed in California since 2020. Your department is **bound by the law to protect wildlife**. The proposal to allow **over 100 days of anticoagulant use each year**—during which time numerous species of non-target wildlife and pets like cats and dogs could be exposed to these poisons.

- **All anticoagulants should be banned** in California, with no exceptions, other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law).
- **There should be no backsliding** on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these over-used poisons.
- **Anticoagulants are toxic and indiscriminate, killing or greatly harming any living being that ingests them.** They cause internal bleeding in pets and non-target wildlife, and health damage in children. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming very ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Gale
Jengale78@yahoo.com

From: [Karyn Ellis](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Please keep restrictions on anticoagulant rodenticides
Date: Thursday, October 30, 2025 10:33:03 AM

You don't often get email from karyn@karynhunt.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I'm writing in support of bans on anticoagulants in California, with no exceptions other than for a public health or environmental emergency (which is already the law). There should be no backsliding on the three bills passed into law since 2020: [AB 1788](#), [AB 2552 \(the Poison-Free Wildlife Act\)](#), and [AB 1322](#). All three bills are crucial in protecting wildlife from these poisons, especially when there are so many simple and less harmful alternatives for pest control. Anticoagulants are toxic to the owls that live near my home, as well as the bobcats and foxes we love watching. The harmful effects of these super-toxic rat poisons have been especially well documented in California. Owls, bobcats, hawks, and other animals that naturally help keep rodent populations down are dying, and/or becoming ill and less likely to survive after eating poisoned rats and mice. The poisons are also threatening already endangered species like the California condor and the San Joaquin kit fox.

From: [Yvonne Baginski](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Please no backslicing on rodenticide bills
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 10:57:57 AM

You don't often get email from yvonnebaginski@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

I live in Napa County. Our Next Door App has frequent postings of dying wildlife and family pets who have ingested poisons that have been put out by residential neighbors, businesses or adjacent vineyards. One neighbor was putting rodenticides out to kill ground squirrels because they were digging up her freshly sown flowers. Two years ago, the local cemetery, tired of ground squirrels digging around graves, used rodenticides in bait stations for an entire month trying to kill off the entire population. When the traps didn't work as much as they liked, the groundworkers told me that they just spread the poison (strychnine) around the holes in the ground, which, of course, meant that any bird or animal now could eat that, as well.

Every building in the Napa Business Park is surrounded by bait station traps. In 2022, we counted over 300 bait station traps in an area of about four square blocks. Then, there were over 26 pesticide companies putting bait station traps out in the Business Park area. In some cases, I've counted 30-50 bait stations around one building, positioned less than ten feet apart. These buildings are within 100 feet of creeks and wildlife corridors. They are in natural foraging areas for hawks and other birds. The areas are where fox, coyote and bobcat are often sighted. As these buildings continue displacing critical wildlife habitat, they are not only taking away the open space, but the food these animals count on to survive.

The Meritage Hotel, built in a natural wetlands/marsh area and alongside a creek, is surrounded by bait stations. They want to kill the moles that dig up the sod, forcing dirt to spray on their pristine, empty sidewalks. It is an unseemly sight to see dirt on the sidewalks. So poison is their solution.

There are thousands of bait stations throughout the City of Napa. Some are found alongside the Napa River, around the hospitals, surrounding the stores, etc. Even the local WalMart has bait stations positioned at the front entrance doors. If people can't buy the illegal poisons here, they purchase them when they travel to other states (most noteworthy, Nevada). It is almost impossible to monitor what kind of rodenticide is in the traps or being sprinkled about. There is no enforcement. And, the pesticide companies insist that they are following the law. Which, technically, I guess they are. And, that's why these laws have to stay in place.

Believe me, I'm not a rat lover. I have had rat problems in my backyard and neighborhood, and I kill them with snap traps. They work. But rats are one type of rodent, these rodenticides and bait stations kill all types. They don't discriminate.

I would prefer all rodenticides and poisons be banned in California. I am imploring you "NO BACKSLIDING ON THE RODENTCIDE BILLS WE HAVE NOW: AB 1788, AB2552 and AB 1322"

Even with what's in place now, unless someone reports or catches people using these rodenticides in an obvious illegal action, people usually get away with using poisons to kill all kinds of animals.

I appreciate your attention to this matter. We are overcome with poisons. Enough.

Yvonne Baginski, Founder
Napa County Wildlife Habitat Conservation Coalition
<https://napaconservation.org>

From: [Abby Wolf](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: please tighten rodenticide regulation
Date: Tuesday, November 4, 2025 2:31:59 PM

[You don't often get email from grey_quinn@yahoo.com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

hello,

Please tighten and clarify existing rodenticide laws. As a farmer and a wildlife enthusiast, I know firsthand that we can change our old ways and move away from poisons.

From: [Susan Adams](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Proposed "mitigations" for anticoagulant rodenticides
Date: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 3:06:29 PM

You don't often get email from pvsusan@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Dear friends,

I strongly oppose your plan to allow over 100 days a year of anticoagulant use. These substances are toxic and last in the environment long after they have killed a target pest, often leading to the unintended suffering and death of non-target species.

California voters have already spoken in support of the Poison Free Wildlife Act. DO NOT weaken the protections provided via that and other legislation that protect both our wildlife and our domestic pets. There is no reason to allow these horrific substances in our environment.

Susan Adams
Portola Valley, CA

From: [Tammy Qualls](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: proposed mitigation for anticoagulant rodenticides
Date: Sunday, October 19, 2025 4:50:54 PM

You don't often get email from tammyqualls@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Hi-

My teen's high school in Sunnyvale is currently overrun with rodents. The principal of the school has told us that the school is struggling with fighting the rats because their options are limited due to the legislation that she said went into effect Jan 1.

I am increasingly worried about the rat problem. There were rats running loose in the band room when the teens were changing into their costumes. Kids could have been bitten.

Can you help me understand how the proposed mitigation affects public schools with rat problems? It is unclear from the presentation how this would change things for public schools as they struggle to fight rats in aging buildings. Would a rat invasion at a public high school fall under the exemption? It is unclear to me how this would change things for schools.

Thank you,
Tammy

From: [Steve Tyler](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Proposed mitigations for anticoagulant rat poisons
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025 8:03:18 PM

You don't often get email from abc3dtd@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

After years of fighting to ban certain, if not all, rodenticides, I finally saw fruition with recently passed laws that severely limited their use. The absurd proposal to weaken these reeks of paid-off corruption. I should not have to be wasting time to challenge this. Photo shows one of two confirmed rodenticide consumption deaths that I personally found. After transporting in my truck for several days while returning from a camping trip, this owl became mangled. I gave it a decent burial in my backyard. I do not want to see any more. Please shelve this proposal.

Respectfully
Stephen Tyler
POB 5622
Orange CA 92863

From: [Jonathan Evans](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Cc: [Lisa Owens Viani](#)
Subject: Questions on DPR's proposed AR regulations
Date: Wednesday, September 24, 2025 11:59:08 AM

You don't often get email from jevans@biologicaldiversity.org. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Dear DPR staff:

Thank you for your work to develop the regulations required under Cal. Food & Agric. Code § 12978.7. The Center for Biological Diversity, and other sponsors of AB1778, AB1322, and AB2552, would appreciate the opportunity to meet and work with DPR staff to ensure that the proposed regulations comply with Cal. Food & Agric. Code § 12978.7.

Below are the following questions posed during the workshop today that were not answered. We would appreciate responses, or an ability to discuss these questions, when DPR staff is available.

DPR must ensure that sustainable pest management is implemented before the use of any anticoagulants. Cal. Food & Agric. Code § 12978.7 states that DPR's restrictions on anticoagulant rodenticides "shall include a requirement to *implement* sustainable pest management and integrated pest management practices, such as biological control, habitat manipulation, and modification of cultural practices, *before*" the use of anticoagulant rodenticides. The development of plans and recordkeeping requirements are important, but, currently, there are no requirement in the proposed regulations that those plans to be implemented before using anticoagulants for 105 days during a calendar year.

- How will the proposed regulations require the implementation of sustainable pest management prior to the use of ARs?

The Poison free Wildlife Act and preceding anticoagulant rodenticide laws creates a higher burden on DPR in developing restrictions to avoid adverse effects than other pesticides. In order for the current moratorium to lift DPR must make a finding of reduced exposure in wildlife. Specifically, DPR must have "adopted any additional restrictions necessary to ensure a trend of statistically significant reductions in the percentage of wildlife exposed" Cal. Food & Agric. Code § 12978.7. The most recent reports from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife show high levels of AR exposure in wildlife after the moratorium on Second Generation Anticoagulant rodenticides, e.g. "Pesticide Exposures & Mortalities in Non-target Wildlife: 2023 Annual Report." DPR's proposal to expand exemptions to allow more use of anticoagulant rodenticides in restaurants and grocery stores, and continue the exemption for agriculture, runs counter to the substantial evidence that there is continued high level exposure even with the more restricted moratorium.

- How will DPR ensure that any restrictions ensure "trend of statistically significant reductions

in the percentage of wildlife exposed" upon the adoption and ongoing implementation of the new regulations?

- Why is there a proposed restricted use permit exemption for Anticoagulant Rodenticides in section 6414?

Thank you,

Jonathan Evans
Environmental Health Legal Director and Senior Attorney
Center for Biological Diversity
2100 Franklin St., Suite 375
Oakland, CA 94612
cell: (213) 598-1466

This email may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

From: [Efrain Velasco](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Questions
Date: Wednesday, September 24, 2025 12:32:08 PM

You don't often get email from efrain.velasco@lloydpest.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Hello,

My name is Efrain, I am the Technical Director for Lloyd Pest Control. I was in attendance at today's conference call. I have some questions about the proposed regulations.

Our company currently uses a pulse baiting protocol, where bait is only placed in stations showing rodent feeding activity, while the majority of stations at the site contain only non-toxic monitoring blocks. For example, at a site with 20 stations, we may only have rodenticide bait in one or two active stations at a given time. Under the proposed 35-day application and 105-day annual site limits, how would this be interpreted? Would the entire site be considered to be 'under bait' once any station is active, or would the limit apply only to those specific stations where bait is present? Clarification is critical to understand how pulse baiting can remain compliant under the new framework.

If a property includes both a permitted use site (e.g. a grocery store) and non-permitted use (e.g. residential apartments), how should operators apply the regulations? Is the whole parcel considered one site, or can the food facility portion qualify independently?

Can DPR explain why the proposed anticoagulant rodenticide regulations use fixed time limitations (35 consecutive days, 105 days per site annually) rather than a justification-of-use approach tied to demonstrated need or ongoing infestation activity? Wouldn't a justification model — where continued use is documented based on rodent presence or public health risk — better align with IPM principles while still limiting unnecessary baiting?

The term "**permanent food facility**" appears in the allowed uses list. For example, HSC §113849 defines this as a facility "operating in a permanently constructed structure" for food handling. Could DPR clarify with examples (e.g., restaurants, cafeterias, coffee shops, food courts)? Are seasonal or mobile vendors excluded? Similar questions apply to "grocery store" and "food processing facility." Precise definitions are essential, especially since schools and daycares have cafeterias but might not fall under those terms.

Efrain Velasco BCE, PCA, CA

Technical Director

LLOYD PEST CONTROL

Putting bugs out of business since 1931.

efrain.velasco@lloydpest.com www.lloydpest.com

Direct: 619-668-1888

Mobile: 619-520-9793



From: [Catherine Serpico](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Rat poisoning
Date: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 11:28:56 AM

[You don't often get email from cathserp1953@icloud.com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

Please save our beautiful birds and animals. No to rat poisoning
I use the regular rat trap, no poison
I love our hawks vultures in Simi and other wildlife.
Thank you
Sent from my iPhone

From: [Daniel Scali](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Clarifying questions
Date: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 7:40:16 AM

You don't often get email from daniel.s.scali@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

Resending

On Sat, Oct 25, 2025 at 3:59 PM Daniel Scali <daniel.s.scali@gmail.com> wrote:

Hello,

1. For the exempted sites and uses, is this a correct understanding of proposed changes?: Permits are no longer needed but certification and licensure to administer restricted materials is needed for all ARs. Could an uncertified unlicensed exempt business owner administer the rodenticides themselves?
2. Since AB 2552 bans obtaining all ARs with limited exceptions, do the new proposals change how ARs may be obtained? I'm curious how the new sites under exemption, grocery stores and restaurants, would be able to obtain/purchase rodenticides.
3. Why do the sections in the "crosswalk" say the prohibitions for grocery stores and restaurants are "maintained" when in fact they are becoming newly exempt?

Thank you for your time,

Dan

From: cdprweb@CDPR
To: CDPR Rodenticide Comments
Subject: RE: rodenticide?
Date: Friday, November 7, 2025 3:20:44 PM

Hi Elana,

Thanks for confirming! I am sending one more now that we received today.

With gratitude,

Sergy El-Morshedy (he/him)
Information Officer I
California Department of Pesticide Regulations (CDPR)
Cal EPA
(916) 894-5409 | sergy.el-morshedy@cdpr.ca.gov

From: CDPR Rodenticide Comments <Rodenticide.Comments@cdpr.ca.gov>
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 2:49 PM
To: cdprweb@CDPR <cdprweb@cdpr.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: rodenticide?

Received, thank you!

Best,
Elana

From: cdprweb@CDPR <cdprweb@cdpr.ca.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 2:09 PM
To: CDPR Rodenticide Comments <Rodenticide.Comments@cdpr.ca.gov>
Subject: FW: rodenticide?

Good Afternoon,

I am forwarding a message (4 of 4) that appears to be a comment submission for the [Anticoagulant Rodenticide Mitigation Informal Public Workshop](#). **Please confirm receipt.**

Thank you,

Sergy El-Morshedy (he/him)
Information Officer I
California Department of Pesticide Regulations (CDPR)
Cal EPA
(916) 894-5409 | sergy.el-morshedy@cdpr.ca.gov

From: Pam Reagan <phre.agan@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 7:47 AM
To: cdprweb@CDPR <cdprweb@cdpr.ca.gov>
Subject: rodenticide?

You don't often get email from phre.agan@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL:

As a concerned citizen, I don't see how you can consider allowing the anticoagulant poisons to be sold again? They don't just kill rodents but many other wildlife creatures as well as pets!

We need to come up with safer extermination methods for controlling rodents.... not go backwards!

Birds were the "canaries in the mines" that warned humans of dangers, the raptors today are the "canaries" warning us of the use of anticoagulants in our environment and what is to come for humans if we allow their use!!

This is like the DDT of the 60's, and look how long it has taken to make a comeback from the days of NO PELICANS along our coast, no SWALLOWS in our backyards, etc!!!!. We are much better informed today, but it seems many groups don't want to use that scientific information to make decisions!???

Please DO NOT go backwards and allow these horrific poisons back in our environment.
Please take this request seriously,
P Reagan

From: [Funky Medina](#)
To: [CDPR Rodenticide Comments](#)
Subject: Roadways
Date: Wednesday, September 24, 2025 11:16:40 AM

[You don't often get email from funkycoldmedina925@gmail.com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL:

Are treating roadways an approved use site for first generation A.R.