

## Marie Cerda

I greatly oppose the proposed mitigations to California's ban on these anticoagulant rodenticides. 35 days/105 days a year is more than enough time for these rodenticides to enter local food webs and cause illness and death in local wildlife. Limiting their use to 50 ft of manmade structures is also not an actual solution to the problem of secondary rodenticide poisoning. The wildlife that are most often affected by secondary rodenticide poisoning are synanthropic species that are seeking out rodents that congregate in areas used & occupied by humans, which should be obvious since rodents outside of human-use areas aren't generally being targeted by rodenticide applications. Applicators and agencies who are in favor of these poisons should already be educated on other ways of excluding and managing rodent infestations as this type of education is already required by the state of California in order to be certified to use non-commercial rodenticides. This is a meaningless gesture meant to make it seem like allowing their use will have different results than it has in the past.