



June 16, 2026

Clerks' Office
California Air Resources Board
1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: CASA Comments on the Second 15-Day Amendments to the Advanced Clean Fleets Regulation

Submitted electronically via: <https://carb.commentinput.com/comment/landingPage?lang=en>

Dear Chair Sanchez and Board Members:

The California Association of Sanitation Agencies (CASA) appreciates the opportunity to comment on the California Air Resources Board's (CARB's) most recent proposed amendments to the Advanced Clean Fleets (ACF) Regulation released June 1, 2026 (referred herein as the second 15-Day Changes).

CASA represents more than 150 local public agencies engaged in the collection, treatment and recycling of wastewater and biosolids to protect public health and the environment. We are the leading California association dedicated to advancing wastewater interests, including the recycling of wastewater into usable water, generation of renewable energy, biosolids and other valuable resources. Our members' efforts in these areas advance community resilience and create a more sustainable environment and economy for Californians.

The wastewater sector must perform preventive and emergency response services in all circumstances, without limitation. As such, our sector must have access to mission-critical vehicles whenever needed, including vehicles under contract to support reliable essential public services that protect communities.

With that in mind, CASA and its members prioritized participating in the ACF regulatory development process since Governor Newsom signed [Executive Order N-79-20](#) in 2020. Throughout the process, the CARB Board directed staff to increase flexibility for public agencies, including a specific directive as a condition of their approval of the ACF amendments on September 25, 2025. Unfortunately, the latest amendments do not resolve any of the issues identified by CASA to date.

CASA has submitted several comment letters highlighting the major concerns with the ACF regulations; however, the comments in this letter focus primarily on the second 15-Day Changes. Specifically:

- CARB's change in policy to include contracted vehicles and vehicles performing contracted services in a public agency's fleet inventory (and related compliance obligations) substantively alters how compliance is determined, implemented, and enforced.
- CARB's failure to follow rulemaking procedures established by the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) when incorporating a substantive policy change erodes stakeholder trust and may result in the ACF regulation being considered an underground regulation.
- Without engaging all affected stakeholders in a public review process with a clear understanding of the substantive policy change, the changes have resulted in an unimplementable regulation.

CARB's Change in Policy Substantively Alters Compliance Requirements for Essential Public Services

Prior to the release of the second 15-Day Changes, CARB's executive officer and staff repeatedly stated the changes would simply provide clarification of terms. However, contrary to those commitments, staff altered the definition of "Fleet Owner" to state that it "...means the person or entity who owns, rents, leases, operates or contracts for the operation of the vehicles comprising the fleet." By including all

vehicles contracted for one year or longer in a public agency's inventory that is subject to ACF compliance, CARB **greatly** expanded the stakeholder group impacted by the regulation. However, regulatory agencies have been cautioned to not fundamentally alter who must comply with a regulation or how compliance is enforced through 15-Day Changes.¹ In this case, the expanded definition will result in an additional and unbounded level of uncertainty regarding compliance since the regulation does not clarify to what extent "contracts for the operation of vehicles" is considered. For example, CARB staff have already responded to members' questions via email confirming that temporary contracts with construction services firms working on wastewater treatment capital improvement projects are included, who, in turn, subcontract to companies that provide vehicles supporting construction operations. These types of considerations must be evaluated as part of the economic analysis required by the APA.

This substantive change in the definition of "Fleet Owner" will result in numerous impacts to public agencies and private entities that have not been evaluated, nor has the feasibility of compliance been evaluated. These changes will dramatically affect how public agencies and local governments procure services, structure contracts, manage franchise arrangements, and deliver essential public services. These changes will impose new compliance costs that have not been evaluated. These changes reach far beyond a simple clarification.

Additionally, the expansion of the "Fleet Owner" definition puts public agencies in the position of enforcing the requirements of the ACF regulation on CARB's behalf on all entities for which they contract to perform public services (for one year or more). However, public agencies have limited (and sometimes no) control or authority over contracted fleet vehicles, and exercising this type of direct control requires an approved waiver of preemption request from the EPA under the Clean Air Act, just as it does for CARB.

This significant expansion in the regulation's scope subjects local public agencies to the potential for contractors and service providers to break their contracts in the near term and no longer bid on public agency services. This leaves public agencies at high risk of system failures and not being able to fulfill maintenance needs or regulatory requirements (e.g., on-call services such as sanitary sewer overflow response teams that use vacuum trucks and other types of response vehicles), which will impact the ability of these agencies to protect public health and the environment.

CASA's member agencies have relied on contracts for specific public services for decades. The changes to the "Fleet Owner" definition have created an exceptionally high level of uncertainty for those agencies and significantly increase the potential for system failure. Public agencies may be abruptly and unexpectedly unable to rely on contractors as a result of these changes and cannot immediately fill the gap in those services due to the challenges outlined.

CARB Failed to Follow Rulemaking Procedures under the California Administrative Procedure Act Triggering Concerns of an Underground Regulation

California's APA limits the scope of 15-Day Changes by requiring they be sufficiently related to the originally proposed text and constitute a logical outgrowth of the proposal that was noticed for public comment.² California courts have consistently held that a regulation is invalid where late-stage modifications introduce new regulated parties, new compliance obligations, or a fundamentally different regulatory approach that interested parties could not have reasonably anticipated from the

¹ *Environmental Defense Project of Sierra County v. County of Sierra* (2008) 158 Cal.App.4th 877, 889.

² Gov. Code §§ 11346.5(a)(4), 11346.8(c).

original proposal.³ CARB staff failed to meet these requirements as it relates to the 15-Day Changes for the ACF regulations. CARB staff also failed to request information on the impacts of the expanded definition, and the ability of public agencies to meet specified levels of services. The intent of APA's notice-and-comment framework is to ensure the following types of impacts are evaluated before regulations are finalized – not after:⁴

- Feasibility of compliance within existing contractual frameworks;
- Availability of compliant fleets across affected service sectors;
- Impacts on procurement timelines and competitive bidding;
- Potential likely cost increases under municipal rates; and
- Legal exposure for public agencies and their contractors.

Public agencies are held to a high standard to deliver specified levels of service at a moment's notice as well as to responsibly manage ratepayer funds. Public agencies operate under strict budget cycles, funding restrictions, procurement schedules and requirements, and capital planning processes that require years of lead time. Infrastructure upgrades necessary to support fleet electrification alone take several years to design, permit, fund, procure, and construct. Vehicle availability, utility coordination, charging infrastructure, facility modifications, and specialized equipment requirements all create significant implementation challenges that cannot be accelerated for a regulation that simply did not account for these challenges. It is standard practice for a public agency to consider and balance all these elements as part of an implementation plan.

It is possible that CASA and its members could have provided this level of planning detail to CARB staff (including contracting practices, procurement requirements, emergency response obligations, affordability impacts, service delivery considerations) if a proper regulatory process had been followed. Unfortunately, no such opportunity was presented.

CASA respectfully requests that CARB follow APA protocols and the Office of Administrative Law's (OAL) regulatory review procedures before approving the amendments. CARB's "Guidance Document" suggests staff will provide "compliance assistance" over the next two to three reporting cycles following approval, implying staff will collect information on the impacts of implementation that will be used to inform future amendments. However, OAL must first conduct a regulatory review process using their core set of criteria upon which to base final approval of the amendments. These criteria include clarity, consistency, reference to the underlying statute and compliance with the rulemaking process. However, CARB's suggestion to wait to assess the impacts of the proposed second 15-Day Changes until commencing implementation would lead to the following outcomes and is fundamentally inconsistent with several standards under the APA. Additionally, each outcome listed below is grounds for the OAL to disapprove of the amendments:

- CARB not adequately justifying the necessity of this aspect of the regulation.
- The proposed regulation exceeding the authority granted by the statute.

³ Morning Star Co. v. State Bd. of Equalization (2006) 38 Cal.4th 324, 336–337; Environmental Defense Project of Sierra County v. County of Sierra (2008) 158 Cal.App.4th 877, 888–889.

⁴ Gov. Code §§ 11346, 11346.5.

- The economic impacts not being properly analyzed.
- The regulation being unclear and inconsistent with the intent of the original State and Local Government Agency Fleets regulation.
- CARB failing to appropriately respond to public comments.

Moreover, CARB is attempting to justify its failure to fully engage with stakeholders and adhere to the APA in this rulemaking process simply because there is a one-year limit to complete the rulemaking process. The one-year limit does not change the requirements for CARB to comply with the APA. CARB must complete a rigorous and APA-compliant rulemaking process. An ill-conceived regulation with new substantive provisions added during the second 15-Day Changes and the use of guidance with vague, undefined terms (including “compliance assistance” and “good faith effort”) are the very definition of an underground regulation and do not achieve APA compliance.

Failure to Engage ALL Affected Stakeholders Is Putting Essential Public Services at Risk

The wastewater sector was established to protect public health and the environment, working tirelessly to perform essential functions for each community served. CASA and its members have been and remain committed to working collaboratively with CARB to continue our mission and achieve the state’s climate goals. However, the latest changes to the ACF regulation put the wastewater sector at high risk of losing support for contracted services, in turn, high risk of system failures. The expanded scope of stakeholders was not engaged in an APA-compliant process.

CARB staff must work with our members and contractors before approval of these amendments, documenting specific challenges that the proposed changes pose as well as consider modifications to make the ACF regulation workable, in effort to prevent avoidable critical infrastructure failures.

Outstanding Amendments to the ACF Regulation Critical to Maintaining Water & Wastewater Services

CASA has commented repeatedly on critical elements of the ACF regulation that will undermine the resilience of essential public services. Society relies on water and wastewater services as they underpin safety, security, and the economy. The ACF regulation as written today will decrease reliability of these services. Without the proper evaluation of impacts to services and appropriate amendments to the language to ensure reliability remains paramount, implementation will impede public agencies from protecting California citizens. Specifically, the following outstanding issues remain to be addressed:

- Ambiguity in the “Low-NOx ICE Vehicle” definition remains, creating regulatory uncertainty and inability for wastewater agency boards and commissions to have confidence in responsibly investing ratepayer funds.
- Wastewater agencies are now ineligible for the Captive Biofuel Use Exemption due to new records requirements inserted in the first 15-Day Changes, in addition to that exemption only being available to those registered under the Milestones Option, for which most wastewater agencies are NOT. The records requirements must be modified, and the exemption must be available to agencies registered under the Purchase Schedule.
- The above bullets present obstacles to SB 1383 implementation at wastewater treatment facilities. CalRecycle is relying on wastewater facilities to achieve organic waste diversion requirements as well as methane reductions at landfills since there are not enough emission reduction credits in air basins to permit the needed capacity at composting facilities. Addressing the above bullets will not only address CalRecycle’s program needs, but it will also further increase the wastewater sector’s resilience by allowing and encouraging use of a recovered resource to power/fuel operations reliably. Regulating in silos ignores these important synergies.

As we have stated since the ACF regulations were first proposed, CASA and our members must continue to prioritize resilient, essential public services that protect public health and the environment. Unfortunately, the ACF regulation and the second 15-Day Changes will result in a regulation that is unimplementable, lacks clarity around critical issues, and will ultimately harm local governments and their ratepayers. **CASA respectfully requests CARB delay approval of the regulations and the second 15-Day Changes until the requisite APA-compliant evaluations are complete and critical issues are addressed through appropriate amendments.**

We look forward to engaging with CARB staff to ensure our members can continue providing sanitation and resource recovery services while complying with the ACF regulations. Please contact me with any questions at sdeslauriers@casaweb.org or at 925-705-6404.

Sincerely,



Sarah A. Deslauriers, PE, ENV SP
Director of Air, Climate, & Energy Programs, CASA

cc: The Honorable Lauren Sanchez, Chair, CARB
The Honorable John Eisenhut, Board Member, CARB
The Honorable Dr. Susan Shaheen, Board Member, CARB
The Honorable Dr. John Balmes, Board Member, CARB
The Honorable Diane Takvorian, Board Member, CARB
The Honorable Cliff Rechtschaffen, Board Member, CARB
The Honorable Gladys Limon, Board Member, CARB
The Honorable Miguel Santiago, Board Member, CARB
The Honorable Lynda Hopkins, Board Member, CARB
The Honorable David Silva, Board Member, CARB
The Honorable Eric Guerra, Board Member, CARB
The Honorable Dr. Tania Pacheco-Werner, Board Member, CARB
The Honorable Patricia Lock Dawson, Board Member, CARB
The Honorable Dr. Paula Stigler Granados, Board Member, CARB
The Honorable Yana Garcia, Secretary California Environmental Protection Agency
Zoe Heller – Director, CalRecycle
Linnea Whitney Skierski – Deputy Director, Office of Policy and Developmental Analysis
Cara Morgan – Deputy Director, Division of Material Management and Local Assistance
Michelle Martin – Deputy Director, Division of the Circular Economy
Heather Rose – Sr Env Sci, Statewide Technical/Analytical Resources Branch
Dr. Steven Cliff – Executive Officer, CARB
Michelle Buffington – Division Chief, Mobile Source Control
Jason Hill-Falkenthal – Assistant Division Chief, Mobile Source Control
Tony Brasil – Branch Chief
Paul Arneja – Air Resources Supervisor I
Chris Franceschi – Air Resources Supervisor I
Molly Munz – Air Pollution Specialist
Jill Firch – Air Pollution Specialist
Adam Link – CASA, Executive Director
Maile Lono-Batura – CASA, Director of Renewable Resource Programs